Study J-503 July 2, 2002

Memorandum 2002-33

Discovery Improvements from Other Jurisdictions (Discussion of Issues)

At the May meeting, the Commission selected a number of areas to explore in its study of civil discovery. The Commission gave the staff discretion to decide which areas to pursue first. This memorandum examines three relatively straightforward topics:

- (1) Nonsubstantive reform to shorten code sections and improve readability.
- (2) Duty to automatically supplement discovery response.
- (3) Presuit discovery.

A staff draft to implement nonsubstantive reform is attached. Comments of Commissioner Richard Best are also attached (Exhibit p. 1), as is a memorandum prepared by Ellen Nudelman, the Commission's summer legal assistant (Exhibit pp. 2-14). As a first step towards preparing a tentative recommendation, the staff seeks preliminary guidance from the Commission on the topics discussed in this memorandum.

NONSUBSTANTIVE REFORM

The provisions governing civil discovery (Code Civ. Proc. §§ 2016-2036) are generally well-organized, but a number of them are extremely long and cumbersome. As more fully discussed at pages 28-29 of Memorandum 2002-21, short code sections are preferable because they facilitate statutory revisions and enhance readability for courts and practitioners. The attached draft proposes nonsubstantive revisions to divide the civil discovery provisions into short, readily used sections.

The draft is not yet complete. In particular, several lengthy provisions have not yet been divided into short sections (Code Civ. Proc. §§ 2030, 2031, 2032, 2033, 2034). For purposes of comparison, these are included in the attached draft in their present form.

The staff also needs to prepare a narrative explanation of the proposal (preliminary part), search the codes for cross-references to conform, and citecheck the draft. Some Comments require revision to achieve consistency (where only a cross-reference was changed, some Comments say that the provision was "continued without substantive change," whereas later-prepared Comments say that the provision was "continued without change, except to conform the cross-reference"). Revisions may also be necessary to account for legislation enacted in 2002.

In addition, we have not assessed the extent to which material in the existing statutes (reorganized in the attached draft) could be deleted as redundant. A few obvious redundancies are pointed out in Staff Notes, but it might also be possible to eliminate other material without loss of meaning. For instance, numerous provisions state that "the court shall impose a monetary sanction under Section 2023 against any party, person, or attorney who unsuccessfully makes or opposes [a specified discovery motion], unless it finds that the one subject to the sanction acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust." This duplication might be unnecessary.

The staff is reluctant, however, to do much to eliminate duplication, because that might trigger concerns about whether the proposal would have an unintended substantive effect. We are convinced that the reform would be useful, and do not want to jeopardize its enactment.

Unless the Commission instructs otherwise, the staff will continue to work on the attached draft, with the goal of completing it for the September meeting. We would appreciate any suggestions that Commissioners or interested parties might have regarding elimination of redundancies or any other aspect of the attached draft.

DUTY TO AUTOMATICALLY SUPPLEMENT DISCOVERY RESPONSE

Another area that the Commission decided to explore was the possibility of imposing an automatic duty to supplement discovery responses.

In California, there is no such duty. Subject to certain restrictions, however, a party may propound a supplemental interrogatory (Code Civ. Proc. § 2030(c)(8)) or a supplemental inspection demand (Code Civ. Proc. § 2031(e)) to elicit lateracquired information.

In federal court, a party "is under a duty seasonably to amend a prior response to an interrogatory, request for production, or request for admission if the party learns that the response is in some *material* respect incomplete or incorrect and if the additional or corrective information has not otherwise been made known to the other parties during the discovery process or in writing." Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 26(e)(2) (hereafter "Rule 26(e)(2)") (emphasis added.) There is no duty to amend a response to make immaterial corrections.

A third approach is to require correction of a discovery response, regardless of whether the correction is material or immaterial. Under this approach, a discovery request is said to be "continuing." California specifically prohibits continuing interrogatories. Code Civ. Proc. § 2030(c)(7).

A memorandum discussing the pros and cons of these approaches is attached Exhibit pp. 2-14. This memorandum was prepared by Stanford Law School student Ellen Nudelman, who favors the federal approach. *Id.* at 9-10. She explains that this approach entails "the efficiency of less paperwork and the resultant cost reduction, the elimination of unfair surprises at trial, possibly speedier resolution of a case, and the promotion of a less adversarial pretrial relationship between opposing counsel." *Id.* at 9.

Commissioner Richard Best points out, however, that supplemental discovery requests "have the advantage of notice and a formal response and avoid the issue of inadvertent failure to supplement." Exhibit p. 1 He "see[s] few motions involving these supplemental requests and wonder[s] if lawyers use them." *Id.* He does not have a firm opinion on whether the California approach is preferable to the federal one. *Id.* He notes that persons who work in federal court may have opinions on the subject, and he queries whether "there are meaningful studies or polls on the federal experience to suggest how valuable or effective or popular [that] approach may be." *Id.* He suggests contacting Judge David Levy (United States District Court, Eastern District of California) for information on this point.

Commissioner Best also proposes an alternative approach:

Perhaps there should be some focused, limited and combined mandatory disclosure and duty to supplement, e.g. documents or witness expected to be presented at trial with the enforcement provision that those not disclosed when known cannot be introduced except on some significant showing of excusable neglect etc. Perhaps a mandatory disclosure of this limited but critical information could be made with the initial pleadings and on

request or periodically thereafter. This has the appeal of getting the key discovery immediately at lower cost and without formal responses that tend to be expensive and counterproductive. The specificity of the requirement avoids the difficulty of enforcing a vague obligation.

Id.

This is an interesting possibility, which the Commission could consider in connection with the complex topic of pretrial disclosure. At present, the staff tentatively leans towards the approach of Rule 26(e)(2), for the reasons discussed at the May meeting and the reasons given by Ms. Nudelman. We recommend, however, that the Commission refrain from taking a position on this issue until we follow-up on Commissioner Best's suggestion to contact Judge Levy and attempt to obtain further information on the federal experience.

PRESUIT DISCOVERY

Under specified circumstances, Code of Civil Procedure Section 2035 permits discovery to preserve evidence or perpetuate testimony before a lawsuit is filed. Two possible revisions of this provision are discussed below.

Law Applicable to a Deposition to Perpetuate Testimony

Section 2035(g) provides:

(g) If a deposition to perpetuate testimony has been taken either under the provisions of this section, or under comparable provisions of the laws of another state, or the federal courts, or a foreign nation, that deposition may be used, in any action involving the same subject matter that is brought in a court of the State of California, in accordance with subdivision (u) of Section 2025 against any party, or the successor in interest of any party, named in the petition as an expected adverse party.

In his background study, Professor Gregory Weber (McGeorge Law School) suggests revising this provision to make clear that a presuit deposition taken in another state may only be used if it would be admissible under the law of that state. Weber, Potential Innovations in Civil Discovery: Lessons for California from the State and Federal Courts, 32 McGeorge L. Rev. 1051, 1071 (2001) (hereafter "Weber Study"). Ms. Nudelman analyzes that suggestion in her memorandum, and indicates that such a revision would eliminate "the ambiguity and potential for

gamesmanship in Section 2035 in a clear, easily-administered manner." Exhibit pp. 11-13.

The staff believes that clarification of this point might help to prevent disputes. It might also be helpful to make a similar clarification with regard to a deposition taken in a foreign nation. These clarifications could be achieved by revising Section 2035(g) along the following lines:

(g) If a deposition to perpetuate testimony has been taken either under the provisions of this section, or under comparable provisions of the laws of another state the state in which it was taken, or the federal courts, or a foreign nation in which it was taken, that deposition may be used, in any action involving the same subject matter that is brought in a court of the State of California, in accordance with subdivision (u) of Section 2025 against any party, or the successor in interest of any party, named in the petition as an expected adverse party.

. . . .

Comment. Subdivision (g) of Section 2035 is revised to make clear that a deposition to perpetuate testimony may be used in California only if it was taken under this section or under a comparable provision of the federal courts or of the jurisdiction in which it was taken.

Suit to be Filed by Petitioner's Heirs or Representatives

Professor Weber also points out that two states have statutes specifying that a person may take presuit discovery even if the anticipated lawsuit would be filed not by the person but by the person's heirs or representatives. Weber Study at 1072. In contrast, Section 2035(a) permits presuit discovery by "[o]ne who expects to be a party to any action that may be cognizable in any court of the State of California, whether as plaintiff, or as defendant, or in any other capacity" (Emphasis added). The statute does not refer to a successor in interest of a person seeking presuit discovery, although subdivision (g) does refer to "the successor in interest of any party, named in the petition as an expected adverse party." (Emphasis added.) By negative implication, Section 2035 does not appear to permit a person to take presuit discovery in anticipation of a suit by the person's successor in interest.

Due to an apparent lack of published decisions on presuit discovery in anticipation of suit by a successor in interest, Ms. Nudelman sees no need to revise Section 2035 to address this point. Exhibit pp. 13-14. The staff believes, however, that there is a potential for litigation over this point, and that a person

should be able to engage in presuit discovery in anticipation of suit by an heir or representative, provided that the usual requirements for granting presuit discovery are satisfied, including a showing that "the discovery requested may prevent a failure or delay of justice" Code Civ. Proc. § 2035(f). Such a result could be achieved by revising Section 2035 along the following lines:

- 2035. (a) One who expects to be a party or expects a successor in interest to be a party to any action that may be cognizable in any court of the State of California, whether as a plaintiff, or as a defendant, or in any other capacity, may obtain discovery within the scope delimited by Section 2017, and subject to the restrictions set forth in Section 2019, for the purpose of perpetuating that party's person's own testimony or that of another natural person or organization, or of preserving evidence for use in the event an action is subsequently filed. One shall not employ the procedures of this section for the purpose either of ascertaining the possible existence of a cause of action or a defense to it, or of identifying those who might be made parties to an action not yet filed.
- (b) The methods available for discovery conducted for the purposes set forth in subdivision (a) are (1) oral and written depositions, (2) inspections of documents, things, and places, and (3) physical and mental examinations.
- (c) One who desires to perpetuate testimony or preserve evidence for the purposes set forth in subdivision (a) shall file a verified petition in the superior court of the county of the residence of at least one expected adverse party, or, if no expected adverse party is a resident of the State of California, in the superior court of a county where the action or proceeding may be filed.
- (d) The petition shall be titled in the name of the one who desires the perpetuation of testimony or the preservation of evidence. The petition shall set forth all of the following:
- (1) The expectation that the petitioner <u>or the petitioner's successor in interest</u> will be a party to an action cognizable in a court of the State of California.
- (2) The present inability of the petitioner either to bring that action or to cause it to be brought.
- (3) The subject matter of the expected action and the petitioner's involvement.
- (4) The particular discovery methods described in subdivision (b) that the petitioner desires to employ.
- (5) The facts that the petitioner desires to establish by the proposed discovery.
- (6) The reasons for desiring to perpetuate or preserve these facts before an action has been filed.

- (7) The name or a description of those whom the petitioner expects to be adverse parties so far as known.
- (8) The name and address of those from whom the discovery is to be sought.
- (9) The substance of the information expected to be elicited from each of those from whom discovery is being sought.

The petition shall request the court to enter an order authorizing the petitioner to engage in discovery by the described methods for the purpose of perpetuating the described testimony or preserving the described evidence.

(e) The petitioner shall cause service of a notice of the petition to be made on each natural person or organization named in the petition as an expected adverse party. This service shall be made in the same manner provided for the service of a summons. The service of the notice shall be accompanied by a copy of the petition. The notice shall state that the petitioner will apply to the court at a time and place specified in the notice for the order requested in the petition. This service shall be effected at least 20 days prior to the date specified in the notice for the hearing on the petition.

If after the exercise of due diligence, the petitioner is unable to cause service to be made on any expected adverse party named in the petition, the court in which the petition is filed shall make an order for service by publication. If any expected adverse party served by publication does not appear at the hearing, the court shall appoint an attorney to represent that party for all purposes, including the cross-examination of any person whose testimony is taken by deposition. The court shall order that the petitioner pay the reasonable fees and expenses of any attorney so appointed.

- (f) If the court determines that all or part of the discovery requested may prevent a failure or delay of justice, it shall make an order authorizing that discovery. The order shall identify any witness whose deposition may be taken, and any documents, things, or places that may be inspected, and any person whose physical or mental condition may be examined. Any authorized depositions, inspections, and physical or mental examinations shall then be conducted in accordance with the provisions of this article relating to those methods of discovery in actions that have been filed.
- (g) If a deposition to perpetuate testimony has been taken either under the provisions of this section, or under comparable provisions of the laws of another state, or the federal courts, or a foreign nation, that deposition may be used, in any action involving the same subject matter that is brought in a court of the State of California, in accordance with subdivision (u) of Section 2025 against any party, or the successor in interest of any party, named in the petition as an expected adverse party.

Comment. Subdivisions (a) and (d) of Section 2035 are amended to permit a person to take presuit discovery in anticipation of a suit by the person's successor in interest, so long as the statutory requirements for such discovery are satisfied.

We do not feel strongly on this point, however, and we encourage comments on it, as well as on any other aspect of this study.

Respectfully submitted,

Barbara S. Gaal Staff Counsel Study J-503 July 2, 2002

Exhibit

COMMENTS OF COMMISSIONER BEST

Subject: Discovery revisions

Date: June 26, 2002

Thanks for your response. I have spent most of my professional life dealing with these problems from the vantage of a neutral in cases of all sizes and shapes presented by lawyers of widely differing styles and competence; yet, I still find the subject of interest. I have often reviewed proposals for "reform" and have obviously worked with the changes in the law over the last 30 years. I would like to make what contribution I can to the improvement of the system. I will try to give some thought to this subject and would like to present it to you in the form that would be most useful — specific proposed rule, ID of issue and general solution, comments on proposals, or whatever would be of value.

On the subject of automatic supplementation as well as some other areas I don't have a firm opinion or experience; but, those in the federal court may and I wonder if there are meaningful studies or polls on the federal experience to suggest how valuable or effective or popular their approach may be. Judge David Levy [E.D.Ca] is now chair of their discovery rules subcommittee and might at least put you in touch with your federal counterpart who might have some empirical data on the various topics including this one.

In this area as in others, California has the alternative approach on interrogs and documents of supplemental discovery requests which have the advantage of notice and a formal response and avoid the issue of inadvertent failure to supplement. I see few motions involving these supplemental requests and wonder if lawyers use them.

Perhaps there should be some focused, limited and combined mandatory disclosure and duty to supplement, e.g. documents or witness expected to be presented at trial with the enforcement provision that those not disclosed when known cannot be introduced except on some significant showing of excusable neglect etc. Perhaps a mandatory disclosure of this limited but critical information could be made with the initial pleadings and on request or periodically thereafter. This has the appeal of getting the key discovery immediately at lower cost and without formal responses that tend to be expensive and counterproductive. The specificity of the requirement avoids the difficulty of enforcing a vague obligation.

June 26, 2002

To: Barbara Gaal

From: Ellen Nudelman

Re: Supplemental Interrogatory Rule and Presuit Discovery

Questions Presented:

(1) Should California amend Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030 to adopt Rule 26(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, which mandates automatic supplemental responses to interrogatories and other discovery responses, such as requests for document production?

(2) Should the text of Code of Civil Procedure Section 2035, relating to presuit discovery, be amended to clarify the regulation of presuit depositions?

Supplementation of Discovery Responses

Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030(c)(8) (hereafter "Section 2030(c)(8)") was part of the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, a product of the State Bar-Judicial Council Joint Commission on Discovery. Section 2030(c)(8) took effect in 1987 and is unamended to date. This provision allows a party to request a supplemental interrogatory, subject to certain limitations, if that party wishes for the interrogatory to be updated. In contrast, Rule 26(e)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (hereafter "Rule 26(e)(2)") imposes an automatic duty to supplement discovery responses. At issue is whether the California rule should be changed to conform with Rule 26(e)(2), at least with respect to interrogatories and requests for document production.

I. California Approach: Section 2030(c)(8)

This section recounts the historical background of Section 2030(c)(8), discusses the impact of the provision, and describes related safeguards to prevent undue surprise.

Historical Background

Before the adoption of Section 2030, there was no provision regarding continuing interrogatories. Section 2030(c)(7) states that an "interrogatory may not be made a continuing one so as to impose on the party responding to it a duty to supplement an answer to it that was initially correct and complete with later acquired information."

Before the enactment of this provision, the use of continuing interrogatories was an "open question" in California discovery practice. State Bar-Judicial Council Joint Commission on Discovery, Proposed California Civil Discovery Act of 1986: Proposed Act and Reporter's Notes, at 69 (1986) (hereafter "1986 Discovery Act Report"); see Rangel v. Graybar Electric Co., 70 Cal. App. 3d 943, 950 n. 6, 139 Cal. Rptr. 191 (1977). Thus, counsel preparing interrogatories could include a continuing duty to supplement the responses and have a chance of enforcing this duty at trial if the responding party failed to supplement a response when it became incorrect or incomplete.

The Joint Commission on Discovery felt that the issue of continuing interrogatories needed a definitive answer. 1986 Discovery Act Report at 69. The Commission explained its decision to preclude continuing interrogatories as follows:

[The Commission] fears that imposition of a duty to supplement on the responding party opens the door to the possibility of evidentiary hearings, both before and during the trial, to determine just when the new information came into possession of an opponent. [The Commission] also concluded that it is burdensome on the responding party to be required periodically to review the previous answers.

Id.

The Commission decided to "temper" the prohibition against continuing interrogatories by allowing a minimum of three supplemental interrogatories. *Id.* The Commission noted that

[t]he right to propound these supplemental interrogatories should make more palatable the Commission's proposal for a presumptive limit on the number of interrogatories, and for a banning of "continuing" interrogatories.

Id. This comment suggests that some attorneys were opposed to the prohibitions against continuing interrogatories, as well as the new limitation

on the number of interrogatories. The right to supplemental interrogatories appears to be a step the Commission took to appease the opposition.

The supplemental interrogatories may be requested subject to Section 2030(c)(8), which states:

In addition to the number of interrogatories permitted by paragraphs (1) and (2), a party may propound a supplemental interrogatory to elicit any later acquired information bearing on all answers previously made by any party in response to interrogatories (1) twice prior to the initial setting of a trial date, and (2) subject to the time limits on discovery proceeding and motions provided in Section 2024, once after the initial setting of a trial date. However, on motion, for good cause shown, the court may grant leave to a party to propound an additional number of supplemental interrogatories.

It is important to note the broad language for later comparison with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(e).

Instead of limiting the supplemental response to updating materially incomplete or incorrect answers, like the federal rule does, Section 2030(c)(8) allows the interrogatory to elicit *any* later acquired material information, regardless of whether it is material to the case. In a complex case, this may require disclosure of many details irrelevant to the resolution of the case. However, the benefit of the broad language is that the responding party does not have discretion to decide what is material. Thus, there is no opportunity for disputes over the materiality of the information counsel chooses not to disclose; any failure to disclose in response to a supplemental interrogatory is a discovery violation.

Impact of Section 2030(c)(8)

Since there is no requirement in California to file a supplemental response, the issue has not been litigated and the impact of the provision is hard to tell. However, the possible impact in California may be gleaned from cases in other states where the duty to supplement interrogatories has not been fulfilled.

Most of these cases arise from an attorney's failure to notify opposing counsel of trial witnesses who were not included on the witness list in the initial interrogatory response. See, e.g., Yeldell v. Holiday Hills Retirement & Nursing Center, Inc., 701 S.W.2d 243, 246 (Tex. 1985) (approving trial court's exclusion of excluding witness's testimony where counsel did not supplement

interrogatory after gaining knowledge of witness with pertinent information about case); Morrow v. H.E.B., Inc., 714 S.W.2d 297 (Tex. 1986) (upholding exclusion of testimony where counsel discovered that witness listed as living in another state had moved to city within state and did not supplement its answer to plaintiff); Duke v. Westvaco Dev. Corp., 279 S.C. 464, 467, 309 S.E.2d 293 (1983) (approving exclusion of testimony where, on afternoon of trial, counsel sought to add two witnesses to witness list, despite having provided supplemental responses to interrogatories twice previously); Pettite v. Lizotte, 454 A.2d 329 (Me. 1982) (approving continuance when witness was unintentionally omitted in the interrogatories and supplemental responses by counsel). In all of these cases, it was within the discretion of the trial judge to exclude the testimony or grant a continuance to alleviate undue surprise.

Two Illinois cases illustrate the impact of imposing no duty to supplement interrogatories unless the opposing counsel requests supplementation. In *Clay v. McCarthy*, 73 Ill. App. 3d 462 (1979), the appellate court held improper the trial court's decision to exclude the testimony of a witness not revealed in the interrogatory responses. The appellate court pointed out that there was no duty to supplement and the initial interrogatory was answered fully and accurately. *Id.* at 465. Similarly, in *Thorsen v. Chicago*, the appellate court approved the admittance of the testimony of a witness not disclosed before trial, because the defendants "never requested supplementation of the answer nor otherwise requested disclosure of any additional witnesses, and therefore plaintiff was under no duty to advise defendants of the unlisted witness." 74 Ill. App. 3d 98, 105 (1979).

Thus, without a duty to supplement interrogatories, the discovery process may become more secretive and adversarial. When there is a duty to supplement, adversarial impulses not to disclose may be sanctioned with either a continuance to allow counsel to prepare for the witness or the exclusion of the witness's testimony altogether. However, it should be kept in mind that an attorney can avoid adversarial tactics such as surprise witnesses under the present system by requesting a supplemental interrogatory which may reveal witnesses previously not on the list.

Other Protections Against Surprise Witnesses

Aside from supplemental interrogatories, there are some additional safeguards in California to prevent surprise witnesses. For example, in limited

civil cases, any party may serve on any other party a Judicial Council form requesting a statement of witnesses. Code Civ. Proc. § 96. No party required to respond to the Section 96 form may call a witness not revealed in the statement. Code Civ. Proc. § 97. Attorneys may also demand a mutual and simultaneous exchange by all parties of information concerning each other's expert trial witnesses. Code Civ. Proc. § 2034(a). If a party unreasonably fails to disclose an expert witness or submit requisite information about that expert, the trial court will exclude that expert's testimony. Code Civ. Proc. § 2034(j). Some superior courts have local rules requiring the exchange of witness lists before trial. A witness not listed is barred from testifying, unless "good cause" is shown. See Brown & Weil, California Practice Guide: Civil Procedure Before Trial at 8:88 (2002). In criminal cases, there is a duty for both the defendant and the prosecuting attorney to disclose all witnesses intended to be called at trial. Penal Code §§ 1054.1, 1054.3.

II. Federal Approach: Rule 26(e)

In contrast to Section 2030(c)(8), Rule 26(e) imposes a duty to supplement discovery responses. Rule 26(e) states:

Supplementation of Disclosures and Responses. A party who has made a disclosure under subdivision (a) or responded to a request for discovery with a disclosure or response is under a duty to supplement or correct the disclosure or response to include information thereafter acquired if ordered by the court or in the following circumstances:

- (1) A party is under a duty to supplement at appropriate intervals its disclosures under subdivision (a) if the party learns that in some material respect the information disclosed is incomplete or incorrect and if the additional or corrective information has not otherwise been made known to the other parties during the discovery process or in writing. With respect to testimony of an expert from whom a report is required under subdivision (a)(2)(B) the duty extends both to information contained in the report and to information provided through a deposition of the expert, and any additions or other changes to this information shall be disclosed by the time the party's disclosures under Rule 26(a)(3) are due.
- (2) A party is under a duty seasonably to amend a prior response to an interrogatory, request for production, or request for admission if the party learns that the response is in some

material respect incomplete or incorrect and if the additional or corrective information has not otherwise been made known to the other parties during the discovery process or in writing.

The Advisory Committee notes on the 1970 amendments to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure discuss the pros and cons of imposing a "continuing burden" on the responding party to supplement answers when new information is obtained. Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) ¶¶ 61-65 Advisory Committee's notes to 1970 amendments. The Advisory Committee states that Rule 26(e) is not a continuing burden rule "except as expressly provided." Id. at ¶ 63. The exceptions are as follows:

An exception is made as to the identity of persons having knowledge of discoverable matters, because of the obvious importance to each side of knowing all witnesses and because information about witnesses routinely comes to each lawyer's attention. Many of the decisions on the issue of a continuing burden have in fact concerned the identity of witnesses. An exception is also made as to expert trial witnesses in order to carry out the provisions of Rule 26(b)(4). See Diversified Products Corp. v. Sports Center Co., 42 F.R.D. 3 (D. Md. 1967).

Another exception is made for the situation in which a party or more frequently his lawyer, obtains actual knowledge that a prior response is incorrect. This exception does not impose a duty to check the accuracy of prior responses, but it prevents knowing concealment by a party or attorney. Finally, a duty to supplement may be imposed by order of the court in a particular case (including an order resulting from a pretrial conference) or by agreement of the parties.

Id. at ¶¶ 63-64.

Although Rule 26(e) is not generally a continuing burden rule, the policy arguments for and against the continuing burden rule parallel that of the rule requiring "seasonal" supplements. The benefits and detriments are discussed below.

Benefits

As interpreted by the courts, the major benefit of Rule 26(e)(2) with respect to supplemental responses to interrogatories is to "prevent trial by ambush." Heidelberg Harris, Inc. v. Mitsubishi Heavy Indus., 42 U.S.P.Q.2D (BNA) 1369 (N.D. Ill. 1996) (quoting Gorman v. Chicago Housing Authority, 1991 WL 10893)

(N.D. Ill. 1991)). The same principle should apply to document requests and requests for admission, as all are sources of information that may be potentially used at trial without the opposing counsel's prior knowledge of their existence. As the court in Heidelberg explains, "[i]f a party is allowed to withhold the supplementation of its discovery responses until after fact discovery is closed, the purpose of the Rule is effectively frustrated because the opposing party is denied the opportunity to conduct discovery on the supplemented responses." *Id.* If one party does not get a supplemental response, then they may be unfairly surprised at trial. *Id.* In fact, the idea behind the discovery rules in general is to "narrow and clarify the issues and give the parties mutual knowledge of all relevant facts, thereby preventing surprise." *Dilmore v. Stubbs*, 636 F.2d 966, 969 n. 2 (5th Cir. 1981).

More policy arguments for the duty to supplement responses can be found in the debate over the 1993 amendments to Federal Rule 26 concerning mandatory disclosure. Indeed, rule 26(e)'s requirement to supplement all disclosures and responses, including the interrogatory and document request provisions, is considered one of four components of the mandatory disclosure system. Dreyfuss, The What and Why of the New Discovery Rules, 46 Fla. L. Rev. 9, 12 (1994). The mandatory disclosure rule "is designed to accelerate the exchange of basic information about the case and to eliminate the paper work involved in requesting such information." Lang, Mandatory Disclosure Can Improve the Discovery System, 70 Ind. L.J. 657, 657-58 (1995).

This is an efficiency argument for imposing the duty to supplement. For example, imposition of the duty "reduces the proliferation of additional sets of interrogatories." Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) ¶ 62 Advisory Committee's notes to 1970 amendments. In other words, the party that would have needed to request a supplemental interrogatory no longer needs to do so. This, in turn, increases the efficiency of the discovery process and reduces litigation expenses. This is especially true if attorneys routinely request supplemental interrogatories and other supplemental discovery requests.

A positive corollary from this efficiency argument is that because the parties will have all of the information sooner, they can evaluate their case more quickly and perhaps settle earlier. Lang, 70 Ind. L.J. at 670. Another argument for the mandatory duty is to reduce the adversarial nature of the discovery process. *Id.*

Detriments

On the negative side of imposing a duty to supplement,

. . . there are serious objections to the burden, especially in protracted cases. Although the party signs the answers, it is his lawyer who understands their significance and bears the responsibility to bring answers up to date. In a complex case all sorts of information reaches the party, who little understands its bearing on answers previously given to interrogatories. In practice, therefore, the lawyer under a continuing burden must periodically recheck all interrogatories and canvass all new information. But a full set of new answers may no longer be needed by the interrogating party. Some issues will have been dropped from the case, some questions are now seen as unimportant, and other questions must in any event be reformulated.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) ¶ 62 Advisory Committee's notes to 1970 amendments.

However, the above comment refers to a continuing burden to supplement. The federal rule is not a continuing burden rule so the lawyer only needs to supplement a response when there is a *material* change in fact relating to the previous response. Thus, the burden on the attorney is substantially less than under a continuing burden rule. Furthermore, if requesting a supplemental response is standard for California lawyers, as discussed by Professor Weber and others at the Commission's May meeting, the automatic supplementation rule would not increase the burden on the attorney at all. The only practical change would be that the lawyer would not get a reminder to supplement the response. On the other hand, if requesting supplemental responses is not standard practice among California attorneys, then the burden on the responding party would increase. The increased burden would be most intense for attorneys juggling numerous cases, because it would require them to seasonably review their caseload for developments that could be considered material.

A requirement to seasonably supplement material information might lead to the inefficiency of hearings over what constitutes "material" information and whether the material information was disclosed "seasonably." This is similar to the Joint Commission's fear that the imposition of a continuing duty to supplement "opens the door to the possibility of evidentiary hearings, both before and during trial, to determine just when new information came into possession of the opponent." 1986 Discovery Act Report at 69.

Another argument against the duty to supplement is that the discovery process should be adversarial. Automatically giving opposing counsel possibly useful information is not to the benefit of zealous representation. See Lang, 70 Ind. L.J. at 670.

Summary of Pros and Cons

To review, the policy arguments in favor of the federal version of the rule governing supplemental interrogatories are the efficiency of less paperwork and the resultant cost reduction, the elimination of unfair surprises at trial, possibly speedier resolution of a case, and the promotion of a less adversarial pretrial relationship between opposing counsel. The policy arguments against imposing a duty to seasonably supplement interrogatories and other discovery requests are a possible increased burden on responding attorneys, the possible inefficiency of hearings over what should have been disclosed or whether it was disclosed seasonably, and an undesired decrease in the adversarial nature of pretrial discovery.

Recommendation

In my opinion, California should amend Section 2030 to be similar to Rule 26(e)'s mandate for supplemental responses to discovery requests "if the party learns that the response is in some material respect incomplete or incorrect and if the additional or corrective information has not otherwise been made known to the other parties during the discovery process or in writing." This approach should apply to interrogatories, requests for admission, and document requests. The amendment would promote both efficiency and fairness in the discovery process, without imposing unreasonable demands on the responding party. If requesting supplemental interrogatories is the standard practice in California, as discussed by Professor Weber and others at the Commission's May meeting, then automatic supplemental responses would increase efficiency without increasing the burden on the responding party. This is especially true because the federal approach only mandates supplementation in the case of material information pertaining to the previous interrogatory or discovery request.

Furthermore, it is unfair that an attorney who fails to request a supplemental response will miss material changes in the case that might hurt the attorney's client in trial. I would advocate a less adversarial environment for discovery purposes to create more civil relationships among opposing

counsel and promote a fair resolution of the case without prejudicial surprises. For settlement purposes, it may be helpful to put all of the information on the table as it unfolds, rather than saving the material developments for trial.

While it is important to consider the Joint Commission's fear that a duty to supplement may result in extra hearings over when the information was obtained and whether it was material, this fear is outweighed by the less speculative efficiency effects of amending Section 2030. For these reasons, I think that Section 2030 should be revised to conform with Federal Rule 26(e).

Presuit Discovery

Code of Civil Procedure Section 2035 (hereafter "Section 2035") allows a person who expects to be a party to a California lawsuit to engage in presuit discovery under specified circumstances, including depositions, inspection demands, and medical examinations. Presuit discovery is a "rarely used stage of discovery." 1986 Discovery Act Report at 121. Section 2035 basically restated the existing law regarding presuit discovery found in former Code of Civil Procedure Section 2017. *Id.* at 121-22.

Professor Weber has pointed out that the text of Section 2035 is "ambiguous and could be improved." Weber, Potential Innovations in Civil Discovery: Lessons for California from the State and Federal Courts, 32 McGeorge L. Rev. 1051, 1071 (2001) (hereafter "Weber Study"). He mentions two potential improvements: (1) clarifying whether a deposition to perpetuate testimony must be taken under the laws of the state in which the deposition is held, and (2) clarifying whether a petitioner may take presuit discovery when the putative suit would be filed by the petitioner's heirs or representatives instead of by the petitioner.

I. Law Applicable to a Deposition to Perpetuate Testimony

Subdivision (g) of Section 2035 does not clarify whether a presuit deposition to perpetuate testimony must have been taken under the laws of the state in which it was taken, or just under the laws of another state, to be admissible in California. Weber Study at 1071. Section 2035(g) states:

(g) If a deposition to perpetuate testimony has been taken either under the provisions of this section, or under comparable provisions of the laws of another state, or the federal courts, or a foreign nation, that deposition may be used, in any action involving the same subject matter that is brought in a court of the State of California, in accordance with subdivision (u) of Section 2025 against any party, or the successor in interest of any party, named in the petition as an expected adverse party.

Professor Weber points out that the federal courts and several other states, such as Indiana, have clarified that presuit "depositions are admissible not if taken just under the laws of another state, but if 'it would be admissible in evidence in the courts of the state in which it was taken." Weber Study at 1071.

To determine whether such clarification is needed in California, it is helpful to understand the purpose of presuit discovery. Most analyses of presuit depositions are based on interpretation of Rule 27 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (hereafter "Rule 27"). In general, "Rule 27 allows trial judges to provide prospective litigants access to a variety of discovery mechanisms if needed to 'prevent a failure or delay of justice.'" Kronfeld, The Preservation and Discovery of Evidence Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 27, 78 Geo. L.J. 593 (1990).

There are two principal "failures of justice" that may occur if no presuit discovery is allowed. First, due to passage of time, evidence may be lost or a witness may no longer be able to testify. *Id.* at 594; see Ash v. Cort, 512 F.2d 909, 911 (3rd Cir. 1975) (noting that Rule 27 provides for much narrower discovery than Rule 26, and that Rule 27 "properly applies only in that special category of cases where it is necessary to prevent testimony from being lost."). The perpetuation of evidence rule allows the testimony to be available for use in future legal proceedings. *In re Hopson Marin Transp.*, 168 F.R.D. 560, 564 (1996).

The second potential failure of justice may occur when the petitioner lacks sufficient evidence to frame a complaint with sufficient particularity. *Id.* at 594. However, Rule 27 and Section 2035 specifically address only the perpetuation of testimony and the preservation of evidence. In fact, federal cases have determined that Rule 27 is not meant to be used for discovery of facts to frame a complaint. *See, e.g., In re Gary Construction, Inc.*, 96 F.R.D. 432, 433 (D. Colo. 1983). Similarly, Section 2035(a) explicitly warns against employing the provision "for the purpose either of ascertaining the possible existence of a cause of action or a defense to it, or of identifying those who might be made parties to an action not yet filed."

Given the limited purposes of Section 2035 in perpetuating testimony and preserving evidence, it makes sense to clarify subdivision (g)'s ambiguous

phrase "under comparable provisions of the law of another state." Although we are not aware of any litigation over this language in the California courts, it is conceivable that a petitioner would try to take a presuit deposition under the rules of state other than where the deposition is held, so as to avoid a limitation against discovery of facts to frame a complaint. Since Section 2035 is a rule of equity, such a strategy for attempting to expand the limited purpose of presuit discovery should be discouraged.

One way to achieve this would be to make a presuit deposition admissible in California only if it is taken under a presuit discovery law that, like California's, precludes presuit discovery for the purpose of framing a complaint. A simpler approach would be to do as Professor Weber suggests: Specify that the deposition must be taken under the laws of the state in which it was taken. I prefer this approach because it eliminates the ambiguity and potential for gamesmanship in Section 2035 in a clear, easily-administered manner.

II. Suit to be Filed by Petitioner's Heirs or Representatives

Professor Weber also mentions that Oklahoma and Ohio allow a petition to be made "even if it is not the petitioner but rather his or her heirs or representatives who will be parties to the action that cannot yet be brought." Weber Study at 1072. This more liberal approach is not adopted in Rule 27. The rule provides, in part,

The petition shall be entitled in the name of the petitioner and shall show: 1, that the petitioner expects to be a party to an action cognizable in a court of the United States but is presently unable to bring it or cause it to be brought . . .

Rule 27(a)(1). Thus, it is implied in Rule 27 that the petitioner must be the party to the putative suit, rather than the petitioner's heirs or representatives.

In Ohio, there are no published decisions regarding the issue of an heir or representative of a petitioner who will not be the party to an action, nor has any law review article discussed this issue, most likely because Ohio Civil Rule 27 is a black letter, straight-forward rule. The same is true for the Oklahoma provision (Okla. Stat. tit. 12, § 3227 (2002)).

Recommendation

Since the terms of the Ohio and Oklahoma provisions are most readily identified as probate terms, most likely the policy behind the rule is to allow presuit discovery for cases that become ripe at the death of the petitioner. In the interest of keeping the rules for presuit discovery simple, and considering the lack of any pressing policy reason to adopt the expanded rule for petitioner identity (it has only been adopted in two states), I do not think that such an amendment of Section 2035 is necessary.

Contents

Heading of Title 3 (commencing with Section 1985) (amended)	1
Heading of Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 1985) (repealed)	1
Heading of Chapter 3 (commencing with Section 2002) (repealed)	1
Heading of Title 4 (commencing with Section 2002) (added)	
Heading of Article 1 (commencing with Section 2002) (repealed)	
Heading of Chapter 1 (commencing with Section 2002) (added)	
Heading of Article 2 (commencing with Section 2009) (repealed)	
Heading of Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 2009) (added)	
Code Civ. Proc. §§ 2016-2036 (repealed). Discovery	
Code Civ. Proc. §§ 2016.010-2036.050 (added). Discovery	
CHAPTER 3. DISCOVERY	
Article 1. General Provisions	
\$ 2016.010. Short title	
§ 2016.020. Definitions	
§ 2016.020. Definitions \$ 2016.030. Written stipulations regarding depositions and discovery	
\$ 2016.040. Service by mail	
§ 2016.050. Computation of time when last day falls on Saturday, Sunday, or holiday	
§ 2016.060. Application of chapter to discovery in aid of enforcement of money judgment	
Article 2. Scope of Discovery	
§ 2017.010. Scope of discovery	
§ 2017.020. Discovery of insurance coverage	
§ 2017.030. Discovery concerning plaintiff's sexual conduct	
§ 2017.040. Court order limiting scope of discovery	
Article. 3. Use of Technology in Conducting Discovery in a Complex Case	
§ 2017.110. "Technology" defined	
§ 2017.120. Effect of article	
§ 2017.130. Use of technology in conducting discovery in complex case	
§ 2017.140. Use of service provider	
Article 4. Attorney Work Product	
§ 2018.010. "Client" defined	
§ 2018.020. Attorney work product	
Article 5. Methods and Sequence of Discovery	
§ 2019.010. Methods of discovery	
§ 2019.020. Sequence of discovery	
§ 2019.030. Timing of discovery relating to trade secret	
§ 2019.040. Restriction on use of discovery methods	
Article 6. Nonparty Discovery	
§ 2020.010. Method and process for obtaining discovery from nonparty	9
§ 2020.020. Types of deposition subpoenas	
§ 2020.030. Application of other code provisions	10
§ 2020.040. Issuance of deposition subpoena	10
§ 2020.050. Deposition subpoena commanding only attendance and testimony of deponent	10
§ 2020.060. Deposition subpoena commanding only production of business records for	
copying	11
§ 2020.070. Officer for deposition seeking only production of business records for	
copying	12
§ 2020.080. Compliance with deposition subpoena commanding only production of	
business records for copying	
§ 2020.090. Duties of deposition officer	13
§ 2020.100. Deposition subpoena commanding both production of business records and	
attendance and testimony of deponent	13

	8 2020.110	. Service of deposition subpoena	. 14
		. Witness fees	
	§ 2020.120	. Sanctions for disobedience of deposition subpoena	15
A		ctions	
	§ 2023.010	. Misuses of discovery process	15
	§ 2023.020	. Sanctions for failure to confer as required	16
	§ 2023.030	Other sanctions for misuse of discovery	16
		. Content of request for sanction	
A		ne for Completion of Discovery	
		. Completion of discovery	
		. Discovery cutoff	
		. Discovery cutoff for expert witness	
		Exceptions to discovery cutoff	
		. Motion to extend or reopen discovery	
		Agreement extending discovery cutoff	
Α		l Deposition in California	
11		Oral deposition in California	
		. Time of service of deposition notice	
	-	Content of deposition notice	
		Notice to deponent other than natural person	
		Service of deposition notice and related documents	
		Place of deposition	
		. Motion to require party to attend deposition at more distant place	
		Time of taking oral deposition	
		Noncompliance with requirements for deposition notice	
		. Effect of deposition notice	
	§ 2025.110	. Deposition by remote electronic means	25
		<u> </u>	
		. Motion for protective order	
	§ 2025.130	. Motion for protective order	26
	§ 2025.130 § 2025.140	. Motion for protective order	26
	§ 2025.130§ 2025.140§ 2025.150	. Motion for protective order	27
	§ 2025.130 § 2025.140 § 2025.150 § 2025.160	Motion for protective order Sanctions where party giving notice of deposition fails to attend or proceed Sanctions where nonparty deponent fails to appear Sanctions where party deponent fails to appear Deposition officer	26 27 27 28
	\$ 2025.130 \$ 2025.140 \$ 2025.150 \$ 2025.160 \$ 2025.170	Motion for protective order Sanctions where party giving notice of deposition fails to attend or proceed Sanctions where nonparty deponent fails to appear Sanctions where party deponent fails to appear Deposition officer Conduct of deposition	26 27 27 28 28
	\$ 2025.130 \$ 2025.140 \$ 2025.150 \$ 2025.160 \$ 2025.170 \$ 2025.180	Motion for protective order Sanctions where party giving notice of deposition fails to attend or proceed Sanctions where nonparty deponent fails to appear Sanctions where party deponent fails to appear Deposition officer Conduct of deposition Deposition recorded by audiotape or videotape	26 27 27 28 28 29
	\$ 2025.130 \$ 2025.140 \$ 2025.150 \$ 2025.160 \$ 2025.170 \$ 2025.180	Motion for protective order Sanctions where party giving notice of deposition fails to attend or proceed Sanctions where nonparty deponent fails to appear Sanctions where party deponent fails to appear Deposition officer Conduct of deposition	26 27 27 28 28 29
	\$ 2025.130 \$ 2025.140 \$ 2025.150 \$ 2025.160 \$ 2025.170 \$ 2025.180 \$ 2025.190	Motion for protective order Sanctions where party giving notice of deposition fails to attend or proceed Sanctions where nonparty deponent fails to appear Sanctions where party deponent fails to appear Deposition officer Conduct of deposition Deposition recorded by audiotape or videotape	26 27 28 28 29 30
	\$ 2025.130 \$ 2025.140 \$ 2025.150 \$ 2025.160 \$ 2025.170 \$ 2025.180 \$ 2025.190 \$ 2025.200	Motion for protective order Sanctions where party giving notice of deposition fails to attend or proceed Sanctions where nonparty deponent fails to appear Sanctions where party deponent fails to appear Deposition officer Conduct of deposition Deposition recorded by audiotape or videotape Waiver of error or irregularity	26 27 28 28 29 30 32
	\$ 2025.130 \$ 2025.140 \$ 2025.150 \$ 2025.160 \$ 2025.170 \$ 2025.180 \$ 2025.200 \$ 2025.210	Motion for protective order Sanctions where party giving notice of deposition fails to attend or proceed Sanctions where nonparty deponent fails to appear Sanctions where party deponent fails to appear Deposition officer Conduct of deposition Deposition recorded by audiotape or videotape Waiver of error or irregularity Motion for protective order	26 27 28 28 29 30 31 31
	\$ 2025.130 \$ 2025.140 \$ 2025.150 \$ 2025.160 \$ 2025.170 \$ 2025.180 \$ 2025.200 \$ 2025.210 \$ 2025.220	Motion for protective order Sanctions where party giving notice of deposition fails to attend or proceed Sanctions where nonparty deponent fails to appear Sanctions where party deponent fails to appear Deposition officer Conduct of deposition Deposition recorded by audiotape or videotape Waiver of error or irregularity Motion for protective order Motion to compel	26 27 28 28 29 30 31 33 33
	\$ 2025.130 \$ 2025.140 \$ 2025.150 \$ 2025.160 \$ 2025.170 \$ 2025.180 \$ 2025.200 \$ 2025.210 \$ 2025.220 \$ 2025.230	Motion for protective order Sanctions where party giving notice of deposition fails to attend or proceed Sanctions where nonparty deponent fails to appear Sanctions where party deponent fails to appear Deposition officer Conduct of deposition Deposition recorded by audiotape or videotape Waiver of error or irregularity Motion for protective order Motion to compel Transcription of testimony	26 27 28 28 29 30 31 32 33 35 36
	\$ 2025.130 \$ 2025.140 \$ 2025.150 \$ 2025.160 \$ 2025.170 \$ 2025.180 \$ 2025.200 \$ 2025.210 \$ 2025.220 \$ 2025.230 \$ 2025.230 \$ 2025.240	Motion for protective order Sanctions where party giving notice of deposition fails to attend or proceed Sanctions where nonparty deponent fails to appear Sanctions where party deponent fails to appear Deposition officer Conduct of deposition Deposition recorded by audiotape or videotape Waiver of error or irregularity Motion for protective order Motion to compel Transcription of testimony Deponent's review of transcript	26 27 28 28 29 30 31 31 31 31
	\$ 2025.130 \$ 2025.140 \$ 2025.150 \$ 2025.160 \$ 2025.170 \$ 2025.180 \$ 2025.200 \$ 2025.210 \$ 2025.220 \$ 2025.230 \$ 2025.240 \$ 2025.250	Motion for protective order Sanctions where party giving notice of deposition fails to attend or proceed Sanctions where nonparty deponent fails to appear Sanctions where party deponent fails to appear Deposition officer Conduct of deposition Deposition recorded by audiotape or videotape Waiver of error or irregularity Motion for protective order Motion to compel Transcription of testimony Deponent's review of transcript Deponent's review of recording Certification of transcript	26 27 28 28 30 31 33 33 35 36 37
	\$ 2025.130 \$ 2025.140 \$ 2025.150 \$ 2025.160 \$ 2025.170 \$ 2025.180 \$ 2025.200 \$ 2025.210 \$ 2025.220 \$ 2025.230 \$ 2025.240 \$ 2025.250 \$ 2025.250 \$ 2025.250	Motion for protective order Sanctions where party giving notice of deposition fails to attend or proceed Sanctions where nonparty deponent fails to appear Sanctions where party deponent fails to appear Deposition officer Conduct of deposition Deposition recorded by audiotape or videotape Waiver of error or irregularity Motion for protective order Motion to compel Transcription of testimony Deponent's review of transcript Deponent's review of recording Certification of transcript Sealing of transcript	26 27 28 28 29 30 31 33 35 36 37 38
	\$ 2025.130 \$ 2025.140 \$ 2025.150 \$ 2025.160 \$ 2025.170 \$ 2025.180 \$ 2025.200 \$ 2025.200 \$ 2025.210 \$ 2025.220 \$ 2025.230 \$ 2025.240 \$ 2025.250 \$ 2025.250 \$ 2025.250	Motion for protective order Sanctions where party giving notice of deposition fails to attend or proceed Sanctions where nonparty deponent fails to appear Sanctions where party deponent fails to appear Deposition officer Conduct of deposition Deposition recorded by audiotape or videotape Waiver of error or irregularity Motion for protective order Motion to compel Transcription of testimony Deponent's review of transcript Deponent's review of recording Certification of transcript Sealing of audiotape or videotape record	26 27 28 28 29 30 31 32 33 35 36 37 38 38
	\$ 2025.130 \$ 2025.140 \$ 2025.150 \$ 2025.160 \$ 2025.170 \$ 2025.180 \$ 2025.200 \$ 2025.210 \$ 2025.220 \$ 2025.230 \$ 2025.240 \$ 2025.240 \$ 2025.250 \$ 2025.250 \$ 2025.250	Motion for protective order Sanctions where party giving notice of deposition fails to attend or proceed Sanctions where nonparty deponent fails to appear Sanctions where party deponent fails to appear Deposition officer Conduct of deposition Deposition recorded by audiotape or videotape Waiver of error or irregularity Motion for protective order Motion to compel Transcription of testimony Deponent's review of transcript Deponent's review of recording Certification of transcript Sealing of transcript Sealing of audiotape or videotape record Copy of transcript, videotape, or other recording for nonparty	26 27 28 28 28 29 30 31 33 33 35 36 38 38 38 38 38
	\$ 2025.130 \$ 2025.140 \$ 2025.150 \$ 2025.160 \$ 2025.170 \$ 2025.180 \$ 2025.200 \$ 2025.210 \$ 2025.210 \$ 2025.220 \$ 2025.230 \$ 2025.240 \$ 2025.250 \$ 2025.250 \$ 2025.250 \$ 2025.260 \$ 2025.270 \$ 2025.280 \$ 2025.290	Motion for protective order Sanctions where party giving notice of deposition fails to attend or proceed Sanctions where nonparty deponent fails to appear Sanctions where party deponent fails to appear Deposition officer Conduct of deposition Deposition recorded by audiotape or videotape Waiver of error or irregularity Motion for protective order Motion to compel Transcription of testimony Deponent's review of transcript Deponent's review of recording Certification of transcript Sealing of transcript Sealing of audiotape or videotape record Copy of transcript, videotape, or other recording for nonparty Subsequent deposition of same deponent	26 27 28 28 29 30 31 33 35 36 37 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38
Α	\$ 2025.130 \$ 2025.140 \$ 2025.150 \$ 2025.160 \$ 2025.170 \$ 2025.180 \$ 2025.200 \$ 2025.210 \$ 2025.220 \$ 2025.220 \$ 2025.240 \$ 2025.250 \$ 2025.250 \$ 2025.250 \$ 2025.270 \$ 2025.280 \$ 2025.290 \$ 2025.300	Motion for protective order Sanctions where party giving notice of deposition fails to attend or proceed Sanctions where nonparty deponent fails to appear Sanctions where party deponent fails to appear Deposition officer Conduct of deposition Deposition recorded by audiotape or videotape Waiver of error or irregularity Motion for protective order Motion to compel Transcription of testimony Deponent's review of transcript Deponent's review of recording Certification of transcript Sealing of transcript Sealing of audiotape or videotape record Copy of transcript, videotape, or other recording for nonparty Subsequent deposition of same deponent Use of deposition testimony	26 27 28 28 29 30 31 33 33 35 36 37 38 38 38 38 38 40 40
Α	\$ 2025.130 \$ 2025.140 \$ 2025.150 \$ 2025.160 \$ 2025.170 \$ 2025.180 \$ 2025.200 \$ 2025.210 \$ 2025.220 \$ 2025.220 \$ 2025.230 \$ 2025.240 \$ 2025.250 \$ 2025.250 \$ 2025.250 \$ 2025.250 \$ 2025.270 \$ 2025.280 \$ 2025.300 article 10. On	Motion for protective order Sanctions where party giving notice of deposition fails to attend or proceed Sanctions where nonparty deponent fails to appear Sanctions where party deponent fails to appear Deposition officer Conduct of deposition Deposition recorded by audiotape or videotape Waiver of error or irregularity Motion for protective order Motion to compel Transcription of testimony Deponent's review of transcript Deponent's review of recording Certification of transcript Sealing of transcript Sealing of audiotape or videotape record Copy of transcript, videotape, or other recording for nonparty Subsequent deposition of same deponent Use of deposition Cutside California	26 27 28 28 29 30 31 33 33 35 36 37 40 40 40
Α	\$ 2025.130 \$ 2025.140 \$ 2025.150 \$ 2025.160 \$ 2025.170 \$ 2025.180 \$ 2025.200 \$ 2025.200 \$ 2025.210 \$ 2025.220 \$ 2025.240 \$ 2025.250 \$ 2025.250 \$ 2025.260 \$ 2025.270 \$ 2025.280 \$ 2025.290 \$ 2025.300 article 10. Or	Motion for protective order Sanctions where party giving notice of deposition fails to attend or proceed Sanctions where nonparty deponent fails to appear Sanctions where party deponent fails to appear Deposition officer Conduct of deposition Deposition recorded by audiotape or videotape Waiver of error or irregularity Motion for protective order Motion to compel Transcription of testimony Deponent's review of transcript Deponent's review of recording Certification of transcript Sealing of transcript Sealing of audiotape or videotape record Copy of transcript, videotape, or other recording for nonparty Subsequent deposition of same deponent Use of deposition testimony al Deposition Outside California Oral deposition in another state or territory of the United States	26 27 28 28 30 31 33 33 35 36 37 40 40 42
	\$ 2025.130 \$ 2025.140 \$ 2025.150 \$ 2025.160 \$ 2025.170 \$ 2025.180 \$ 2025.200 \$ 2025.210 \$ 2025.220 \$ 2025.220 \$ 2025.230 \$ 2025.240 \$ 2025.250 \$ 2025.260 \$ 2025.260 \$ 2025.270 \$ 2025.280 \$ 2025.290 \$ 2025.300 Article 10. On \$ 2026.010 \$ 2026.020	Motion for protective order Sanctions where party giving notice of deposition fails to attend or proceed Sanctions where nonparty deponent fails to appear Sanctions where party deponent fails to appear Deposition officer Conduct of deposition Deposition recorded by audiotape or videotape Waiver of error or irregularity Motion for protective order Motion to compel Transcription of testimony Deponent's review of transcript Deponent's review of recording Certification of transcript Sealing of transcript Sealing of audiotape or videotape record Copy of transcript, videotape, or other recording for nonparty Subsequent deposition of same deponent Use of deposition testimony al Deposition Outside California Oral deposition in another state or territory of the United States Oral deposition in foreign nation	26 27 28 28 30 31 33 33 35 36 37 40 40 42 42 42
	\$ 2025.130 \$ 2025.140 \$ 2025.150 \$ 2025.160 \$ 2025.170 \$ 2025.180 \$ 2025.200 \$ 2025.210 \$ 2025.220 \$ 2025.230 \$ 2025.240 \$ 2025.250 \$ 2025.250 \$ 2025.260 \$ 2025.260 \$ 2025.280 \$ 2025.290 \$ 2025.300 article 10. On	Motion for protective order Sanctions where party giving notice of deposition fails to attend or proceed Sanctions where nonparty deponent fails to appear Sanctions where party deponent fails to appear Deposition officer Conduct of deposition Deposition recorded by audiotape or videotape Waiver of error or irregularity Motion for protective order Motion to compel Transcription of testimony Deponent's review of transcript Deponent's review of recording Certification of transcript Sealing of transcript Sealing of audiotape or videotape record Copy of transcript, videotape, or other recording for nonparty Subsequent deposition of same deponent Use of deposition testimony al Deposition Outside California Oral deposition in another state or territory of the United States Oral deposition in foreign nation Position By Written Questions	26 27 28 28 30 31 33 33 33 34 40 40 42 42 42
	\$ 2025.130 \$ 2025.140 \$ 2025.150 \$ 2025.160 \$ 2025.170 \$ 2025.200 \$ 2025.200 \$ 2025.210 \$ 2025.220 \$ 2025.230 \$ 2025.240 \$ 2025.250 \$ 2025.260 \$ 2025.270 \$ 2025.280 \$ 2025.290 \$ 2025.300 article 10. On \$ 2026.010 \$ 2026.020 article 11. De \$ 2028.010	Motion for protective order Sanctions where party giving notice of deposition fails to attend or proceed Sanctions where nonparty deponent fails to appear Sanctions where party deponent fails to appear Deposition officer Conduct of deposition Deposition recorded by audiotape or videotape Waiver of error or irregularity Motion for protective order Motion to compel Transcription of testimony Deponent's review of transcript Deponent's review of recording Certification of transcript Sealing of transcript Sealing of audiotape or videotape record Copy of transcript, videotape, or other recording for nonparty Subsequent deposition of same deponent Use of deposition testimony al Deposition Outside California Oral deposition in another state or territory of the United States Oral deposition in foreign nation Position By Written Questions Deposition by written questions	26 27 28 29 30 31 33 33 35 36 37 38 38 40 42 42 42 42 44
	\$ 2025.130 \$ 2025.140 \$ 2025.150 \$ 2025.160 \$ 2025.170 \$ 2025.200 \$ 2025.210 \$ 2025.210 \$ 2025.220 \$ 2025.230 \$ 2025.240 \$ 2025.250 \$ 2025.250 \$ 2025.260 \$ 2025.270 \$ 2025.280 \$ 2025.290 \$ 2025.300 article 10. On \$ 2026.020 article 11. De \$ 2028.010 \$ 2028.020	Motion for protective order Sanctions where party giving notice of deposition fails to attend or proceed Sanctions where nonparty deponent fails to appear Sanctions where party deponent fails to appear Deposition officer Conduct of deposition Deposition recorded by audiotape or videotape Waiver of error or irregularity Motion for protective order Motion to compel Transcription of testimony Deponent's review of transcript Deponent's review of recording Certification of transcript Sealing of transcript Sealing of audiotape or videotape record Copy of transcript, videotape, or other recording for nonparty Subsequent deposition of same deponent Use of deposition testimony Tal Deposition Outside California Oral deposition in another state or territory of the United States Oral deposition by Written Questions Deposition by written questions Notice of written deposition	26 27 28 28 30 31 33 33 35 36 37 40 42 42 44 44 44 44 44
	\$ 2025.130 \$ 2025.140 \$ 2025.150 \$ 2025.160 \$ 2025.170 \$ 2025.180 \$ 2025.200 \$ 2025.210 \$ 2025.220 \$ 2025.220 \$ 2025.230 \$ 2025.240 \$ 2025.250 \$ 2025.250 \$ 2025.260 \$ 2025.270 \$ 2025.280 \$ 2025.290 \$ 2025.300 article 10. On \$ 2026.010 \$ 2026.020 article 11. De \$ 2028.010 \$ 2028.030	Motion for protective order Sanctions where party giving notice of deposition fails to attend or proceed Sanctions where nonparty deponent fails to appear Sanctions where party deponent fails to appear Deposition officer Conduct of deposition Deposition recorded by audiotape or videotape Waiver of error or irregularity Motion for protective order Motion to compel Transcription of testimony Deponent's review of transcript Deponent's review of recording Certification of transcript Sealing of transcript Sealing of audiotape or videotape record Copy of transcript, videotape, or other recording for nonparty Subsequent deposition of same deponent Use of deposition testimony al Deposition Outside California Oral deposition in another state or territory of the United States Oral deposition in foreign nation Position By Written Questions Deposition by written questions	20 22 22 30 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 41 42 44 44 44 44 44 44

§ 2028.050. Objection based on privilege	45
§ 2028.060. Preview of questions	45
§ 2028.070. Court orders	46
§ 2028.080. Duties of deposition officer	46
Article 12. Deposition in Action Pending Outside California	46
2029.010. Deposition in action pending outside California	46
Article 13. Written Interrogatories	47
§ 2030. Written interrogatories to parties	47
Article 14. Inspection and Production of Documents, Tangible Things, Land, and Other	
Property	
§ 2031. Inspection and production of documents, tangible things, land, and other property	53
§ 2031.5. Disclosure of written evidence relating to land boundary or validity of state patent	
or grant	
Article 15. Physical or Mental Examination	
§ 2032. Physical or mental examination	
Article 16. Requests for Admission	
§ 2033. Requests for admission	
Article 17. Form Interrogatories and Requests for Admission	
§ 2033.510. Judicial Council to develop form interrogatories and requests for admission	70
§ 2033.520. Form interrogatories for use by victim who has not received complete payment	
of restitution order	70
§ 2033.530. Procedures for development of form interrogatories and requests for	7.1
admission	
§ 2044.540. Procedures for use of form interrogatories and requests for admission	
Article 18. Simultaneous Exchange of Expert Witness Information	
§ 2034. Simultaneous exchange of information concerning expert trial witnesses	
Article 19. Perpetuation of Testimony or Preservation of Evidence Before Filing Action	
§ 2035.010. Perpetuation of testimony or preservation of evidence before filing action	
§ 2035.020. Methods of discovery	
§ 2035.030. Petition	
§ 2035.040. Service of notice of petition	
§ 2035.050. Court order	
§ 2035.060. Use of presuit deposition to perpetuate testimony	
Article 20. Perpetuation of Testimony or Preservation of Information Pending Appeal	
§ 2036.010. Perpetuation of testimony or preservation of information pending appeal	
§ 2036.020. Methods of discovery	
§ 2036.030. Motion for leave to conduct discovery pending appeal	
§ 2036.040. Court order	
8 ZU36 U3U USE OF deposition to perpetuate testimony pending appeal	82

PR OPOSE D LEGISL ATION

1	Heading of Title 3 (commencing with Section 1985) (amended).
2	SEC The heading of Title 3 (commencing with Section 1985) of Part 4 of
3	the Code of Civil Procedure is amended to read:
4	TITLE 3. OF THE MEANS OF PRODUCTION OF EVIDENCE
5	Heading of Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 1985) (repealed).
6	SEC The heading of Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 1985) of Title 3
7	of Part 4 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
8	CHAPTER 2. MEANS OF PRODUCTION
9	Heading of Chapter 3 (commencing with Section 2002) (repealed).
10	SEC The heading of Chapter 3 (commencing with Section 2002) of Title 3
11	of Part 4 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
12	CHAPTER 3. MANNER OF PRODUCTION
13	Heading of Title 4 (commencing with Section 2002) (added).
14	SEC A heading is added immediately preceding Section 2002 of the Code
15	of Civil Procedure, to read:
16	TITLE 4. OF THE MANNER OF PRODUCTION OF
16	
17	E VIDE NC E
18	Heading of Article 1 (commencing with Section 2002) (repealed).
19	SEC The heading of Article 1 (commencing with Section 2002) of Chapter
20	3 of Title 3 of Part 4 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
21	Article 1. Mode of Taking the Testimony of Witnesses
22	Heading of Chapter 1 (commencing with Section 2002) (added).
23	SEC A heading is added immediately preceding Section 2002 of the Code
24	of Civil Procedure, to read:

1	CHAPTER 1. MODE OF TAKING THE TESTIMONY OF
2	WITNESSES
2	
3	Heading of Article 2 (commencing with Section 2009) (repealed).
4	SEC The heading of Article 2 (commencing with Section 2009) of Chapter
5	3 of Title 3 of Part 4 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
6	Article 2. Affidavits
7	Heading of Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 2009) (added).
8	SEC A heading is added immediately preceding Section 2009 of the Code
9	of Civil Procedure, to read:
10	CHAPTER 2. AFFIDAVITS
11	Code Civ. Proc. §§ 2016-2036 (repealed). Discovery
12	SEC Article 3 (commencing with Section 2016) of Chapter 3 of Title 3 of
13	Part 4 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
14	Comment. Sections 2016-2036 are repealed to facilitate nonsubstantive reorganization of the
15	rules governing civil discovery.
16	Code Civ. Proc. §§ 2016.010-2036.050 (added). Discovery
17	SEC Chapter 3 (commencing with Section 2016.010) is added to Title 4 of
18	Part 4 of the Code of Civil Procedure, to read:
19	CHAPTER 3. DISCOVERY
20	Article 1. General Provisions
21	§ 2016.010. Short title
22	2016.010. This chapter may be cited as the Civil Discovery Act of 1986.
23	Comment. Section 2016.010 continues former Section 2016(a) without substantive change.
24	§ 2016.020. Definitions
25	2016.020. As used in this chapter:
26	(a) "Action" includes a civil action and a special proceeding of a civil nature.
27	(b) "Court" means the trial court in which the action is pending, unless otherwise
28	specified.
29	(c) "Document" and " writing" mean a writing as defined in Section 250 of the
30	Evidence Code.
31	Comment. Section 2016.020 continues former Section 2016(b) without substantive change.

§ 2016.030. Written stipulations regarding depositions and discovery

- 2016.030. Unless the court orders otherwise, the parties may by written stipulation do either or both of the following:
- (a) Provide that depositions may be taken before any person, at any time or place, on any notice, and in any manner, and when so taken may be used like other depositions.
- (b) Modify the procedures provided by this chapter for other methods of discovery.
- **Comment.** Section 2016.030 continues former Section 2021 without substantive change.

§ 2016.040. Service by mail

- 2016.040. Section 1013 applies to any method of discovery or service of a motion for discovery provided for in this chapter.
- **Comment.** Section 2016.040 continues former Section 2019(e) without substantive change.
- Staff Note. Section 2019(e) refers to service of a motion for discovery, but not to service of a motion for a protective order or a motion to compel. Perhaps this provision should be revised to refer to "service of a motion provided for in this chapter."

§ 2016.050. Computation of time when last day falls on Saturday, Sunday, or holiday

- 2016.050. When the last day to perform or complete any act provided for in this chapter falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or holiday as specified in Section 10, the time limit is extended until the next day that is not a Saturday, Sunday, or holiday.
- **Comment.** Section 2016.050 continues former Section 2024(g) without substantive change.

§ 2016.060. Application of chapter to discovery in aid of enforcement of money judgment

- 2016.060. This chapter applies to discovery in aid of enforcement of a money judgment only to the extent provided in Article 1 (commencing with Section 708.010) of Chapter 6 of Title 9 of Part 2.
- **Comment.** Section 2016.060 continues former Section 2016(c) without substantive change.

Article 2. Scope of Discovery

§ 2017.010. Scope of discovery

2017.010. Unless otherwise limited by order of the court in accordance with this chapter, any party may obtain discovery regarding any matter, not privileged, that is relevant to the subject matter involved in the pending action or to the determination of any motion made in that action, if the matter either is itself admissible in evidence or appears reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may relate to the claim or defense of the party seeking discovery or of any other party to the action. Discovery may be obtained of the identity and location of persons having knowledge of any discoverable matter, as well as of the existence, description, nature, custody, condition, and location of any document, tangible thing, or land or other property.

Comment. Section 2017.010 continues former Section 2017(a) without substantive change.

§ 2017.020. Discovery of insurance coverage

2017.020. A party may obtain discovery of the existence and contents of any agreement under which any insurance carrier may be liable to satisfy in whole or in part a judgment that may be entered in the action or to indemnify or reimburse for payments made to satisfy the judgment. This discovery may include the identity of the carrier and the nature and limits of the coverage. A party may also obtain discovery as to whether that insurance carrier is disputing the agreement's coverage of the claim involved in the action, but not as to the nature and substance of that dispute. Information concerning the insurance agreement is not by reason of disclosure admissible in evidence at trial.

Comment. Section 2017.020 continues former Section 2017(b) without change.

§ 2017.030. Discovery concerning plaintiff's sexual conduct

2017.030. (a) In any civil action alleging conduct that constitutes sexual harassment, sexual assault, or sexual battery, any party seeking discovery concerning the plaintiff's sexual conduct with individuals other than the alleged perpetrator shall establish specific facts showing that good cause exists for that discovery, and that the matter sought to be discovered is relevant to the subject matter of the action and reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. This showing shall be made by noticed motion and shall not be made or considered by the court at an ex parte hearing. This motion shall be accompanied by a declaration stating facts showing a good faith attempt at an informal resolution of each issue presented by the motion.

(b) The court shall impose a monetary sanction under Article 7 against any party, person, or attorney who unsuccessfully makes or opposes a motion for discovery, unless it finds that the one subject to the sanction acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust.

Comment. Section 2017.030 continues former Section 2017(d) without substantive change.

§ 2017.040. Court order limiting scope of discovery

2017.040. (a) The court shall limit the scope of discovery if it determines that the burden, expense, or intrusiveness of that discovery clearly outweighs the likelihood that the information sought will lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The court may make this determination pursuant to a motion for protective order by a party or other affected person. This motion shall be accompanied by a declaration stating facts showing a good faith attempt at an informal resolution of each issue presented by the motion.

(b) The court shall impose a monetary sanction under Article 7 against any party, person, or attorney who unsuccessfully makes or opposes a motion for a protective order, unless it finds that the one subject to the sanction acted with substantial

justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction 1 unjust. 2

Comment. Section 2017.040 continues former Section 2017(c) without substantive change.

Article. 3. Use of Technology in Conducting Discovery in a Complex Case

§ 2017.110. "Technology" defined

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

2017.110. Subject to the findings required by Section 2017.130 and the purpose and encouraging cost-effective and efficient "technology," as used in this article, includes, but is not limited to, telephone, email, CD-ROM, Internet web sites, electronic documents, electronic document depositories, Internet depositions and storage, videoconferencing, and other electronic technology that may be used to improve communication and the discovery process.

Comment. Section 2017.110 continues former Section 2017(e)(6) without substantive change.

§ 2017.120. Effect of article

2017.120. (a) Nothing in this article diminishes the rights and duties of the parties regarding discovery, privileges, procedural rights, or substantive law.

- (b) Nothing in this article modifies the requirement for use of a stenographic court reporter as provided in Section 2025.170. The rules, standards, and guidelines adopted pursuant to this subdivision shall be consistent with the requirement of Section 2025.170 that deposition testimony be taken stenographically unless the parties agree or the court orders otherwise.
- (c) Nothing in this article modifies or affects in any way the process used for the 23 selection of a stenographic court reporter. 24
- Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 2017.120 continues former Section 2017(e)(4) without 25 26 substantive change. 27
 - Subdivision (b) continues former Section 2017(e)(7) without substantive change.
- Subdivision (c) continues former Section 2017(e)(8) without substantive change. 28

§ 2017.130. Use of technology in conducting discovery in complex case

- 2017.130. (a) Pursuant to noticed motion, a court may enter an order authorizing the use of technology in conducting discovery in any of the following:
- (1) A case designated as complex pursuant to Section 19 of the Judicial Administration Standards.
- (2) Cases ordered to be coordinated pursuant to Chapter 3 (commencing with Section 404) of Title 4 of Part 2.
- (3) An exceptional case exempt from case disposition time goals pursuant to Article 5 (commencing with Section 68600) of Chapter 2 of Title 8 of the Government Code.

- (4) A case assigned to Plan 3 pursuant to paragraph (3) of subdivision (b) of Section 2105 of the California Rules of Court.
- (b) In a case other than one listed in subdivision (a), the parties may stipulate to the entry of an order authorizing the use of technology in conducting discovery.
- (c) An order authorizing the use of technology in conducting discovery may be made only upon the express findings of the court or stipulation of the parties that the procedures adopted in the order meet all of the following criteria:
- (1) They promote cost-effective and efficient discovery or motions relating thereto.
 - (2) They do not impose or require an undue expenditure of time or money.
 - (3) They do not create an undue economic burden or hardship on any person.
- (4) They promote open competition among vendors and providers of services in order to facilitate the highest quality service at the lowest reasonable cost to the litigants.
- (5) They do not require the parties or counsel to purchase exceptional or unnecessary services, hardware, or software.
- (d) Pursuant to an order authorizing the use of technology in conducting discovery, discovery may be conducted and maintained in electronic media and by electronic communication. The court may enter orders prescribing procedures relating to the use of electronic technology in conducting discovery, including orders for service of discovery requests and responses, service and presentation of motions, conduct of discovery in electronic media, and production, storage, and access to information in electronic form.
- (e) The Judicial Council may promulgate rules, standards, and guidelines relating to electronic discovery and the use of electronic discovery data and documents in court proceedings.
- **Comment.** Subdivision (a) of Section 2017.130 continues the first sentence of former Section 2017(e)(1) without substantive change.
- Subdivision (b) continues the second sentence of former Section 2017(e)(1) without substantive change.
 - Subdivision (c) continues former Section 2017(e)(2) without substantive change.
- Subdivision (d) continues the first two sentences of former Section 2017(e)(3) without substantive change.
- Subdivision (e) continues the third sentence of former Section 2017(e)(3) without substantive change.

§ 2017.140. Use of service provider

2017.140. (a) If a service provider is to be used and compensated by the parties in discovery under this article, the court shall appoint the person or organization agreed on by the parties and approve the contract agreed on by the parties and the service provider. If the parties do not agree on selection of a service provider, each party shall submit to the court up to three nominees for appointment, together with a contract acceptable to the nominee. The court shall appoint a service provider from among the nominees. The court may condition this appointment on the

acceptance of modifications in the terms of the contract. If no nominations are received from any of the parties, the court shall appoint one or more service providers.

(b) Pursuant to noticed motion at any time and on a showing of good cause, the court may order the removal of the service provider or vacate any agreement between the parties and the service provider, or both, effective as of the date of the order. The continued service of the service provider shall be subject to review periodically, as agreed by the parties and the service provider, or annually if they do not agree. Any disputes involving the contract or the duties, rights, and obligations of the parties or service provider may be determined on noticed motion in the action.

Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 2017.140 continues the first four sentences of former Section 2017(e)(5) without substantive change.

Subdivision (b) continues the fifth, sixth, and seventh sentences of former Section 2017(e)(5) without substantive change.

Article 4. Attorney Work Product

§ 2018.010. "Client" defined

2018.010. For purposes of this article, "client" means a client as defined in Section 951 of the Evidence Code.

Comment. Section 2018.010 continues the second paragraph of former Section 2018(f) without substantive change.

§ 2018.020. Attorney work product

2018.020. (a) It is the policy of the state to do both of the following:

- (1) Preserve the rights of attorneys to prepare cases for trial with that degree of privacy necessary to encourage them to prepare their cases thoroughly and to investigate not only the favorable but the unfavorable aspects of those cases.
- (2) Prevent attorneys from taking undue advantage of their adversary's industry and efforts.
- (b) A writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, or legal research or theories is not discoverable under any circumstances.
- (c) The work product of an attorney, other than a writing described in subdivision (b), is not discoverable unless the court determines that denial of discovery will unfairly prejudice the party seeking discovery in preparing that party's claim or defense or will result in an injustice.
- (d) This section is intended to be a restatement of existing law relating to protection of work product. It is not intended to expand or reduce the extent to which work product is discoverable under existing law in any action.
- (e) The State Bar may discover the work product of an attorney against whom disciplinary charges are pending when it is relevant to issues of breach of duty by the lawyer and requisite client approval has been obtained. Where requested and

- for good cause, discovery under this subdivision shall be subject to a protective
- order to ensure the confidentiality of the work product except for its use by the
- 3 State Bar in disciplinary investigations and its consideration under seal in State
- 4 Bar Court proceedings. For purposes of this subdivision, whenever a client has
- 5 initiated a complaint against an attorney, the requisite client approval shall be
- 6 deemed to have been obtained.

7

8

9

10

19

20

30 31

32

33

36

37

38

- (f) In an action between an attorney and a client or a former client of the attorney, no work product privilege under this section exists if the work product is relevant to an issue of breach by the attorney of a duty to the client arising out of the attorney-client relationship.
- 11 **Comment.** Subdivision (a) of Section 2018.020 continues former Section 2018(a) without substantive change.
- Subdivision (b) continues former Section 2018(c) without substantive change.
- Subdivision (c) continues former Section 2018(b) without substantive change.
- Subdivision (d) continues former Section 2018(d) without change.
- Subdivision (e) continues former Section 2018(e) without substantive change.
- Subdivision (f) continues the first paragraph of former Section 2018(f) without substantive change.

Article 5. Methods and Sequence of Discovery

§ 2019.010. Methods of discovery

- 2019.010. Any party may obtain discovery by one or more of the following methods:
- 23 (a) Oral and written depositions.
- (b) Interrogatories to a party.
- 25 (c) Inspections of documents, things, and places.
- 26 (d) Physical and mental examinations.
- (e) Requests for admissions.
- 28 (f) Simultaneous exchanges of expert trial witness information.
- 29 **Comment.** Section 2019.010 continues former Section 2019(a) without change.

§ 2019.020. Sequence of discovery

- 2019.020. (a) Except as otherwise provided by a rule of the Judicial Council, a local court rule, or a local uniform written policy, the methods of discovery may be used in any sequence, and the fact that a party is conducting discovery, whether by deposition or another method, shall not operate to delay the discovery of any
- by deposition or another method, shall not operate to delay the discovery of any other party.
 - (b) Notwithstanding subdivision (a), on motion and for good cause shown, the court may establish the sequence and timing of discovery for the convenience of parties and witnesses and in the interests of justice.
- Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 2019.020 continues the first sentence of former Section 2019(c) without substantive change.
- Subdivision (b) continues the second sentence of former Section 2019(c) without substantive change.

§ 2019.030. Timing of discovery relating to trade secret

- 2 2019.030. In any action alleging the misappropriation of a trade secret under the
- 3 Uniform Trade Secrets Act (Title 5 (commencing with Section 3426) of Part 1 of
- 4 Division 4 of the Civil Code), before commencing discovery relating to the trade
- secret, the party alleging the misappropriation shall identify the trade secret with
- 6 reasonable particularity subject to any orders that may be appropriate under
- 7 Section 3426.5 of the Civil Code.

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

32

33

34

35

8 **Comment.** Section 2019.030 continues former Section 2019(d) without change.

§ 2019.040. Restriction on use of discovery methods

- (a) The court shall restrict the frequency or extent of use of a discovery method provided in Section 2019.010 if it determines either of the following:
- (1) The discovery sought is unreasonably cumulative or duplicative, or is obtainable from some other source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.
- (2) The selected method of discovery is unduly burdensome or expensive, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the litigation.
- (b) The court may make these determinations pursuant to a motion for a protective order by a party or other affected person. This motion shall be accompanied by a declaration stating facts showing a good faith attempt at an informal resolution of each issue presented by the motion.
- (c) The court shall impose a monetary sanction under Article 7 against any party, person, or attorney who unsuccessfully makes or opposes a motion for a protective order, unless it finds that the one subject to the sanction acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust.
- **Comment.** Section 2019.040 continues former Section 2019(b) without substantive change.

Article 6. Nonparty Discovery

§ 2020.010. Method and process for obtaining discovery from nonparty

- 2020.010. (a) Any of the following methods may be used to obtain discovery within the state from a person who is not a party to an action:
 - (1) An oral deposition under Article 9.
 - (2) A written deposition under Article 11.
 - (3) A deposition for production of business records and things under Section 2020.060 or 2020.100.
- 36 (b) Except as provided in subdivision (a) of Section 2025.100, the process by which a nonparty is required to provide discovery is a deposition subpoena.
- Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 2020.010 continues the first sentence of former Section 2020(a) without substantive change.

Subdivision (b) continues the second sentence of former Section 2020(a) without substantive change.

§ 2020.020. Types of deposition subpoenas

- 4 2020.020. A deposition subpoena may command any of the following:
- 5 (a) Only the attendance and the testimony of the deponent, under Section 2020.050.
- 7 (b) Only the production of business records for copying, under Section 8 2020.060.
- 9 (c) The attendance and the testimony of the deponent, as well as the production of business records, other documents, and tangible things, under Section 2020.100.
- 11 **Comment.** Section 2020.020 continues the third sentence of former Section 2020(a) without substantive change.

§ 2020.030. Application of other code provisions

13

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

34

39

- 2020.030. Except as modified in this article, the provisions of Title 3
- 15 (commencing with Section 1985), and of Article 4 (commencing with Section
- 1560) of Chapter 2 of Division 11 of the Evidence Code, apply to a deposition subpoena.
- 18 **Comment.** Section 2020.030 continues the last paragraph of former Section 2020(a) without substantive change.

§ 2020.040. Issuance of deposition subpoena

- 2020.040. (a) The clerk of the court in which an action is pending shall issue a deposition subpoena signed and sealed, but otherwise in blank, to a party requesting it, who shall fill it in before service.
- (b) In lieu of a court-issued deposition subpoena, an attorney of record for any party may sign and issue a deposition subpoena. A deposition subpoena issued under this subdivision need not be sealed. A copy may be served on the nonparty, and the attorney may retain the original.
- 28 **Comment.** Section 2020.040 continues former Section 2020(b) without substantive change.
- 29 **Staff Note.** Section 2020(b) provides that "a copy *may* be served on the nonparty, and the attorney *may* retain the original." (Emphasis added.) This draft tracks that permissive language. It might be appropriate, however, to make the provision mandatory: "A copy *shall* be served on the nonparty, and the attorney *shall* retain the original." This would be a minor substantive change. If the Commission is inclined to make such a change, it will need to be clearly identified as such.

§ 2020.050. Deposition subpoena commanding only attendance and testimony of deponent

- 2020.050. The following rules apply to a deposition subpoena that commands only the attendance and the testimony of the deponent:
- 37 (a) The subpoena shall specify the time when and the place where the deponent 38 is commanded to attend the deposition.
 - (b) The subpoena shall set forth a summary of all of the following:
- 40 (1) The nature of a deposition.

(2) The rights and duties of the deponent.

- (3) The penalties for disobedience of a deposition subpoena, as described in Section 2020.120.
- (c) If the deposition will be recorded by videotape under Section 2025.180, the subpoena shall state that it will be recorded in that manner.
- (d) If the deponent is an organization, the subpoena shall describe with reasonable particularity the matters on which examination is requested. The subpoena shall also advise the organization of its duty to make the designation of employees or agents who will attend the deposition, as described in Section 2025.040.
- **Comment.** Section 2020.050 continues former Section 2020(c) without substantive change.

§ 2020.060. Deposition subpoena commanding only production of business records for copying

- 2020.060. (a) A deposition subpoena that commands only the production of business records for copying shall designate the business records to be produced either by specifically describing each individual item or by reasonably particularizing each category of item.
- (b) Notwithstanding subdivision (a), specific information identifiable only to a deponent's records system, such as a policy number or the date when a consumer interacted with the witness, is not required.
- (c) A deposition subpoena that commands only the production of business records need not be accompanied by an affidavit or declaration showing good cause for the production of the business records designated in it. It shall be directed to the custodian of those records or another person qualified to certify the records. It shall command compliance in accordance with Section 2020.080 on a date that is no earlier than 20 days after the issuance, or 15 days after the service, of the deposition subpoena, whichever date is later.
- (d) If, under Section 1985.3 or 1985.6, the one to whom the deposition subpoena is directed is a witness, and the business records described in the deposition subpoena are personal records pertaining to a consumer, the service of the deposition subpoena shall be accompanied either by a copy of the proof of service of the notice to the consumer described in subdivision (e) of Section 1985.3, or subdivision (b) of Section 1985.6, as applicable, or by the consumer's written authorization to release personal records described in paragraph (2) of subdivision (c) of Section 1985.6, as applicable.
- **Comment.** Subdivision (a) of Section 2020.060 continues the first clause of the first sentence of former Section 2020(d)(1) without substantive change.
- Subdivision (b) continues the second clause of the first sentence of former Section 2020(d)(1) without substantive change.
 - Subdivision (c) continues the second, third, and fourth sentences of former Section 2020(d)(1) without substantive change.
 - Subdivision (d) continues former Section 2020(d)(2) without change.

§ 2020.070. Officer for deposition seeking only production of business records for copying

2020.070. The officer for a deposition seeking discovery only of business records for copying under Section 2020.060 shall be a professional photocopier registered under Chapter 20 (commencing with Section 22450) of Division 8 of the Business and Professions Code, or a person exempted from the registration requirements of that chapter under Section 22451 of the Business and Professions Code. This deposition officer shall not be financially interested in the action, or a relative or employee of any attorney of the parties. Any objection to the qualifications of the deposition officer is waived unless made before the date of production or as soon thereafter as the ground for that objection becomes known or could be discovered by reasonable diligence.

Comment. Section 2020.070 continues former Section 2020(d)(3) without substantive change.

§ 2020.080. Compliance with deposition subpoena commanding only production of business records for copying

2020.080. (a) Except as provided in subdivision (e), if a deposition subpoena commands only the production of business records for copying, the custodian of the records or other qualified person shall, in person, by messenger, or by mail, deliver both of the following to the deposition officer specified in the subpoena:

(1) A true, legible, and durable copy of the records.

- (2) An affidavit in compliance with Section 1561 of the Evidence Code.
- (b) If the delivery required by subdivision (a) is made to the office of the deposition officer, the records shall be enclosed, sealed, and directed as described in subdivision (c) of Section 1560 of the Evidence Code.
- (c) If the delivery required by subdivision (a) is made at the office of the business whose records are the subject of the deposition subpoena, the custodian of those records or other qualified person shall do one of the following:
- (1) Permit the deposition officer specified in the deposition subpoena to make a copy of the originals of the designated business records during normal business hours as defined in subdivision (e) of Section 1560 of the Evidence Code.
- (2) Deliver to the deposition officer a true, legible, and durable copy of the records on receipt of payment in cash or by check, by or on behalf of the party serving the deposition subpoena, of the reasonable costs of preparing that copy, together with an itemized statement of the cost of preparation, as determined under subdivision (b) of Section 1563 of the Evidence Code. This copy need not be delivered in a sealed envelope.
- (d) Unless the parties, and if the records are those of a consumer as defined in Section 1985.3 or 1985.6, the consumer, stipulate to an earlier date, the custodian of the records shall not deliver to the deposition officer the records that are the subject of the deposition subpoena before the date and time specified in the deposition subpoena. The following legend shall appear in boldface type on the deposition subpoena immediately following the date and time specified for

production: "Do not release the requested records to the deposition officer before the date and time stated above."

- (e) This section does not apply if the subpoena directs the deponent to make the records available for inspection or copying by the subpoenaing party's attorney or a representative of that attorney at the witness' business address under subdivision (e) of Section 1560 of the Evidence Code.
- (f) The provisions of Section 1562 of the Evidence Code concerning the admissibility of the affidavit of the custodian or other qualified person apply to a deposition subpoena served under Section 2020.060.

Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 2020.080 continues the second clause of the first sentence of former Section 2020(d)(4) without substantive change.

Subdivision (b) continues the second sentence of former Section 2020(d)(4) without substantive change.

Subdivision (c) continues the third and fourth sentences of former Section 2020(d)(4) without substantive change.

Subdivision (d) continues the fifth and sixth sentences of former Section 2020(d)(4) without substantive change.

Subdivision (e) continues the first clause of the first sentence of former Section 2020(d)(4) without substantive change.

Subdivision (f) continues former Section 2020(d)(6) without substantive change.

§ 2020.090. Duties of deposition officer

2020.090. Promptly on or after the deposition date and after the receipt or the making of a copy of business records under Section 2020.080, the deposition officer shall provide that copy to the party at whose instance the deposition subpoena was served, and a copy of those records to any other party to the action who then or subsequently, within a period of six months following the settlement of the case, notifies the deposition officer that the party desires to purchase a copy of those records.

Comment. Section 2020.090 continues former Section 2020(d)(5) without substantive change.

§ 2020.100. Deposition subpoena commanding both production of business records and attendance and testimony of deponent

2020.100. (a) A deposition subpoena that commands the attendance and the testimony of the deponent, as well as the production of business records, documents, and tangible things, shall:

- (1) Comply with the requirements of Section 2020.050.
- (2) Designate the business records, documents, and tangible things to be produced either by specifically describing each individual item or by reasonably particularizing each category of item.
 - (3) Specify any testing or sampling that is being sought.
- (b) A deposition subpoena under subdivision (a) need not be accompanied by an affidavit or declaration showing good cause for the production of the documents and things designated.

(c) Where, as described in Section 1985.3, the person to whom the deposition subpoena is directed is a witness, and the business records described in the deposition subpoena are personal records pertaining to a consumer, the service of the deposition subpoena shall be accompanied either by a copy of the proof of service of the notice to the consumer described in subdivision (e) of Section 1985.3, or by the consumer's written authorization to release personal records described in paragraph (2) of subdivision (c) of Section 1985.3.

Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 2020.100 continues the first sentence of former Section 2020(e) without substantive change.

Subdivision (b) continues the second sentence of former Section 2020(e) without substantive change.

Subdivision (c) continues the second paragraph of former Section 2020(e) without change.

§ 2020.110. Service of deposition subpoena

2020.110. (a) Subject to subdivision (c) of Section 2020.060, service of a deposition subpoena shall be effected a sufficient time in advance of the deposition to provide the deponent a reasonable opportunity to locate and produce any designated business records, documents, and tangible things, as described in Sections 2020.060, 2020.070, 2020.080, and 2020.090, and, where personal attendance is commanded, a reasonable time to travel to the place of deposition.

- (b) Any person may serve the subpoena by personal delivery of a copy of it as follows:
 - (1) If the deponent is a natural person, to that person.
- (2) If the deponent is an organization, to any officer, director, custodian of records, or to any agent or employee authorized by the organization to accept service of a subpoena.
- (c) Personal service of any deposition subpoena is effective to require all of the following of any deponent who is a resident of California at the time of service:
 - (1) Personal attendance and testimony, if the subpoena so specifies.
 - (2) Any specified production, inspection, testing, and sampling.
- (3) The deponent's attendance at a court session to consider any issue arising out of the deponent's refusal to be sworn, or to answer any question, or to produce specified items, or to permit inspection or photocopying, if the subpoena so specifies, or specified testing and sampling of the items produced.
- Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 2020.110 continues the first sentence of former Section 2020(f) without substantive change.
- Subdivision (b) continues the second sentence of former Section 2020(f) without substantive change.
 - Subdivision (c) continues former Section 2020(g) without substantive change.

§ 2020.120. Witness fees

2020.120. (a) If a deposition subpoena requires the personal attendance of the deponent, under Section 2020.050 or 2020.100, the party noticing the deposition shall pay to the deponent in cash or by check the same witness fee and mileage

- required by Chapter 1 (commencing with Section 68070) of Title 8 of the 1 Government Code for attendance and testimony before the court in which the action is pending. This payment, whether or not demanded by the deponent, shall 3 be made, at the option of the party noticing the deposition, either at the time of 4 service of the deposition subpoena, or at the time the deponent attends for the 5 taking of testimony. 6
 - (b) Service of a deposition subpoena that does not require the personal attendance of a custodian of records or other qualified person, under Section 2020.060, shall be accompanied, whether or not demanded by the deponent, by a payment in cash or by check of the witness fee required by paragraph (6) of subdivision (b) of Section 1563 of the Evidence Code.
 - Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 2020.110 continues the second paragraph of former Section 2020(f) without substantive change.
- 14 Subdivision (b) continues the third paragraph of former Section 2020(f) without substantive 15 change.

§ 2020.120. Sanctions for disobedience of deposition subpoena

- 2020.120. A deponent who disobeys a deposition subpoena in any manner described in subdivision (c) of Section 2020.110 may be punished for contempt under Article 7 without the necessity of a prior order of court directing compliance by the witness. The deponent is also subject to the forfeiture and the payment of damages set forth in Section 1992.
- Comment. Section 2020.120 continues former Section 2020(h) without substantive change. 22

Article 7. Sanctions 23

§ 2023.010. Misuses of discovery process

7

8

10

11 12

13

16 17

18

19

20

21

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

37

38

39

- 2023.010. Misuses of the discovery process include, but are not limited to, the following:
- (a) Persisting, over objection and without substantial justification, in an attempt to obtain information or materials that are outside the scope of permissible discovery.
- (b) Using a discovery method in a manner that does not comply with its specified procedures.
- (c) Employing a discovery method in a manner or to an extent that causes unwarranted annoyance, embarrassment, or oppression, or undue burden and expense.
 - (d) Failing to respond or to submit to an authorized method of discovery.
- (e) Making, without substantial justification, an unmeritorious objection to 36 discovery.
 - (f) Making an evasive response to discovery.
 - (g) Disobeying a court order to provide discovery.

- (h) Making or opposing, unsuccessfully and without substantial justification, a motion to compel or to limit discovery.
- (i) Failing to confer in person, by telephone, or by letter with an opposing party or attorney in a reasonable and good faith attempt to resolve informally any dispute concerning discovery, if the section governing a particular discovery motion requires the filing of a declaration stating facts showing that such an attempt has been made.
- **Comment.** Section 2023.010 continues former Section 2023(a)(1)-(a)(8) and the first sentence of former Section 2023(a)(9) without change.

§ 2023.020. Sanctions for failure to confer as required

2023.020. Notwithstanding the outcome of the particular discovery motion, the court shall impose a monetary sanction ordering that any party or attorney who fails to confer as required pay the reasonable expenses, including attorney's fees, incurred by anyone as a result of that conduct.

Comment. Section 2023.020 continues the second sentence of former Section 2023(a)(9) without change.

§ 2023.030. Other sanctions for misuse of discovery

2023.030. To the extent authorized by the section governing any particular discovery method or any other provision of this chapter, the court, after notice to any affected party, person, or attorney, and after opportunity for hearing, may impose the following sanctions against anyone engaging in conduct that is a misuse of the discovery process:

- (a) The court may impose a monetary sanction ordering that one engaging in the misuse of the discovery process, or any attorney advising that conduct, or both pay the reasonable expenses, including attorney's fees, incurred by anyone as a result of that conduct. The court may also impose this sanction on one unsuccessfully asserting that another has engaged in the misuse of the discovery process, or on any attorney who advised that assertion, or on both. If a monetary sanction is authorized by any provision of this chapter, the court shall impose that sanction unless it finds that the one subject to the sanction acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust.
- (b) The court may impose an issue sanction ordering that designated facts shall be taken as established in the action in accordance with the claim of the party adversely affected by the misuse of the discovery process. The court may also impose an issue sanction by an order prohibiting any party engaging in the misuse of the discovery process from supporting or opposing designated claims or defenses.
- (c) The court may impose an evidence sanction by an order prohibiting any party engaging in the misuse of the discovery process from introducing designated matters in evidence.

- (d) The court may impose a terminating sanction by one of the following orders:
- (1) An order striking out the pleadings or parts of the pleadings of any party engaging in the misuse of the discovery process.
- (2) An order staying further proceedings by that party until an order for discovery is obeyed.
 - (3) An order dismissing the action, or any part of the action, of that party.
 - (4) An order rendering a judgment by default against that party.
- (e) The court may impose a contempt sanction by an order treating the misuse of the discovery process as a contempt of court.
- 10 **Comment.** The introductory clause of Section 2023.030 continues the introductory clause of former Section 2023(b) without substantive change.
- Subdivision (a) continues former Section 2023(b)(1) without change.
- Subdivision (b) continues former Section 2023(b)(2) without change.
- Subdivision (c) continues former Section 2023(b)(3) without change.
- Subdivision (d) continues former Section 2023(b)(4) without change.
- Subdivision (e) continues former Section 2023(b)(5) without change.

§ 2023.040. Content of request for sanction

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

3738

- 2023.040. A request for a sanction shall, in the notice of motion, identify every person, party, and attorney against whom the sanction is sought, and specify the type of sanction sought. The notice of motion shall be supported by a memorandum of points and authorities, and accompanied by a declaration setting forth facts supporting the amount of any monetary sanction sought.
- 23 **Comment.** Section 2023.040 continues former Section 2023(c) without change.

Article 8. Time for Completion of Discovery

§ 2024.010. Completion of discovery

- 2024.010. As used in this article, discovery is considered completed on the day a response is due or on the day a deposition begins.
- Comment. Section 2024.010 continues the third sentence of former Section 2024(a) without substantive change.

§ 2024.020. Discovery cutoff

- 2024.020. (a) Except as otherwise provided in this article, any party shall be entitled as a matter of right to complete discovery proceedings on or before the 30th day, and to have motions concerning discovery heard on or before the 15th day, before the date initially set for the trial of the action.
- (b) If either of these dates falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or holiday as specified in Section 10, the last day shall be the next successive court day.
- (c) Except as provided in Section 2024.050, a continuance or postponement of the trial date does not operate to reopen discovery proceedings.
- Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 2024.020 continues the first sentence of former Section 2024(a) without substantive change.

- Subdivision (b) continues the second sentence of former Section 2024(a) without change.
- Subdivision (c) continues the fourth sentence of former Section 2024(a) without substantive change.
- 4 Staff Note. Section 2024(a) provides:
- 2024.(a) Except as otherwise provided in this section, any party shall be entitled as a matter of right to complete discovery proceedings on or before the 30th day, and to have motions concerning discovery heard on or before the 15th day, before the date initially set for the trial of the action. If either of these dates falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or holiday as specified in Section 10, the last day shall be the next successive court day. ...
- 10 (Emphasis added.) Section 2024(g) is a similar but more general provision:
- 11 (g) When the last day to perform or complete any act provided for in this article falls on a 12 Saturday, Sunday, or holiday as specified in Section 10, the time limit is extended until the 13 next day that is not a Saturday, Sunday, or holiday.
- 14 These provisions seem redundant.
- This draft would continue the substance of Section 2024(g) in Section 2016.050, and would continue the substance of the quoted portion of Section 2024(a) in Section 2024.020(b). The latter provision does not seem necessary, however, and the staff is inclined to omit it. Does the Commission agree?

19 § 2024.030. Discovery cutoff for expert witness

- 2024.030. Any party shall be entitled as a matter of right to complete discovery proceedings pertaining to a witness identified under Section 2034 on or before the 15th day, and to have motions concerning that discovery heard on or before the 10th day, before the date initially set for the trial of the action.
- 24 **Comment.** Section 2024.030 continues former Section 2024(d) without change.
- 25 Staff Note. The staff has not yet divided the substance of Section 2034 into a series of short sections. When that step is taken, it will be necessary to conform the cross-reference to Section 2034 in this provision.

§ 2024.040. Exceptions to discovery cutoff

28

29

30

31

32

33

- 2024.040. (a) The time limit on completing discovery in an action to be arbitrated under Chapter 2.5 (commencing with Section 1141.10) of Title 3 of Part 3 is subject to Judicial Council Rule. After an award in a case ordered to judicial arbitration, completion of discovery is limited by Section 1141.24.
 - (b) Sections 2024.020 and 2024.030 do not apply to either of the following:
- (1) Summary proceedings for obtaining possession of real property governed by Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 1159) of Title 3 of Part 3. Except as provided in Sections 2024.050 and 2025.060, discovery in these proceedings shall be completed on or before the fifth day before the date set for trial.
- 38 (2) Eminent domain proceedings governed by Title 7 (commencing with Section 1230.010) of Part 3.
- 40 **Comment.** Subdivision (a) of Section 2024.040 continues former Section 2024(b) without 41 change.
- 42 Subdivision (b) continues former Section 2024(c) without substantive change.

§ 2024.050. Motion to extend or reopen discovery

2024.050. (a) On motion of any party, the court may grant leave to complete discovery proceedings, or to have a motion concerning discovery heard, closer to the initial trial date, or to reopen discovery after a new trial date has been set. This motion shall be accompanied by a declaration stating facts showing a reasonable and good faith attempt at an informal resolution of each issue presented by the motion.

- (b) In exercising its discretion to grant or deny this motion, the court shall take into consideration any matter relevant to the leave requested, including, but not limited to, the following:
 - (1) The necessity and the reasons for the discovery.
- (2) The diligence or lack of diligence of the party seeking the discovery or the hearing of a discovery motion, and the reasons that the discovery was not completed or that the discovery motion was not heard earlier.
- (3) Any likelihood that permitting the discovery or hearing the discovery motion will prevent the case from going to trial on the date set, or otherwise interfere with the trial calendar, or result in prejudice to any other party.
- (4) The length of time that has elapsed between any date previously set, and the date presently set, for the trial of the action.
- (c) The court shall impose a monetary sanction under Article 7 against any party, person, or attorney who unsuccessfully makes or opposes a motion to extend or to reopen discovery, unless it finds that the one subject to the sanction acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust.
- Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 2024.050 continues the first paragraph of former Section 2024(e) without change.
- Subdivision (b) continues former the second paragraph of former Section 2024(e) without change.
 - Subdivision (c) continues the third paragraph of former Section 2024(e) without change.

§ 2024.060. Agreement extending discovery cutoff

2024.060. Parties to an action may, with the consent of any party affected by it, enter into an agreement to extend the time for the completion of discovery proceedings or for the hearing of motions concerning discovery, or to reopen discovery after a new date for trial of the action has been set. This agreement may be informal, but it shall be confirmed in a writing that specifies the extended date. In no event shall this agreement require a court to grant a continuance or postponement of the trial of the action.

Comment. Section 2024.060 continues former Section 2024(f) without change.

Article 9. Oral Deposition in California

§ 2025.010. Oral deposition in California

2025.010. Any party may obtain discovery within the scope delimited by Article 2, and subject to the restrictions set forth in Article 5, by taking in California the oral deposition of any person, including any party to the action. The person deposed may be a natural person, an organization such as a public or private corporation, a partnership, an association, or a governmental agency.

Comment. Section 2025.010 continues former Section 2025(a) without substantive change.

9 § 2025.020. Time of service of deposition notice

Subject to Sections 2025.080 and 2025.290, an oral deposition may be taken as follows:

- (a) The defendant may serve a deposition notice without leave of court at any time after that defendant has been served or has appeared in the action, whichever occurs first.
- (b) The plaintiff may serve a deposition notice without leave of court on any date that is 20 days after the service of the summons on, or appearance by, any defendant. On motion with or without notice, the court, for good cause shown, may grant to a plaintiff leave to serve a deposition notice on an earlier date.
- Comment. Section 2025.020 continues former Section 2025(b) without substantive change.

§ 2025.030. Content of deposition notice

2025.030. (a) A party desiring to take the oral deposition of any person shall give notice in writing. The deposition notice shall state all of the following:

- (1) The address where the deposition will be taken.
- (2) The date of the deposition, selected under Section 2025.808, and the time it will commence.
- (3) The name of each deponent, and the address and telephone number, if known, of any deponent who is not a party to the action. If the name of the deponent is not known, the deposition notice shall set forth instead a general description sufficient to identify the person or particular class to which the person belongs.
- (4) The specification with reasonable particularity of any materials or category of materials to be produced by the deponent.
- (5) Any intention to record the testimony by audiotape or videotape, in addition to recording the testimony by the stenographic method as required by Section 2025.170 and any intention to record the testimony by stenographic method, through the instant visual display of the testimony. In the latter event, a copy of the deposition notice shall also be given to the deposition officer. Any offer to provide the instant visual display of the testimony or to provide rough draft transcripts to any party which is accepted before, or offered at, the deposition shall also be made by the deposition officer at the deposition to all parties in attendance.

- (6) Any intention to reserve the right to use at trial a videotape deposition of a treating or consulting physician or of any expert witness under subdivision (d) of Section 2025.300. In this event, the operator of the videotape camera shall be a person who is authorized to administer an oath, and shall not be financially interested in the action or be a relative or employee of any attorney of any of the parties.
 - (b) Notwithstanding subdivision (a), where under Section 2020.060 only the production by a nonparty of business records for copying is desired, a copy of the deposition subpoena shall serve as the notice of deposition.
 - **Comment.** Subdivision (a) of Section 2025.030 continues the first sentence of former Section 2025(c), former Section 2025(d)(1)-(d)(5), and the first paragraph of former Section 2025(d)(6) without substantive change.
- Subdivision (b) continues the second sentence of former Section 2025(c) without substantive change.

§ 2025.040. Notice to deponent other than natural person

2025.040. If the deponent named is not a natural person, the deposition notice shall describe with reasonable particularity the matters on which examination is requested. In that event, the deponent shall designate and produce at the deposition those of its officers, directors, managing agents, employees, or agents who are most qualified to testify on its behalf as to those matters to the extent of any information known or reasonably available to the deponent. A deposition subpoena shall advise a nonparty deponent of its duty to make this designation, and shall describe with reasonable particularity the matters on which examination is requested.

Comment. Section 2025.040 continues the second paragraph of former Section 2025(d)(6) without change.

Staff Note. The last sentence of this provision is redundant with the provisions governing deposition subpoenas. See proposed Sections 2020.050(d) and 2020.100(a)(1), which derive from Section 2020(c) and the first sentence of Section 2020(e), respectively. We are inclined to delete this sentence from Section 2025.040 and revise the Comment accordingly.

§ 2025.050. Service of deposition notice and related documents

2025.050. (a) The party who prepares a notice of deposition shall give the notice to every other party who has appeared in the action. The deposition notice, or the accompanying proof of service, shall list all the parties or attorneys for parties on whom it is served.

- (b) Where, as defined in subdivision (a) of Section 1985.3, the party giving notice of the deposition is a subpoenaing party, and the deponent is a witness commanded by a deposition subpoena to produce personal records of a consumer, the subpoenaing party shall serve on that consumer all of the following:
 - (1) A notice of the deposition.
- (2) The notice of privacy rights specified in subdivision (e) of Section 1985.3 and in Section 1985.6.

- (3) A copy of the deposition subpoena.
- (c) If the attendance of the deponent is to be compelled by service of a deposition subpoena under Article 6, an identical copy of that subpoena shall be served with the deposition notice.

Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 2025.050 continues the third and fourth sentences of former Section 2025(c) without substantive change.

Subdivision (b) continues the second paragraph of former Section 2025(c) without substantive change.

Subdivision (c) continues the third paragraph of former Section 2025(d)(6) without substantive change.

§ 2025.060. Place of deposition

- 2025.060. (a) Unless the court orders otherwise under Section 2025.070, the deposition of a natural person, whether or not a party to the action, shall be taken at a place that is, at the option of the party giving notice of the deposition, either within 75 miles of the deponent's residence, or within the county where the action is pending and within 150 miles of the deponent's residence.
- (b) The deposition of an organization that is a party to the action shall be taken at a place that is, at the option of the party giving notice of the deposition, either within 75 miles of the organization's principal executive or business office in California, or within the county where the action is pending and within 150 miles of that office.
- (c) Unless the organization consents to a more distant place, the deposition of any other organization shall be taken within 75 miles of the organization's principal executive or business office in California. If the organization has not designated a principal executive or business office in California, the deposition shall be taken at a place that is, at the option of the party giving notice of the deposition, either within the county where the action is pending, or within 75 miles of any executive or business office in California of the organization.

Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 2025.060 continues former Section 2025(e)(1) without substantive change.

Subdivision (b) continues the first sentence of former Section 2025(e)(2) without change.

Subdivision (c) continues the second and third sentences of former Section 2025(e)(2) without substantive change.

§ 2025.070. Motion to require party to attend deposition at more distant place

2025.070. (a) A party desiring to take the deposition of a natural person who is a party to the action or an officer, director, managing agent, or employee of a party may make a motion for an order that the deponent attend for deposition at a place that is more distant than that permitted under Section 2025.060. This motion shall be accompanied by a declaration stating facts showing a reasonable and good faith attempt at an informal resolution of any issue presented by the motion.

(b) In exercising its discretion to grant or deny this motion, the court shall take into consideration any factor tending to show whether the interests of justice will

- be served by requiring the deponent's attendance at that more distant place, including, but not limited to, the following:
 - (1) Whether the moving party selected the forum.
 - (2) Whether the deponent will be present to testify at the trial of the action.
 - (3) The convenience of the deponent.

- (4) The feasibility of conducting the deposition by written questions under Article 11, or of using a discovery method other than a deposition.
- (5) The number of depositions sought to be taken at a place more distant than that permitted under Section 2025.060.
- (6) The expense to the parties of requiring the deposition to be taken within the distance permitted under Section 2025.060.
- (7) The whereabouts of the deponent at the time for which the deposition is scheduled.
- (c) The order may be conditioned on the advancement by the moving party of the reasonable expenses and costs to the deponent for travel to the place of deposition.
- (d) The court shall impose a monetary sanction under Article 7 against any party, person, or attorney who unsuccessfully makes or opposes a motion to increase travel limits for party deponent, unless it finds that the one subject to the sanction acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust.
- **Comment.** Subdivision (a) of Section 2025.070 continues the first paragraph of former Section 2025(e)(3) without substantive change.
- Subdivision (b) continues the second through ninth paragraphs of former Section 2025(e)(3) without substantive change.
 - Subdivision (c) continues the tenth paragraph of former Section 2025(e)(3) without change.
- Subdivision (d) continues the eleventh paragraph of former Section 2025(e)(3) without substantive change.

§ 2025.080. Time of taking oral deposition

- 2025.080. (a) An oral deposition shall be scheduled for a date at least 10 days after service of the deposition notice. If, as defined in subdivision (a) of Section 1985.3, the party giving notice of the deposition is a subpoening party, and the deponent is a witness commanded by a deposition subpoena to produce personal records of a consumer, the deposition shall be scheduled for a date at least 20 days after issuance of that subpoena.
- (b) Notwithstanding subdivision (a), in unlawful detainer actions, an oral deposition shall be scheduled for a date at least five days after service of the deposition notice, but not later than five days before trial.
- (c) On motion or ex parte application of any party or deponent, for good cause shown, the court may shorten or extend the time for scheduling a deposition, or may stay its taking until the determination of a motion for a protective order under Section 2025.120.

Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 2025.080 continues the first and second sentences of former Section 2025(f) without change.

Subdivision (b) continues the third sentence of former Section 2025(f) without substantive change.

Subdivision (c) continues the second paragraph of former Section 2025(f) without substantive change.

§ 2025.090. Noncompliance with requirements for deposition notice

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

38

- 2025.090. (a) Any party served with a deposition notice that does not comply with Sections 2025.020 to 2025.080, inclusive, waives any error or irregularity unless that party promptly serves a written objection specifying that error or irregularity at least three calendar days before the date for which the deposition is scheduled, on the party seeking to take the deposition and any other attorney or party on whom the deposition notice was served.
- (b) If an objection is made three calendar days before the deposition date, the objecting party shall make personal service of that objection pursuant to Section 1011 on the party who gave notice of the deposition. Any deposition taken after the service of a written objection shall not be used against the objecting party under Section 2025.300 if the party did not attend the deposition and if the court determines that the objection was a valid one.
- (c) In addition to serving this written objection, a party may also move for an order staying the taking of the deposition and quashing the deposition notice. This motion shall be accompanied by a declaration stating facts showing a reasonable and good faith attempt at an informal resolution of any issue presented by the motion. The taking of the deposition is stayed pending the determination of this motion.
- (d) The court shall impose a monetary sanction under Article 7 against any party, person, or attorney who unsuccessfully makes or opposes a motion to quash a deposition notice, unless it finds that the one subject to the sanction acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust.
- Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 2025.090 continues the first sentence of former Section 31 2025(g) without substantive change. 32
- Subdivision (b) continues the second and third sentences of former Section 2025(g) without 33 34 substantive change. 35
 - Subdivision (c) continues the second paragraph of former Section 2025(g) without change.
- 36 Subdivision (d) continues the third paragraph of former Section 2025(g) without substantive 37 change.

§ 2025.100. Effect of deposition notice

2025.100. (a) The service of a deposition notice under Section 2025.050 is 39 effective to require any deponent who is a party to the action or an officer, 40 director, managing agent, or employee of a party to attend and to testify, as well as 41 to produce any document or tangible thing for inspection and copying. 42

- 1 (b) The attendance and testimony of any other deponent, as well as the 2 production by the deponent of any document or tangible thing for inspection and 3 copying, requires the service on the deponent of a deposition subpoena under 4 Article 6.
- Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 2025.100 continues former Section 2025(h)(1) without substantive change.
 - Subdivision (b) continues former Section 2025(h)(2) without substantive change.

§ 2025.110. Deposition by remote electronic means

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

- 2025.110. (a) A person may take, and any person other than the deponent may attend, a deposition by telephone or other remote electronic means.
- (b) The court may expressly provide that a nonparty deponent may appear at the deposition by telephone if it finds there is good cause and no prejudice to any party. A party deponent must appear at the deposition in person and be in the presence of the deposition officer.
- (c) The procedures to implement this section shall be established by court order in the specific action or proceeding or by the California Rules of Court.
- **Comment.** Subdivision (a) of Section 2025.110 continues the first sentence of former Section 2025(h)(3) without change.
- Subdivision (b) continues the second and third sentences of former Section 2025(h)(3) without substantive change.
- Substantive (c) continues the fourth sentence of former Section 2025(h)(3) without substantive change.

§ 2025.120. Motion for protective order

- 2025.120. (a) Before, during, or after a deposition, any party, any deponent, or any other affected natural person or organization may promptly move for a protective order. The motion shall be accompanied by a declaration stating facts showing a reasonable and good faith attempt at an informal resolution of each issue presented by the motion.
- (b) The court, for good cause shown, may make any order that justice requires to protect any party, deponent, or other natural person or organization from unwarranted annoyance, embarrassment, or oppression, or undue burden and expense. This protective order may include, but is not limited to, one or more of the following directions:
 - (1) That the deposition not be taken at all.
- (2) That the deposition be taken at a different time.
- (3) That a videotape deposition of a treating or consulting physician or of any expert witness, intended for possible use at trial under subdivision (d) of Section 2025.300, be postponed until the moving party has had an adequate opportunity to prepare, by discovery deposition of the deponent, or other means, for cross-examination.

- (4) That the deposition be taken at a place other than that specified in the deposition notice, if it is within a distance permitted by Sections 2025.060 and 2025.070.
 - (5) That the deposition be taken only on certain specified terms and conditions.
- (6) That the deponent's testimony be taken by written, instead of oral, examination.
- (7) That the method of discovery be interrogatories to a party instead of an oral deposition.
- (8) That the testimony be recorded in a manner different from that specified in the deposition notice.
 - (9) That certain matters not be inquired into.

- (10) That the scope of the examination be limited to certain matters.
- (11) That all or certain of the writings or tangible things designated in the deposition notice not be produced, inspected, or copied.
- (12) That designated persons, other than the parties to the action and their officers and counsel, be excluded from attending the deposition.
- (13) That a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information not be disclosed or be disclosed only to specified persons or only in a specified way.
- (14) That the parties simultaneously file specified documents enclosed in sealed envelopes to be opened as directed by the court.
- (15) That the deposition be sealed and thereafter opened only on order of the court.
- (c) If the motion for a protective order is denied in whole or in part, the court may order that the deponent provide or permit the discovery against which protection was sought on those terms and conditions that are just.
- (d) The court shall impose a monetary sanction under Article 7 against any party, person, or attorney who unsuccessfully makes or opposes a motion for a protective order, unless it finds that the one subject to the sanction acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust.
- Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 2025.120 continues the first paragraph of former Section 2025(i) without change.
- Subdivision (b) continues the second through seventeenth paragraphs of former Section 2025(i) without substantive change.
 - Subdivision (c) continues the eighteenth paragraph of former Section 2025(i) without change.
- Subdivision (d) continues the nineteenth paragraph of former Section 2025(i) without substantive change.

§ 2025.130. Sanctions where party giving notice of deposition fails to attend or proceed

2025.130. If the party giving notice of a deposition fails to attend or proceed with it, the court shall impose a monetary sanction under Article 7 against that party, or the attorney for that party, or both, and in favor of any party attending in person or by attorney, unless it finds that the one subject to the sanction acted with

substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust.

Comment. Section 2025.130 continues former Section 2025(j)(1) without substantive change.

§ 2025.140. Sanctions where nonparty deponent fails to appear

2025.140. (a) If a deponent does not appear for a deposition because the party giving notice of the deposition failed to serve a required deposition subpoena, the court shall impose a monetary sanction under Article 7 against that party, or the attorney for that party, or both, in favor of any other party who, in person or by attorney, attended at the time and place specified in the deposition notice in the expectation that the deponent's testimony would be taken, unless the court finds that the one subject to the sanction acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust.

(b) If a deponent on whom a deposition subpoena has been served fails to attend a deposition or refuses to be sworn as a witness, the court may impose on the deponent the sanctions described in Section 2020.120.

Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 2025.140 continues the first paragraph of former Section 2025(j)(2) without substantive change.

Subdivision (b) continues the second paragraph of former Section 2025(j)(2) without substantive change.

Staff Note. As stated in the Comment, subdivision (b) would continue language presently found in Section 2025(j)(2). The provision is not strictly necessary, because Section 2020(h) (proposed Section 2020.120) already states that a deponent who fails to comply with a deposition subpoena is subject to specified sanctions. At this point, however, the staff is not inclined to delete the language from Section 2025.140, as it might serve as a useful cross-reference for courts and practitioners. In addition, Section 2025.140(b) makes clear that sanctions may be available where a nonparty deponent refuses to be sworn as a witness. Section 2020(h) (proposed Section 2020.120) is less clear on this point.

§ 2025.150. Sanctions where party deponent fails to appear

2025.150. (a) If, after service of a deposition notice, a party to the action or an officer, director, managing agent, or employee of a party, or a person designated by an organization that is a party under Section 2025.040, without having served a valid objection under Section 2025.090, fails to appear for examination, or to proceed with it, or to produce for inspection any document or tangible thing described in the deposition notice, the party giving the notice may move for an order compelling the deponent's attendance and testimony, and the production for inspection of any document or tangible thing described in the deposition notice.

- (b) A motion under subdivision (a) shall comply with both of the following:
- (1) The motion shall set forth specific facts showing good cause justifying the production for inspection of any document or tangible thing described in the deposition notice.
- (2) The motion shall be accompanied by a declaration stating facts showing a reasonable and good faith attempt at an informal resolution of each issue presented by it or, when the deponent fails to attend the deposition and produce the

documents or things described in the deposition notice, by a declaration stating that the petitioner has contacted the deponent to inquire about the nonappearance.

- (c) If a motion under subdivision (a) is granted, the court shall also impose a monetary sanction under Article 7 against the deponent or the party with whom the deponent is affiliated, unless it finds that the one subject to the sanction acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust. On motion of any other party who, in person or by attorney, attended at the time and place specified in the deposition notice in the expectation that the deponent's testimony would be taken, the court shall also impose a monetary sanction under Article 7, unless it finds that the one subject to the sanction acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust.
- (d) If that party or party-affiliated deponent then fails to obey an order compelling attendance, testimony, and production, the court may make those orders that are just, including the imposition of an issue sanction, an evidence sanction, or a terminating sanction under Article 7 against that party deponent or against the party with whom the deponent is affiliated. In lieu of, or in addition to, this sanction, the court may impose a monetary sanction under Article 7 against that deponent or against the party with whom that party deponent is affiliated, and in favor of any party who, in person or by attorney, attended in the expectation that the deponent's testimony would be taken pursuant to that order.

Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 2025.150 continues the first sentence of former Section 2025(j)(3) without substantive change.

Subdivision (b) continues the second sentence of former Section 2025(j)(3) without substantive change.

Subdivision (c) continues the third and fourth sentences of former Section 2025(j)(3) without substantive change.

Subdivision (d) continues the second paragraph of former Section 2025(j)(3) without substantive change.

§ 2025.160. Deposition officer

2025.160. Except as provided in Section 2020.070, the deposition shall be conducted under the supervision of an officer who is authorized to administer an oath and is subject to all of the following requirements:

- (a) The officer shall not be financially interested in the action and shall not be a relative or employee of any attorney of the parties, or of any of the parties.
- (b) Services and products offered or provided by the deposition officer or the entity providing the services of the deposition officer to any party or to any party's attorney or third party who is financing all or part of the action shall be offered to all parties or their attorneys attending the deposition. No service or product may be offered or provided by the deposition officer or by the entity providing the services of the deposition officer to any party or any party's attorney or third party who is financing all or part of the action unless the service or product is offered or provided to all parties or their attorneys attending the deposition. All services and

products offered or provided shall be made available at the same time to all parties or their attorneys.

- (c) The deposition officer or the entity providing the services of the deposition officer shall not provide to any party or any party's attorney or third party who is financing all or part of the action any service or product consisting of the deposition officer's notations or comments regarding the demeanor of any witness, attorney, or party present at the deposition. The deposition officer or entity providing the services of the deposition officer shall not collect any personal identifying information about the witness as a service or product to be provided to any party or third party who is financing all or part of the action.
- (d) Upon the request of any party or any party's attorney attending a deposition, any party or any party's attorney attending the deposition shall enter in the record of the deposition all services and products made available to that party or party's attorney or third party who is financing all or part of the action by the deposition officer or by the entity providing the services of the deposition officer. A party in the action who is not represented by an attorney shall be informed by the noticing party or the party's attorney that the unrepresented party may request this statement.
- (e) Any objection to the qualifications of the deposition officer is waived unless made before the deposition begins or as soon thereafter as the ground for that objection becomes known or could be discovered by reasonable diligence.
- (f) Violation of this section by any person may result in a civil penalty of up to five thousand dollars (\$5,000) imposed by a court of competent jurisdiction.

Comment. The introductory clause of Section 2025.160 continues the introductory clause of former Section 2025(k) without substantive change.

- Subdivision (a) continues former Section 2025(k)(1) without change.
- 27 Subdivision (b) continues former Section 2025(k)(2) without change.
- Subdivision (c) continues former Section 2025(k)(3) without change.
- 29 Subdivision (d) continues former Section 2025(k)(4) without change.
- 30 Subdivision (e) continues former Section 2025(k)(5) without substantive change.
- 31 Subdivision (f) continues former Section 2025(v) without substantive change.

§ 2025.170. Conduct of deposition

2025.170. (a) The deposition officer shall put the deponent under oath.

- (b) Unless the parties agree or the court orders otherwise, the testimony, as well as any stated objections, shall be taken stenographically.
- (c) The party noticing the deposition may also record the testimony by audiotape or videotape if the notice of deposition stated an intention also to record the testimony by either of those methods, or if all the parties agree that the testimony may also be recorded by either of those methods. Any other party, at that party's expense, may make a simultaneous audiotape or videotape record of the deposition, provided that other party promptly, and in no event less than three calendar days before the date for which the deposition is scheduled, serves a written notice of this intention to audiotape or videotape the deposition testimony

- on the party or attorney who noticed the deposition, on all other parties or attorneys on whom the deposition notice was served under Section 2025.050, and on any deponent whose attendance is being compelled by a deposition subpoena under Article 6. If this notice is given three calendar days before the deposition date, it shall be made by personal service under Section 1011.
- (d) Examination and cross-examination of the deponent shall proceed as permitted at trial under the provisions of the Evidence Code.
- (e) In lieu of participating in the oral examination, parties may transmit written questions in a sealed envelope to the party taking the deposition for delivery to the deposition officer, who shall unseal the envelope and propound them to the deponent after the oral examination has been completed.

Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 2025.170 continues the first sentence of former Section 2025(l)(1) without substantive change.

Subdivision (b) continues the second sentence of former Section 2025(l)(1) without substantive change.

Subdivision (c) continues the third, fourth, and fifth sentences of former Section 2025(l)(1) without substantive change.

Subdivision (d) continues the sixth sentence of former Section 2025(*l*)(1)without substantive change.

Subdivision (e) continues former Section 2025(l)(3) without change.

§ 2025.180. Deposition recorded by audiotape or videotape

2025.180. If a deposition is being recorded by means of audiotape or videotape, the following procedure shall be observed:

- (a) The area used for recording the deponent's oral testimony shall be suitably large, adequately lighted, and reasonably quiet.
- (b) The operator of the recording equipment shall be competent to set up, operate, and monitor the equipment in the manner prescribed in this section. The operator may be an employee of the attorney taking the deposition unless the operator is also the deposition officer. However, if a videotape of deposition testimony is to be used under subdivision (d) of Section 2025.300, the operator of the recording equipment shall be a person who is authorized to administer an oath, and shall not be financially interested in the action or be a relative or employee of any attorney of any of the parties, unless all parties attending the deposition agree on the record to waive these qualifications and restrictions.
- (c) Services and products offered or provided by the deposition officer or the entity providing the services of the deposition officer to any party or to any party's attorney or third party who is financing all or part of the action shall be offered or provided to all parties or their attorneys attending the deposition. No service or product may be offered or provided by the deposition officer or by the entity providing the services of the deposition officer to any party or any party's attorney or third party who is financing all or part of the action unless the service or product is offered or provided to all parties or their attorneys attending the deposition. All

services and products offered or provided shall be made available at the same time to all parties or their attorneys.

- (d) The deposition officer or the entity providing the services of the deposition officer shall not provide to any party or any other person or entity any service or product consisting of the deposition officer's notations or comments regarding the demeanor of any witness, attorney, or party present at the deposition. The deposition officer or the entity providing the services of the deposition officer shall not collect any personal identifying information about the witness as a service or product to be provided to any party or third party who is financing all or part of the action.
- (e) Upon the request of any party or any party's attorney attending a deposition, any party or any party's attorney attending the deposition shall enter in the record of the deposition all services and products made available to that party or party's attorney or third party who is financing all or part of the action by the deposition officer or by the entity providing the services of the deposition officer. A party in the action who is not represented by an attorney shall be informed by the noticing party that the unrepresented party may request this statement.
- (f) The operator shall not distort the appearance or the demeanor of participants in the deposition by the use of camera or sound recording techniques.
- (g) The deposition shall begin with an oral or written statement on camera or on the audiotape that includes the operator's name and business address, the name and business address of the operator's employer, the date, time, and place of the deposition, the caption of the case, the name of the deponent, a specification of the party on whose behalf the deposition is being taken, and any stipulations by the parties.
- (h) Counsel for the parties shall identify themselves on camera or on the audiotape.
 - (i) The oath shall be administered to the deponent on camera or on the audiotape.
- (j) If the length of a deposition requires the use of more than one unit of tape, the end of each unit and the beginning of each succeeding unit shall be announced on camera or on the audiotape.
- (k) At the conclusion of a deposition, a statement shall be made on camera or on the audiotape that the deposition is ended and shall set forth any stipulations made by counsel concerning the custody of the audiotape or videotape recording and the exhibits, or concerning other pertinent matters.
- (*l*) A party intending to offer an audiotaped or videotaped recording of a deposition in evidence under Section 2025.300 shall notify the court and all parties in writing of that intent and of the parts of the deposition to be offered. That notice shall be given within sufficient time for objections to be made and ruled on by the judge to whom the case is assigned for trial or hearing, and for any editing of the tape. Objections to all or part of the deposition shall be made in writing. The court may permit further designations of testimony and objections as justice may require. With respect to those portions of an audiotaped or videotaped deposition

- that are not designated by any party or that are ruled to be objectionable, the court
- 2 may order that the party offering the recording of the deposition at the trial or
- 3 hearing suppress those portions, or that an edited version of the deposition tape be
- 4 prepared for use at the trial or hearing. The original audiotape or videotape of the
- 5 deposition shall be preserved unaltered. If no stenographic record of the deposition
- 6 testimony has previously been made, the party offering a videotape or an
- audiotape recording of that testimony under Section 2025.300 shall accompany
- 8 that offer with a stenographic transcript prepared from that recording.
 - **Comment.** The introductory clause of Section 2025.180 continues the introductory clause of former Section 2025(l)(2) without substantive change.
 - Subdivision (a) continues former Section 2025(*l*)(2)(A) without change.
- Subdivision (b) continues the first, second, and third sentences of former Section 2025(*l*)(2)(B) without substantive change.
 - Subdivision (c) continues the fourth, fifth, and sixth sentences of former Section 2025(l)(2)(B) without change.
- Subdivision (d) continues the seventh and eighth sentences of former Section 2025(l)(2)(B) without change.
 - Subdivision (e) continues the ninth and tenth sentences of former Section 2025(*l*)(2)(B) without change.
- Subdivision (f) continues former Section 2025(l)(2)(C) without change.
- 21 Subdivision (g) continues former Section 2025(*l*)(2)(D) without change.
- 22 Subdivision (h) continues former Section 2025(*l*)(2)(E) without change.
- 23 Subdivision (i) continues former Section 2025(*l*)(2)(F) without change.
- Subdivision (j) continues former Section 2025(l)(2)(G) without change.
- 25 Subdivision (k) continues former Section 2025(*l*)(2)(H) without change.
- Subdivision (*l*) continues former Section 2025(l)(2)(I) without substantive change.
- 27 Staff Note. Section 2025.180(c)-(e) would continue language presently found in Section
- 28 2025(l)(2)(A), but there is substantial overlap between that language and the text of Section
- 29 2025(k)(2)-(k)(4) (proposed Section 2025.160(b)-(d)). The staff has not yet considered whether
- and how to eliminate this redundancy.

9 10

11

14 15

18 19

31

32

3334

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

4445

§ 2025.190. Waiver of error or irregularity

- 2025.190. (a) The protection of information from discovery on the ground that it is privileged or that it is a protected work product under Article 4 is waived unless a specific objection to its disclosure is timely made during the deposition.
- (b) Errors and irregularities of any kind occurring at the oral examination that might be cured if promptly presented are waived unless a specific objection to them is timely made during the deposition. These errors and irregularities include, but are not limited to, those relating to the manner of taking the deposition, to the oath or affirmation administered, to the conduct of a party, attorney, deponent, or deposition officer, or to the form of any question or answer. Unless the objecting party demands that the taking of the deposition be suspended to permit a motion for a protective order under Section 2025.200, the deposition shall proceed subject to the objection.
- (c) Objections to the competency of the deponent, or to the relevancy, materiality, or admissibility at trial of the testimony or of the materials produced

are unnecessary and are not waived by failure to make them before or during the deposition.

(d) If a deponent fails to answer any question or to produce any document or tangible thing under the deponent's control that is specified in the deposition notice or a deposition subpoena, the party seeking that answer or production may adjourn the deposition or complete the examination on other matters without waiving the right at a later time to move for an order compelling that answer or production under Section 2025..210.

Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 2025.190 continues former Section 2025(m)(1) without substantive change.

- Subdivision (b) continues former Section 2025(m)(2) without substantive change.
- Subdivision (c) continues former Section 2025(m)(3) without change.
- Subdivision (d) continues former Section 2025(m)(4) without substantive change.

§ 2025.200. Motion for protective order

 2025.200. (a) The deposition officer shall not suspend the taking of testimony without stipulation of the party conducting the deposition and the deponent unless any party attending the deposition or the deponent demands the taking of testimony be suspended to enable that party or deponent to move for a protective order on the ground that the examination is being conducted in bad faith or in a manner that unreasonably annoys, embarrasses, or oppresses that deponent or party.

- (b) A motion under subdivision (a) shall be accompanied by a declaration stating facts showing a reasonable and good faith attempt at an informal resolution of each issue presented by the motion. The court, for good cause shown, may terminate the examination or may limit the scope and manner of taking the deposition as provided in Section 2025.120. If the order terminates the examination, the deposition shall not thereafter be resumed, except on order of the court.
- (c) The court shall impose a monetary sanction under Article 7 against any party, person, or attorney who unsuccessfully makes or opposes a motion for a protective order under subdivision (a), unless it finds that the one subject to the sanction acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust.
- **Comment.** Subdivision (a) of Section 2025.200 continues the first sentence of former Section 2025(n) without change.
- Subdivision (b) continues the second, third, and fourth sentences of former Section 2025(n) without substantive change.
- Subdivision (c) continues the second paragraph of former Section 2025(n) without substantive change.

§ 2025.210. Motion to compel

2025.210. (a) If a deponent fails to answer any question or to produce any document or tangible thing under the deponent's control that is specified in the

deposition notice or a deposition subpoena, the party seeking discovery may move the court for an order compelling that answer or production.

- (b) This motion shall be made no later than 60 days after the completion of the record of the deposition, and shall be accompanied by a declaration stating facts showing a reasonable and good faith attempt at an informal resolution of each issue presented by the motion.
- (c) Notice of this motion shall be given to all parties, and to the deponent either orally at the examination, or by subsequent service in writing. If the notice of the motion is given orally, the deposition officer shall direct the deponent to attend a session of the court at the time specified in the notice.
- (d) Not less than five days before the hearing on this motion, the moving party shall lodge with the court a certified copy of any parts of the stenographic transcript of the deposition that are relevant to the motion. If a deposition is recorded by audiotape or videotape, the moving party is required to lodge a certified copy of a transcript of any parts of the deposition that are relevant to the motion.
- (e) If the court determines that the answer or production sought is subject to discovery, it shall order that the answer be given or the production be made on the resumption of the deposition.
- (f) The court shall impose a monetary sanction under Article 7 against any party, person, or attorney who unsuccessfully makes or opposes a motion to compel answer or production, unless it finds that the one subject to the sanction acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust.
- (g) If a deponent fails to obey an order entered under this section, the failure may be considered a contempt of court. In addition, if the disobedient deponent is a party to the action or an officer, director, managing agent, or employee of a party, the court may make those orders that are just against the disobedient party, or against the party with whom the disobedient deponent is affiliated, including the imposition of an issue sanction, an evidence sanction, or a terminating sanction under Article 7. In lieu of, or in addition to, this sanction, the court may impose a monetary sanction under Article 7 against that party deponent or against any party with whom the deponent is affiliated.

Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 2025.210 continues the first sentence of former Section 2025(o) without change.

Subdivision (b) continues the second sentence of former Section 2025(o) without change.

Subdivision (c) continues the third and fourth sentences of former Section 2025(o) without change.

Subdivision (d) continues the fifth and sixth sentences of former Section 2025(o) without substantive change.

Subdivision (e) continues the seventh sentence of former Section 2025(o) without change.

Subdivision (f) continues the second paragraph of former Section 2025(o) without change.

Subdivision (g) continues the third paragraph of former Section 2025(o) without substantive change.

§ 2025.220. Transcription of testimony

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37 38

39 40

41

- 2025.220. (a) Unless the parties agree otherwise, the testimony at any deposition recorded by stenographic means shall be transcribed.
- (b) The party noticing the deposition shall bear the cost of that transcription, unless the court, on motion and for good cause shown, orders that the cost be borne or shared by another party.
- (c) Notwithstanding subdivision (b) of Section 2025.160, any other party, at that party's expense, may obtain a copy of the transcript.
- (d) If the deposition officer receives a request from a party for an original or a copy of the deposition transcript, or any portion thereof, and the document will be available to that party before the time the original or copy would be available to any other party, the deposition officer shall immediately notify all other parties attending the deposition of the request, and shall, upon request by any party other than the party making the original request, make that copy of the full or partial deposition transcript available to all parties at the same time.
- (e) Stenographic notes of depositions shall be retained by the reporter for a period of not less than eight years from the date of the deposition, where no transcript is produced, and not less than one year from the date on which the transcript is produced. Those notes may be either on paper or electronic media, as long as it allows for satisfactory production of a transcript at any time during the periods specified.
- (f) At the request of any other party to the action, including a party who did not attend the taking of the deposition testimony, any party who records or causes the recording of that testimony by means of audiotape or videotape shall promptly do both of the following:
 - (1) Permit that other party to hear the audiotape or to view the videotape.
- (2) Furnish a copy of the audiotape or videotape to that other party on receipt of payment of the reasonable cost of making that copy of the tape.
- (g) If the testimony at the deposition is recorded both stenographically, and by audiotape or videotape, the stenographic transcript is the official record of that testimony for the purpose of the trial and any subsequent hearing or appeal.

Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 2025.220 continues the first sentence of former Section 2025(p) without change.

Subdivision (b) continues the second sentence of former Section 2025(p) without change.

Subdivision (c) continues the third sentence of former Section 2025(p) without change, except to conform the cross-reference.

Subdivision (d) continues the fourth sentence of former Section 2025(p) without substantive

Subdivision (e) continues the fifth and sixth sentences of former Section 2025(p) without change.

Subdivision (f) continues the seventh sentence of former Section 2025(p) without substantive 42 43

Subdivision (g) continues the second paragraph of former Section 2025(p) without change.

§ 2025.230. Deponent's review of transcript

 2025.230. (a) If the deposition testimony is stenographically recorded, the deposition officer shall send written notice to the deponent and to all parties attending the deposition when the original transcript of the testimony for each session of the deposition is available for reading, correcting, and signing, unless the deponent and the attending parties agree on the record that the reading, correcting, and signing of the transcript of the testimony will be waived or that the reading, correcting, and signing of a transcript of the testimony will take place after the entire deposition has been concluded or at some other specific time.

- (b) For 30 days following each notice under subdivision (a), unless the attending parties and the deponent agree on the record or otherwise in writing to a longer or shorter time period, the deponent may change the form or the substance of the answer to a question, and may either approve the transcript of the deposition by signing it, or refuse to approve the transcript by not signing it.
- (c) Alternatively, within this same period, the deponent may change the form or the substance of the answer to any question and may approve or refuse to approve the transcript by means of a letter to the deposition officer signed by the deponent which is mailed by certified or registered mail with return receipt requested. A copy of that letter shall be sent by first-class mail to all parties attending the deposition.
- (d) For good cause shown, the court may shorten the 30-day period for making changes, approving, or refusing to approve the transcript.
- (e) The deposition officer shall indicate on the original of the transcript, if the deponent has not already done so at the office of the deposition officer, any action taken by the deponent and indicate on the original of the transcript, the deponent's approval of, or failure or refusal to approve, the transcript. The deposition officer shall also notify in writing the parties attending the deposition of any changes which the deponent timely made in person.
- (f) If the deponent fails or refuses to approve the transcript within the allotted period, the deposition shall be given the same effect as though it had been approved, subject to any changes timely made by the deponent.
- (g) Notwithstanding subdivision (f), on a seasonable motion to suppress the deposition, accompanied by a declaration stating facts showing a reasonable and good faith attempt at an informal resolution of each issue presented by the motion, the court may determine that the reasons given for the failure or refusal to approve the transcript require rejection of the deposition in whole or in part.
- (h) The court shall impose a monetary sanction under Article 7 against any party, person, or attorney who unsuccessfully makes or opposes a motion to suppress a deposition, unless it finds that the one subject to the sanction acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust.
- **Comment.** Subdivision (a) of Section 2025.230 continues the first sentence of former Section 2025(q)(1) without change.

- Subdivision (b) continues the second sentence of former Section 2025(q)(1) without substantive change.
- Subdivision (c) continues the first and second sentences of the second paragraph of former Section 2025(q)(1) without change.
- Subdivision (d) continues the third sentence of the second paragraph of former Section 2025(q)(1) without change.
- Subdivision (e) continues the first and second sentences of the third paragraph of former Section 2025(q)(1) without change.
- Subdivision (f) continues the third sentence of the third paragraph of former Section 2025(q)(1) without change.
- Subdivision (g) continues the fourth sentence of the third paragraph of former Section 2025(q)(1) without substantive change.
 - Subdivision (h) continues the fourth paragraph of former Section 2025(q)(1) without change, except to conform the cross-reference.

§ 2025.240. Deponent's review of recording

- 2025.240. (a) If there is no stenographic transcription of the deposition, the deposition officer shall send written notice to the deponent and to all parties attending the deposition that the recording is available for review, unless the deponent and all these parties agree on the record to waive the hearing or viewing of an audiotape or videotape recording of the testimony.
- (b) For 30 days following a notice under subdivision (a), the deponent, either in person or by signed letter to the deposition officer, may change the substance of the answer to any question.
- (c) The deposition officer shall set forth in a writing to accompany the recording any changes made by the deponent, as well as either the deponent's signature identifying the deposition as the deponent's own, or a statement of the deponent's failure to supply the signature, or to contact the officer within the allotted period.
- (d) When a deponent fails to contact the officer within the allotted period, or expressly refuses by a signature to identify the deposition as the deponent's own, the deposition shall be given the same effect as though signed.
- (e) Notwithstanding subdivision (d), on a reasonable motion to suppress the deposition, accompanied by a declaration stating facts showing a reasonable and good faith attempt at an informal resolution of each issue presented by the motion, the court may determine that the reasons given for the refusal to sign require rejection of the deposition in whole or in part.
- (f) The court shall impose a monetary sanction under Article 7 against any party, person, or attorney who unsuccessfully makes or opposes a motion to suppress a deposition, unless it finds that the one subject to the sanction acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust.
- Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 2025.240 continues the first sentence of former Section 2025(q)(2) without change.
 - Subdivision (b) continues the second sentence of former Section 2025(q)(2) without substantive change.
 - Subdivision (c) continues the first sentence of the second paragraph of former Section 2025(q)(2) without substantive change.

- Subdivision (d) continues the second sentence of the second paragraph of former Section 2025(q)(2) without substantive change.
- Subdivision (e) continues the third sentence of the second paragraph of former Section 2025(q)(2) without substantive change.
- Subdivision (f) continues the third paragraph of former Section 2025(q)(2) without substantive change, except to conform the cross-reference.

§ 2025.250. Certification of transcript

- 2025.250. (a) The deposition officer shall certify on the transcript of the deposition, or in a writing accompanying an audiotaped or videotaped deposition as described in subdivision (b) of Section 2025.300, that the deponent was duly sworn and that the transcript or recording is a true record of the testimony given.
- (b) When prepared as a rough draft transcript, the transcript of the deposition may not be certified and may not be used, cited, or transcribed as the certified transcript of the deposition proceedings. The rough draft transcript may not be cited or used in any way or at any time to rebut or contradict the certified transcript of deposition proceedings as provided by the deposition officer.
- **Comment.** Subdivision (a) of Section 2025.250 continues former Section 2025(r)(1) without change, except to conform the cross-reference.
- 19 Subdivision (b) continues former Section 2025(r)(2) without change.

§ 2025.260. Sealing of transcript

- 2025.260. (a) The certified transcript of a deposition shall not be filed with the court. Instead, the deposition officer shall securely seal that transcript in an envelope or package endorsed with the title of the action and marked: "Deposition of (here insert name of deponent)," and shall promptly transmit it to the attorney for the party who noticed the deposition. This attorney shall store it under conditions that will protect it against loss, destruction, or tampering.
- (b) The attorney to whom the transcript of a deposition is transmitted shall retain custody of it until six months after final disposition of the action. At that time, the transcript may be destroyed, unless the court, on motion of any party and for good cause shown, orders that the transcript be preserved for a longer period.
- **Comment.** Subdivision (a) of Section 2025.260 continues the first paragraph of former Section 2025(s)(1) without change.
- Subdivision (b) continues the second paragraph of former Section 2025(s)(1) without change.

§ 2025.270. Sealing of audiotape or videotape record

2025.270. (a) An audiotape or videotape record of deposition testimony, including a certified tape made by an operator qualified under subdivision (b) of Section 2025.180, shall not be filed with the court. Instead, the operator shall retain custody of that record and shall store it under conditions that will protect it against loss, destruction, or tampering, and preserve as far as practicable the quality of the tape and the integrity of the testimony and images it contains.

- (b) At the request of any party to the action, including a party who did not attend the taking of the deposition testimony, or at the request of the deponent, that operator shall promptly do both of the following:
- (1) Permit the one making the request to hear or to view the tape on receipt of payment of a reasonable charge for providing the facilities for hearing or viewing the tape.
- (2) Furnish a copy of the audiotape or the videotape recording to the one making the request on receipt of payment of the reasonable cost of making that copy of the tape.
- (c) The attorney or operator who has custody of an audiotape or videotape record of deposition testimony shall retain custody of it until six months after final disposition of the action. At that time, the audiotape or videotape may be destroyed or erased, unless the court, on motion of any party and for good cause shown, orders that the tape be preserved for a longer period.
- **Comment.** Subdivision (a) of Section 2025.270 continues the first paragraph of former Section 2025(s)(2) without change, except to conform the cross-reference.
- Subdivision (b) continues the second paragraph of former Section 2025(s)(2) without substantive change.
 - Subdivision (c) continues the third paragraph of former Section 2025(s)(2) without change.

§ 2025.280. Copy of transcript, videotape, or other recording for nonparty

- 2025.280. (a) Notwithstanding subdivision (b) of Section 2025.160, unless the court issues an order to the contrary, a copy of the transcript, videotape, or other recording of testimony at the deposition, if still in the possession of the deposition officer, shall be made available by the deposition officer to any person requesting a copy, on payment of a reasonable charge set by the deposition officer.
- (b) If a copy is requested from the deposition officer, the deposition officer shall mail a notice to all parties attending the deposition and to the deponent at the deponent's last known address advising them of all of the following:
 - (1) The copy is being sought.

- (2) The name of the person requesting the copy.
- (3) The right to seek a protective order pursuant to Section 2025.120.
- (c) If a protective order is not served on the deposition officer within 30 days of the mailing of the notice, the deposition officer shall make the copy available to the person requesting the copy.
- (d) This section shall apply only to recorded testimony taken at depositions occurring on or after January 1, 1998.
- **Comment.** Subdivision (a) of Section 2025.270 continues former Section 2025.5(a) without substantive change.
- Subdivision (b) continues the first sentence of former Section 2025.5(b) without substantive change.
 - Subdivision (c) continues the second sentence of former Section 2025.5(b) without change.
- 42 Subdivision (d) continues former Section 2025.5(c) without change.

§ 2025.290. Subsequent deposition of same deponent

- 2025.290. (a) Once any party has taken the deposition of any natural person, including that of a party to the action, neither the party who gave, nor any other party who has been served with a deposition notice pursuant to Section 2025.030 may take a subsequent deposition of that deponent.
- (b) Notwithstanding subdivision (a), for good cause shown, the court may grant leave to take a subsequent deposition, and the parties, with the consent of any deponent who is not a party, may stipulate that a subsequent deposition be taken.
- (c) This section does not preclude taking one subsequent deposition of a natural person who has previously been examined (1) as a result of that person's designation to testify on behalf of an organization under subdivision (d), or (2), pursuant to a court order under Section 485.230, for the limited purpose of discovering pursuant to Section 485.230 the identity, location, and value of property in which the deponent has an interest.
- (d) This section does not authorize the taking of more than one subsequent deposition for the limited purpose of Section 485.230.

Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 2025.290 continues the first sentence of former Section 2025(t) without change, except to conform the cross-reference.

Subdivision (b) continues the second sentence of former Section 2025(t) without substantive change.

Subdivision (c) continues the third sentence of former Section 2025(t) without substantive change.

Subdivision (d) continues the fourth sentence of former Section 2025(t) without substantive change.

§ 2025.300. Use of deposition testimony

2025.300. At the trial or any other hearing in the action, any part or all of a deposition may be used against any party who was present or represented at the taking of the deposition, or who had due notice of the deposition and did not serve a valid objection under Section 2025.090, so far as admissible under the rules of evidence applied as though the deponent were then present and testifying as a witness, in accordance with the following provisions:

- (a) Any party may use a deposition for the purpose of contradicting or impeaching the testimony of the deponent as a witness, or for any other purpose permitted by the Evidence Code.
- (b) An adverse party may use for any purpose, a deposition of a party to the action, or of anyone who at the time of taking the deposition was an officer, director, managing agent, employee, agent, or designee under Section 2025.040 of a party. It is not ground for objection to the use of a deposition of a party under this paragraph by an adverse party that the deponent is available to testify, has testified, or will testify at the trial or other hearing.
- (c) Any party may use for any purpose the deposition of any person or organization, including that of any party to the action, if the court finds any of the following:

- (1) The deponent resides more than 150 miles from the place of the trial or other hearing.
- (2) The deponent, without the procurement or wrongdoing of the proponent of the deposition for the purpose of preventing testimony in open court, will not to testify in court for one or more of the following reasons:
- (A) The deponent is exempted or precluded on the ground of privilege from testifying concerning the matter to which the deponent's testimony is relevant.
 - (B) The deponent is disqualified from testifying.

- (C) The deponent is dead or unable to attend or testify because of existing physical or mental illness or infirmity.
- (D) The deponent is absent from the trial or other hearing and the court is unable to compel the deponent's attendance by its process.
- (E) The deponent is absent from the trial or other hearing and the proponent of the deposition has exercised reasonable diligence but has been unable to procure the deponent's attendance by the court's process.
- (3) Exceptional circumstances exist that make it desirable to allow the use of any deposition in the interests of justice and with due regard to the importance of presenting the testimony of witnesses orally in open court.
- (d) Any party may use a videotape deposition of a treating or consulting physician or of any expert witness even though the deponent is available to testify if the deposition notice under Section 2025.030 reserved the right to use the deposition at trial, and if that party has complied with subdivision (*l*) of Section 2025.180.
- (e) Subject to the requirements of this article, a party may offer in evidence all or any part of a deposition, and if the party introduces only part of the deposition, any other party may introduce any other parts that are relevant to the parts introduced.
- (f) Substitution of parties does not affect the right to use depositions previously taken.
- (g) When an action has been brought in any court of the United States or of any state, and another action involving the same subject matter is subsequently brought between the same parties or their representatives or successors in interest, all depositions lawfully taken and duly filed in the initial action may be used in the subsequent action as if originally taken in that subsequent action. A deposition previously taken may also be used as permitted by the Evidence Code.

Comment. The introductory clause of Section 2025.300 continues the first paragraph of former Section 2025(u) without change, except to conform the cross-reference.

Subdivision (a) continues former Section 2025(u)(1) without change.

Subdivision (b) continues former Section 2025(u)(2) without change, except to conform the cross-reference.

Subdivision (c) continues former Section 2025(u)(3) without substantive change.

Subdivision (d) continues former Section 2025(u)(4) without change, except to conform the cross-reference.

- Subdivision (e) continues former Section 2025(u)(5) without substantive change.
- Subdivision (f) continues former Section 2025(u)(6) without change.
- Subdivision (g) continues former Section 2025(u)(7) without change.

Article 10. Oral Deposition Outside California

§ 2026.010. Oral deposition in another state or territory of the United States

2026.010. (a) Any party may obtain discovery by taking an oral deposition, as described in Section 2025.010, in another state of the United States, or in a territory or an insular possession subject to its jurisdiction. Except as modified in this section, the procedures for taking oral depositions in California set forth in Article 9 apply to an oral deposition taken in another state of the United States, or in a territory or an insular possession subject to its jurisdiction.

- (b) If a deponent is a party to the action or an officer, director, managing agent, or employee of a party, the service of the deposition notice is effective to compel that deponent to attend and to testify, as well as to produce any document or tangible thing for inspection and copying. The deposition notice shall specify a place in the state, territory, or insular possession of the United States that is within 75 miles of the residence or a business office of a deponent.
- (c) If the deponent is not a party to the action or an officer, director, managing agent, or employee of a party, a party serving a deposition notice under this section shall use any process and procedures required and available under the laws of the state, territory, or insular possession where the deposition is to be taken to compel the deponent to attend and to testify, as well as to produce any document or tangible thing for inspection, copying, and any related activity.
- (d) A deposition taken under this section shall be conducted in either of the following ways:
- (1) Under the supervision of a person who is authorized to administer oaths by the laws of the United States or those of the place where the examination is to be held, and who is not otherwise disqualified under Section 2025.160 and subdivision (b) of Section 2025.180.
 - (2) Before a person appointed by the court.
- (e) An appointment under subdivision (d) is effective to authorize that person to administer oaths and to take testimony.
- (f) On request, the clerk of the court shall issue a commission authorizing the deposition in another state or place. The commission shall request that process issue in the place where the examination is to be held, requiring attendance and enforcing the obligations of the deponents to produce documents and answer questions. The commission shall be issued by the clerk to any party in any action pending in its venue without a noticed motion or court order. The commission may contain such terms as are required by the foreign jurisdiction to initiate the process. If a court order is required by the foreign jurisdiction, an order for a commission may be obtained by ex parte application.

 $\textbf{Comment.} \ \ \text{Subdivision (a) of Section 2026.010 continues former Section 2026(a) without change, except to conform the cross-references.}$

- Subdivision (b) continues former Section 2026(b)(1) without change.
- 42 Subdivision (c) continues former Section 2026(b)(2) without change.

Subdivision (d) continues the first sentence of former Section 2026(c) without substantive change.

Subdivision (e) continues the second sentence of former Section 2026(c) without substantive change.

Subdivision (f) continues the third, fourth, fifth, sixth, and seventh sentences of former Section 2026(c) without change.

§ 2026.020. Oral deposition in foreign nation

2026.020 (a) Any party may obtain discovery by taking an oral deposition, as described in Section 2025.010, in a foreign nation. Except as modified in this section, the procedures for taking oral depositions in California set forth in Article 9 apply to an oral deposition taken in a foreign nation.

- (b) If a deponent is a party to the action or an officer, director, managing agent, or employee of a party, the service of the deposition notice is effective to compel the deponent to attend and to testify, as well as to produce any document or tangible thing for inspection and copying.
- (c) If a deponent is not a party to the action or an officer, director, managing agent or employee of a party, a party serving a deposition notice under this section shall use any process and procedures required and available under the laws of the foreign nation where the deposition is to be taken to compel the deponent to attend and to testify, as well as to produce any document or tangible thing for inspection, copying, and any related activity.
- (d) A deposition taken under this section shall be conducted under the supervision of any of the following:
- (1) A person who is authorized to administer oaths or their equivalent by the laws of the United States or of the foreign nation, and who is not otherwise disqualified under Section 2025.160 and subdivision (b) of Section 2025.180.
 - (2) A person or officer appointed by commission or under letters rogatory.
 - (3) Any person agreed to by all the parties.
- (e) On motion of the party seeking to take an oral deposition in a foreign nation, the court in which the action is pending shall issue a commission, letters rogatory, or a letter of request, if it determines that one is necessary or convenient. The commission, letters rogatory, or letter of request may include any terms and directions that are just and appropriate. The deposition officer may be designated by name or by descriptive title in the deposition notice and in the commission. Letters rogatory or a letter of request may be addressed: "To the Appropriate Judicial Authority in [name of foreign nation]."
- **Comment.** Subdivision (a) of Section 2026.020 continues former Section 2027(a) without change, except to conform the cross-references.
- Subdivision (b) continues former Section 2027(b)(1) without change.
- 40 Subdivision (c) continues former Section 2027(b)(2) without change.
- Subdivision (d) continues the first paragraph of former Section 2027(c) without substantive change.
 - Subdivision (e) continues the second paragraph of former Section 2027(c) without change.

Article 11. Deposition By Written Questions

§ 2028.010. Deposition by written questions

1

2

8

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

- 2028. Any party may obtain discovery by taking a deposition by written questions instead of by oral examination. Except as modified in this article, the procedures for taking oral depositions set forth in Articles 9 and 10 apply to written depositions.
- 7 **Comment.** Section 2028.010 continues former Section 2028(a) without substantive change.

§ 2028.020. Notice of written deposition

- 2028.020. The notice of a written deposition shall comply with Sections 2025.030 and 2025.040, except as follows:
 - (a) The name or descriptive title, as well as the address, of the deposition officer shall be stated.
- 13 (b) The date, time, and place for commencement of the deposition may be left to 14 future determination by the deposition officer.
 - **Comment.** Section 2028.020 continues former Section 2028(b) without substantive change.

§ 2028.030. Direct, cross, redirect, and recross questions

- 2028.030. (a) The questions to be propounded to the deponent by direct examination shall accompany the notice of a written deposition.
- (b) Within 30 days after the deposition notice and questions are served, a party shall serve any cross questions on all other parties entitled to notice of the deposition.
- (c) Within 15 days after being served with cross questions, a party shall serve any redirect questions on all other parties entitled to notice of the deposition.
- (d) Within 15 days after being served with redirect questions, a party shall serve any recross questions on all other parties entitled to notice of the deposition.
- (e) The court may, for good cause shown, extend or shorten the time periods for the interchange of cross, redirect, and recross questions.
- Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 2028.030 continues the first paragraph of former Section 2028(c) without change.
- 30 Subdivision (b) continues the second paragraph of former Section 2028(c) without change.
- 31 Subdivision (c) continues the third paragraph of former Section 2028(c) without change.
- 32 Subdivision (d) continues the fourth paragraph of former Section 2028(c) without change.
- 33 Subdivision (e) continues the fifth paragraph of former Section 2028(c) without change.

§ 2028.040. Objections

- 2028.040. (a) A party who objects to the form of any question shall serve a specific objection to that question on all parties entitled to notice of the deposition within 15 days after service of the question. A party who fails to timely serve an objection to the form of a question waives it.
- (b) The objecting party shall promptly move the court to sustain the objection. This motion shall be accompanied by a declaration stating facts showing a

- reasonable and good faith attempt at an informal resolution of each issue presented by the objection and motion. Unless the court has sustained that objection, the deposition officer shall propound to the deponent that question subject to that objection as to its form.
- (c) The court shall impose a monetary sanction under Article 7 against any party, person, or attorney who unsuccessfully makes or opposes a motion to sustain an objection, unless it finds that the one subject to the sanction acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust.
- **Comment.** Subdivision (a) of Section 2028.040 continues the first and second sentences of former Section 2028(d)(1) without change.
- Subdivision (b) continues the third, fourth, and fifth sentences of former Section 2028(d)(1) without change.
- Subdivision (c) continues the second paragraph of former Section 2028(d)(1) without change, except to conform the cross-reference.

§ 2028.050. Objection based on privilege

- 2028.050. (a) A party who objects to any question on the ground that it calls for information that is privileged or is protected work product under Article 4 shall serve a specific objection to that question on all parties entitled to notice of the deposition within 15 days after service of the question. A party who fails to timely serve that objection waives it.
- (b) The party propounding any question to which an objection is made on those grounds may then move the court for an order overruling that objection. This motion shall be accompanied by a declaration stating facts constituting a reasonable and good faith attempt at an informal resolution of each issue presented by the objection and motion. The deposition officer shall not propound to the deponent any question to which a written objection on those grounds has been served unless the court has overruled that objection.
- (c) The court shall impose a monetary sanction under Article 7 against any party, person, or attorney who unsuccessfully makes or opposes a motion to overrule an objection, unless it finds that the one subject to the sanction acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust.
- **Comment.** Subdivision (a) of Section 2028.050 continues the first and second sentences of former Section 2028(d)(2) without change.
- Subdivision (b) continues the third, fourth, and fifth sentences of former Section 2028(d)(2) without change.
- Subdivision (c) continues the second paragraph of former Section 2028(d)(2) without change, except to conform the cross-reference.

§ 2028.060. Preview of questions

2028.060. (a) The party taking a written deposition may forward to the deponent a copy of the questions on direct examination for study prior to the deposition.

- 1 (b) No party or attorney shall permit the deponent to preview the form or the substance of any cross, redirect, or recross questions.
- Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 2028.060 continues the first sentence of former Section 2028(e) without change.
 - Subdivision (b) continues the second sentence of former Section 2028(e) without change.

§ 2028.070. Court orders

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

- 2028.070. In addition to any appropriate order listed in Section 2025.120, the court may order any of the following:
- (a) That the deponent's testimony be taken by oral, instead of written, examination.
- (b) That one or more of the parties receiving notice of the written deposition be permitted to attend in person or by attorney and to propound questions to the deponent by oral examination.
- (c) That objections under Sections 2028.040 and 2028.050 be sustained or overruled.
- (d) That the deposition be taken before an officer other than the one named or described in the deposition notice.
- Comment. Section 2028.070 continues former Section 2028(f) without change, except to conform the cross-references.

§ 2028.080. Duties of deposition officer

- 2028.080. The party taking a written deposition shall deliver to the officer designated in the deposition notice a copy of that notice and of all questions served under Section 2028.030. The deposition officer shall proceed promptly to propound the questions and to take and record the testimony of the deponent in response to the questions.
- Comment. Section 2028.080 continues former Section 2028(g) without substantive change.

Article 12. Deposition in Action Pending Outside California

2029.010. Deposition in action pending outside California

- 2029.010. Whenever any mandate, writ, letters rogatory, letter of request, or commission is issued out of any court of record in any other state, territory, or district of the United States, or in a foreign nation, or whenever, on notice or agreement, it is required to take the oral or written deposition of a natural person in California, the deponent may be compelled to appear and testify, and to produce documents and things, in the same manner, and by the same process as may be employed for the purpose of taking testimony in actions pending in California.
 - **Comment.** Section 2029.010 continues former Section 2029 without change.

Article 13. Written Interrogatories

2 Staff Note. The staff has not yet divided the substance of Section 2030 into a series of short sections. The provision is shown here in its present form. Cross-references to other discovery provisions are left intact, but brackets are used to indicate the corresponding sections in this draft.

§ 2030. Written interrogatories to parties

- 2030. (a) Any party may obtain discovery within the scope delimited by Section 2017 [Articles 2 and 3], and subject to the restrictions set forth in Section 2019 [Article 5], by propounding to any other party to the action written interrogatories to be answered under oath.
- (b) A defendant may propound interrogatories to a party to the action without leave of court at any time. A plaintiff may propound interrogatories to a party without leave of court at any time that is 10 days after the service of the summons on, or in unlawful detainer actions five days after service of the summons on or appearance by, that party, whichever occurs first. However, on motion with or without notice, the court, for good cause shown, may grant leave to a plaintiff to propound interrogatories at an earlier time.
- (c) (1) A party may propound to another party (1) 35 specially prepared interrogatories, and (2) any additional number of official form interrogatories, as described in Section 2033.5 [Article 17], that are relevant to the subject matter of the pending action. Except as provided in paragraph (8), no party shall, as a matter of right, propound to any other party more than 35 specially prepared interrogatories. If the initial set of interrogatories does not exhaust this limit, the balance may be propounded in subsequent sets. Unless a declaration as described in paragraph (3) has been made, a party need only respond to the first 35 specially prepared interrogatories served, if that party states an objection to the balance, under paragraph (3) of subdivision (f), on the ground that the limit has been exceeded.
- (2) Subject to the right of the responding party to seek a protective order under subdivision (e), any party who attaches a supporting declaration as described in paragraph (3) may propound a greater number of specially prepared interrogatories to another party if this greater number is warranted because of any of the following:
- (A) The complexity or the quantity of the existing and potential issues in the particular case.
- (B) The financial burden on a party entailed in conducting the discovery by oral deposition.
- (C) The expedience of using this method of discovery to provide to the responding party the opportunity to conduct an inquiry, investigation, or search of files or records to supply the information sought.
- If the responding party seeks a protective order on the ground that the number of specially prepared interrogatories is unwarranted, the propounding party shall have the burden of justifying the number of these interrogatories.

1	(3) Any party who is propounding or has propounded more than 35 specially
2	prepared interrogatories to any other party shall attach to each set of those
3	interrogatories a declaration containing substantially the following:
4	
5	DECLARATION FOR ADDITIONAL DISCOVERY
6	I dealares
7	I,, declare:
8	1. I am (a party to this action or proceeding appearing in propria persona)
9	(presently the attorney for, a party to this action or proceeding). 2. I am propounding to the attached set of interrogatories.
10	
11	3. This set of interrogatories will cause the total number of specially prepared interrogatories propounded to the party to whom they are directed to exceed the
12	interrogatories propounded to the party to whom they are directed to exceed the
13	number of specially prepared interrogatories permitted by paragraph (1) of subdivision (c) of Section 2030 of the Code of Civil Procedure.
14	
15	4. I have previously propounded a total of interrogatories to this
16	party, of which interrogatories were not official form interrogatories.
17	5. This set of interrogatories contains a total of specially prepared interrogatories.
18 19	6. I am familiar with the issues and the previous discovery conducted by all of
	the parties in the case.
2021	7. I have personally examined each of the questions in this set of interrogatories.
22	8. This number of questions is warranted under paragraph (2) of subdivision (c)
23	of Section 2030 of the Code of Civil Procedure because (Here state
24	each factor described in paragraph (2) of subdivision (c) that is relied on, as well
25	as the reasons why any factor relied on is applicable to the instant lawsuit.)
26	9. None of the questions in this set of interrogatories is being propounded for
27	any improper purpose, such as to harass the party, or the attorney for the party, to
28	whom it is directed, or to cause unnecessary delay or needless increase in the cost
29	of litigation.
30	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing
31	is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on
32	is the und correct, and that this declaration was exceded on
33	
34	(Signature)
35	Attorney for
36	
37	(4) A party propounding interrogatories shall number each set of interrogatories
38	consecutively. In the first paragraph immediately below the title of the case, there
39	shall appear the identity of the propounding party, the set number, and the identity
40	of the responding party. Each interrogatory in a set shall be separately set forth and
41	identified by number or letter.
42	(5) Each interrogatory shall be full and complete in and of itself. No preface or
43	instruction shall be included with a set of interrogatories unless it has been

approved under Section 2033.5 [Article 17]. Any term specially defined in a set of interrogatories shall be typed with all letters capitalized wherever that term appears. No specially prepared interrogatory shall contain subparts, or a compound, conjunctive, or disjunctive question.

- (6) An interrogatory may relate to whether another party is making a certain contention, or to the facts, witnesses, and writings on which a contention is based. An interrogatory is not objectionable because an answer to it involves an opinion or contention that relates to fact or the application of law to fact, or would be based on information obtained or legal theories developed in anticipation of litigation or in preparation for trial.
- (7) An interrogatory may not be made a continuing one so as to impose on the party responding to it a duty to supplement an answer to it that was initially correct and complete with later acquired information.
- (8) In addition to the number of interrogatories permitted by paragraphs (1) and (2), a party may propound a supplemental interrogatory to elicit any later acquired information bearing on all answers previously made by any party in response to interrogatories (1) twice prior to the initial setting of a trial date, and (2) subject to the time limits on discovery proceedings and motions provided in Section 2024 [Article 8], once after the initial setting of a trial date. However, on motion, for good cause shown, the court may grant leave to a party to propound an additional number of supplemental interrogatories.
- (d) The party propounding interrogatories shall serve a copy of them (1) on the party to whom they are directed, and (2) on all other parties who have appeared in the action, unless the court on motion with or without notice has relieved that party from this requirement on its determination that service on all other parties would be unduly expensive or burdensome.
- (e) When interrogatories have been propounded, the responding party, and any other party or affected natural person or organization may promptly move for a protective order. This motion shall be accompanied by a declaration stating facts showing a reasonable and good faith attempt at an informal resolution of each issue presented by the motion.

The court, for good cause shown, may make any order that justice requires to protect any party or other natural person or organization from unwarranted annoyance, embarrassment, or oppression, or undue burden and expense. This protective order may include, but is not limited to, one or more of the following directions:

- (1) That the set of interrogatories, or particular interrogatories in the set, need not be answered.
- (2) That, contrary to the representations made in a declaration submitted under paragraph (3) of subdivision (c), the number of specially prepared interrogatories is unwarranted.
- (3) That the time specified in subdivision (h) to respond to the set of interrogatories, or to particular interrogatories in the set, be extended.

(4) That the response be made only on specified terms and conditions.

- (5) That the method of discovery be an oral deposition instead of interrogatories to a party.
- (6) That a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information not be disclosed or be disclosed only in a certain way.
- (7) That some or all of the answers to interrogatories be sealed and thereafter opened only on order of the court.

If the motion for a protective order is denied in whole or in part, the court may order that the party provide or permit the discovery against which protection was sought on terms and conditions that are just.

The court shall impose a monetary sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7] against any party, person, or attorney who unsuccessfully makes or opposes a motion for a protective order, unless it finds that the one subject to the sanction acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances made the imposition of the sanction unjust.

- (f) The party to whom interrogatories have been propounded shall respond in writing under oath separately to each interrogatory by (1) an answer containing the information sought to be discovered, (2) an exercise of the party's option to produce writings, or (3) an objection to the particular interrogatory. In the first paragraph of the response immediately below the title of the case, there shall appear the identity of the responding party, the set number, and the identity of the propounding party. Each answer, exercise of option, or objection in the response shall bear the same identifying number or letter and be in the same sequence as the corresponding interrogatory, but the text of that interrogatory need not be repeated.
- (1) Each answer in the response shall be as complete and straightforward as the information reasonably available to the responding party permits. If an interrogatory cannot be answered completely, it shall be answered to the extent possible. If the responding party does not have personal knowledge sufficient to respond fully to an interrogatory, that party shall so state, but shall make a reasonable and good faith effort to obtain the information by inquiry to other natural persons or organizations, except where the information is equally available to the propounding party.
- (2) If the answer to an interrogatory would necessitate the preparation or the making of a compilation, abstract, audit, or summary of or from the documents of the party to whom the interrogatory is directed, and if the burden or expense of preparing or making it would be substantially the same for the party propounding the interrogatory as for the responding party, it is a sufficient answer to that interrogatory to refer to this subdivision and to specify the writings from which the answer may be derived or ascertained. This specification shall be in sufficient detail to permit the propounding party to locate and to identify, as readily as the responding party can, the documents from which the answer may be ascertained. The responding party shall then afford to the propounding party a reasonable

opportunity to examine, audit, or inspect these documents and to make copies, compilations, abstracts, or summaries of them.

- (3) If only a part of an interrogatory is objectionable, the remainder of the interrogatory shall be answered. If an objection is made to an interrogatory or to a part of an interrogatory, the specific ground for the objection shall be set forth clearly in the response. If an objection is based on a claim of privilege, the particular privilege invoked shall be clearly stated. If an objection is based on a claim that the information sought is protected work product under Section 2018 [Article 4], that claim shall be expressly asserted.
- (g) The party to whom the interrogatories are directed shall sign the response under oath unless the response contains only objections. If that party is a public or private corporation, or a partnership, association, or governmental agency, one of its officers or agents shall sign the response under oath on behalf of that party. If the officer or agent signing the response on behalf of that party is an attorney acting in that capacity for the party, that party waives any lawyer-client privilege and any protection for work product under Section 2018 [Article 4] during any subsequent discovery from that attorney concerning the identity of the sources of the information contained in the response. The attorney for the responding party shall sign any responses that contain an objection.
- (h) Within 30 days after service of interrogatories, or in unlawful detainer actions within five days after service of interrogatories the party to whom the interrogatories are propounded shall serve the original of the response to them on the propounding party, unless on motion of the propounding party the court has shortened the time for response, or unless on motion of the responding party the court has extended the time for response. In unlawful detainer actions, the party to whom the interrogatories are propounded shall have five days from the date of service to respond unless on motion of the propounding party the court has shortened the time for response. The party to whom the interrogatories are propounded shall also serve a copy of the response on all other parties who have appeared in the action, unless the court on motion with or without notice has relieved that party from this requirement on its determination that service on all other parties would be unduly expensive or burdensome.
- (i) The party propounding interrogatories and the responding party may agree to extend the time for service of a response to a set of interrogatories, or to particular interrogatories in a set, to a date beyond that provided in subdivision (h). This agreement may be informal, but it shall be confirmed in a writing that specifies the extended date for service of a response. Unless this agreement expressly states otherwise, it is effective to preserve to the responding party the right to respond to any interrogatory to which the agreement applies in any manner specified in subdivision (f).
- (j) The interrogatories and the response thereto shall not be filed with the court. The propounding party shall retain both the original of the interrogatories, with the original proof of service affixed to them, and the original of the sworn response

until six months after final disposition of the action. At that time, both originals may be destroyed, unless the court on motion of any party and for good cause shown orders that the originals be preserved for a longer period.

(k) If a party to whom interrogatories have been directed fails to serve a timely response, that party waives any right to exercise the option to produce writings under subdivision (f), as well as any objection to the interrogatories, including one based on privilege or on the protection for work product under Section 2018 [Article 4]. However, the court, on motion, may relieve that party from this waiver on its determination that (1) the party has subsequently served a response that is in substantial compliance with subdivision (f), and (2) the party's failure to serve a timely response was the result of mistake, inadvertence, or excusable neglect.

The party propounding the interrogatories may move for an order compelling response to the interrogatories. The court shall impose a monetary sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7] against any party, person, or attorney who unsuccessfully makes or opposes a motion to compel a response to interrogatories, unless it finds that the one subject to the sanction acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust. If a party then fails to obey an order compelling answers, the court may make those orders that are just, including the imposition of an issue sanction, an evidence sanction, or a terminating sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7]. In lieu of or in addition to that sanction, the court may impose a monetary sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7].

(1) If the propounding party, on receipt of a response to interrogatories, deems that (1) an answer to a particular interrogatory is evasive or incomplete, (2) an exercise of the option to produce documents under paragraph (2) of subdivision (f) is unwarranted or the required specification of those documents is inadequate, or (3) an objection to an interrogatory is without merit or too general, that party may move for an order compelling a further response. This motion shall be accompanied by a declaration stating facts showing a reasonable and good faith attempt at an informal resolution of each issue presented by the motion.

Unless notice of this motion is given within 45 days of the service of the response, or any supplemental response, or on or before any specific later date to which the propounding party and the responding party have agreed in writing, the propounding party waives any right to compel a further response to the interrogatories.

The court shall impose a monetary sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7] against any party, person, or attorney who unsuccessfully makes or opposes a motion to compel a further response to interrogatories, unless it finds that the one subject to the sanction acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust.

If a party then fails to obey an order compelling further response to interrogatories, the court may make those orders that are just, including the imposition of an issue sanction, an evidence sanction, or a terminating sanction

under Section 2023 [Article 7]. In lieu of or in addition to that sanction, the court may impose a monetary sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7].

(m) Without leave of court, a party may serve an amended answer to any interrogatory that contains information subsequently discovered, inadvertently omitted, or mistakenly stated in the initial interrogatory. At the trial of the action, the propounding party or any other party may use the initial answer under subdivision (n), and the responding party may then use the amended answer.

The party who propounded an interrogatory to which an amended answer has been served may move for an order that the initial answer to that interrogatory be deemed binding on the responding party for the purpose of the pending action. This motion shall be accompanied by a declaration stating facts showing a reasonable and good faith attempt at an informal resolution of each issue presented by the motion. The court shall grant this motion if it determines that (1) the initial failure of the responding party to answer the interrogatory correctly has substantially prejudiced the party who propounded the interrogatory, (2) the responding party has failed to show substantial justification for the initial answer to that interrogatory, and (3) the prejudice to the propounding party cannot be cured either by a continuance to permit further discovery or by the use of the initial answer under subdivision (n).

The court shall impose a monetary sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7] against any party, person, or attorney who unsuccessfully makes or opposes a motion to deem binding an initial answer to an interrogatory, unless it finds that the one subject to the sanction acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust.

(n) At the trial or any other hearing in the action, so far as admissible under the rules of evidence, the propounding party or any party other than the responding party may use any answer or part of an answer to an interrogatory only against the responding party. It is not ground for objection to the use of an answer to an interrogatory that the responding party is available to testify, has testified, or will testify at the trial or other hearing.

Article 14. Inspection and Production of Documents, Tangible Things, Land, and Other Property

Staff Note. The staff has not yet divided the substance of Section 2031 into a series of short sections. The provision is shown here in its present form, as is Section 2031.5, which relates to the same subject and thus should be incorporated into the same portion of the code. Cross-references to other discovery provisions are left intact, but brackets are used to indicate the corresponding sections in this draft.

§ 2031. Inspection and production of documents, tangible things, land, and other property

2031. (a) Any party may obtain discovery within the scope delimited by Section 2017 [Articles 2 and 3], and subject to the restrictions set forth in Section 2019

[Article 5], by inspecting documents, tangible things, and land or other property that are in the possession, custody, or control of any other party to the action.

- (1) A party may demand that any other party produce and permit the party making the demand, or someone acting on that party's behalf, to inspect and to copy a document that is in the possession, custody, or control of the party on whom the demand is made.
- (2) A party may demand that any other party produce and permit the party making the demand, or someone acting on that party's behalf, to inspect and to photograph, test, or sample any tangible things that are in the possession, custody, or control of the party on whom the demand is made.
- (3) A party may demand that any other party allow the party making the demand, or someone acting on that party's behalf, to enter on any land or other property that is in the possession, custody, or control of the party on whom the demand is made, and to inspect and to measure, survey, photograph, test, or sample the land or other property, or any designated object or operation on it.
- (b) A defendant may make a demand for inspection without leave of court at any time. A plaintiff may make a demand for inspection without leave of court at any time that is 10 days after the service of the summons on, or in unlawful detainer actions within five days after service of the summons on or appearance by, the party to whom the demand is directed, whichever occurs first. However, on motion with or without notice, the court, for good cause shown, may grant leave to a plaintiff to make an inspection demand at an earlier time.
- (c) A party demanding an inspection shall number each set of demands consecutively. In the first paragraph immediately below the title of the case, there shall appear the identity of the demanding party, the set number, and the identity of the responding party. Each demand in a set shall be separately set forth, identified by number or letter, and shall do all of the following:
- (1) Designate the documents, tangible things, or land or other property to be inspected either by specifically describing each individual item or by reasonably particularizing each category of item.
- (2) Specify a reasonable time for the inspection that is at least 30 days after service of the demand, or in unlawful detainer actions at least five days after service of the demand, unless the court for good cause shown has granted leave to specify an earlier date.
- (3) Specify a reasonable place for making the inspection, copying, and performing any related activity.
- (4) Specify any related activity that is being demanded in addition to an inspection and copying, as well as the manner in which that related activity will be performed, and whether that activity will permanently alter or destroy the item involved.
- (d) The party demanding an inspection shall serve a copy of the inspection demand on the party to whom it is directed and on all other parties who have appeared in the action.

- (e) In addition to the inspection demands permitted by this section, a party may propound a supplemental demand to inspect any later acquired or discovered documents, tangible things, or land or other property that are in the possession, custody, or control of the party on whom the demand is made (1) twice prior to the initial setting of a trial date, and (2) subject to the time limits on discovery proceedings and motions provided in Section 2024 [Article 8], once after the initial setting of a trial date. However, on motion, for good cause shown, the court may grant leave to a party to propound an additional number of supplemental demands for inspection.
- (f) When an inspection of documents, tangible things or places has been demanded, the party to whom the demand has been directed, and any other party or affected person or organization, may promptly move for a protective order. This motion shall be accompanied by a declaration stating facts showing a reasonable and good faith attempt at an informal resolution of each issue presented by the motion.

The court, for good cause shown, may make any order that justice requires to protect any party or other natural person or organization from unwarranted annoyance, embarrassment, or oppression, or undue burden and expense. This protective order may include, but is not limited to, one or more of the following directions:

- (1) That all or some of the items or categories of items in the inspection demand need not be produced or made available at all.
- (2) That the time specified in subdivision (i) to respond to the set of inspection demands, or to a particular item or category in the set, be extended.
- (3) That the place of production be other than that specified in the inspection demand.
 - (4) That the inspection be made only on specified terms and conditions.
- (5) That a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information not be disclosed, or be disclosed only to specified persons or only in a specified way.
- (6) That the items produced be sealed and thereafter opened only on order of the court.

If the motion for a protective order is denied in whole or in part, the court may order that the party to whom the demand was directed provide or permit the discovery against which protection was sought on terms and conditions that are just.

The court shall impose a monetary sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7] against any party, person, or attorney who unsuccessfully makes or opposes a motion for a protective order, unless it finds that the one subject to the sanction acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust.

(g) The party to whom an inspection demand has been directed shall respond separately to each item or category of item by a statement that the party will comply with the particular demand for inspection and any related activities, a representation that the party lacks the ability to comply with the demand for inspection of a particular item or category of item, or an objection to the particular demand.

In the first paragraph of the response immediately below the title of the case, there shall appear the identity of the responding party, the set number, and the identity of the demanding party. Each statement of compliance, each representation, and each objection in the response shall bear the same number and be in the same sequence as the corresponding item or category in the demand, but the text of that item or category need not be repeated.

(1) A statement that the party to whom an inspection demand has been directed will comply with the particular demand shall state that the production, inspection, and related activity demanded will be allowed either in whole or in part, and that all documents or things in the demanded category that are in the possession, custody, or control of that party and to which no objection is being made will be included in the production.

Any documents demanded shall either be produced as they are kept in the usual course of business, or be organized and labeled to correspond with the categories in the demand. If necessary, the responding party at the reasonable expense of the demanding party shall, through detection devices, translate any data compilations included in the demand into reasonably usable form.

- (2) A representation of inability to comply with the particular demand for inspection shall affirm that a diligent search and a reasonable inquiry has been made in an effort to comply with that demand. This statement shall also specify whether the inability to comply is because the particular item or category has never existed, has been destroyed, has been lost, misplaced, or stolen, or has never been, or is no longer, in the possession, custody, or control of the responding party. The statement shall set forth the name and address of any natural person or organization known or believed by that party to have possession, custody, or control of that item or category of item.
- (3) If only part of an item or category of item in an inspection demand is objectionable, the response shall contain a statement of compliance, or a representation of inability to comply with respect to the remainder of that item or category. If the responding party objects to the demand for inspection of an item or category of item, the response shall (A) identify with particularity any document, tangible thing, or land falling within any category of item in the demand to which an objection is being made, and (B) set forth clearly the extent of, and the specific ground for, the objection. If an objection is based on a claim of privilege, the particular privilege invoked shall be stated. If an objection is based on a claim that the information sought is protected work product under Section 2018 [Article 4], that claim shall be expressly asserted.
- (h) The party to whom the demand for inspection is directed shall sign the response under oath unless the response contains only objections. If that party is a

public or private corporation or a partnership or association or governmental agency, one of its officers or agents shall sign the response under oath on behalf of that party. If the officer or agent signing the response on behalf of that party is an attorney acting in that capacity for a party, that party waives any lawyer-client privilege and any protection for work product under Section 2018 [Article 4] during any subsequent discovery from that attorney concerning the identity of the sources of the information contained in the response. The attorney for the responding party shall sign any responses that contain an objection.

- (i) Within 30 days after service of an inspection demand, or in unlawful detainer actions within five days of an inspection demand, the party to whom the demand is directed shall serve the original of the response to it on the party making the demand, and a copy of the response on all other parties who have appeared in the action, unless on motion of the party making the demand the court has shortened the time for response, or unless on motion of the party to whom the demand has been directed, the court has extended the time for response. In unlawful detainer actions, the party to whom the demand is directed shall have at least five days from the date of service of the demand to respond unless on motion of the party making the demand the court has shortened the time for the response.
- (j) The party demanding an inspection and the responding party may agree to extend the time for service of a response to a set of inspection demands, or to particular items or categories of items in a set, to a date beyond that provided in subdivision (i). This agreement may be informal, but it shall be confirmed in a writing that specifies the extended date for service of a response. Unless this agreement expressly states otherwise, it is effective to preserve to the responding party the right to respond to any item or category of item in the demand to which the agreement applies in any manner specified in subdivision (g).
- (k) The inspection demand and the response to it shall not be filed with the court. The party demanding an inspection shall retain both the original of the inspection demand, with the original proof of service affixed to it, and the original of the sworn response until six months after final disposition of the action. At that time, both originals may be destroyed, unless the court, on motion of any party and for good cause shown, orders that the originals be preserved for a longer period.
- (l) If a party to whom an inspection demand has been directed fails to serve a timely response to it, that party waives any objection to the demand, including one based on privilege or on the protection for work product under Section 2018 [Article 4]. However, the court, on motion, may relieve that party from this waiver on its determination that (1) the party has subsequently served a response that is in substantial compliance with subdivision (g), and (2) the party's failure to serve a timely response was the result of mistake, inadvertence, or excusable neglect.

The party making the demand may move for an order compelling response to the inspection demand. The court shall impose a monetary sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7] against any party, person, or attorney who unsuccessfully makes or opposes a motion to compel a response to an inspection demand, unless it finds

that the one subject to the sanction acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust. If a party then fails to obey the order compelling a response, the court may make those orders that are just, including the imposition of an issue sanction, an evidence sanction, or a terminating sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7]. In lieu of or in addition to that sanction, the court may impose a monetary sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7].

(m) If the party demanding an inspection, on receipt of a response to an inspection demand, deems that (1) a statement of compliance with the demand is incomplete, (2) a representation of inability to comply is inadequate, incomplete, or evasive, or (3) an objection in the response is without merit or too general, that party may move for an order compelling further response to the demand. This motion (A) shall set forth specific facts showing good cause justifying the discovery sought by the inspection demand, and (B) shall be accompanied by a declaration stating facts showing a reasonable and good faith attempt at an informal resolution of any issue presented by it.

Unless notice of this motion is given within 45 days of the service of the response, or any supplemental response, or on or before any specific later date to which the demanding party and the responding party have agreed in writing, the demanding party waives any right to compel a further response to the inspection demand.

The court shall impose a monetary sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7] against any party, person, or attorney who unsuccessfully makes or opposes a motion to compel further response to an inspection demand, unless it finds that the one subject to the sanction acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust.

If a party fails to obey an order compelling further response, the court may make those orders that are just, including the imposition of an issue sanction, an evidence sanction, or a terminating sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7]. In lieu of or in addition to that sanction, the court may impose a monetary sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7].

(n) If a party filing a response to a demand for inspection under subdivision (g) thereafter fails to permit the inspection in accordance with that party's statement of compliance, the party demanding the inspection may move for an order compelling compliance.

The court shall impose a monetary sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7] against any party, person, or attorney who unsuccessfully makes or opposes a motion to compel compliance with an inspection demand, unless it finds that the one subject to the sanction acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust.

If a party then fails to obey an order compelling inspection, the court may make those orders that are just, including the imposition of an issue sanction, an evidence sanction, or a terminating sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7]. In lieu

of or in addition to that sanction, the court may impose a monetary sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7].

\S 2031.5. Disclosure of written evidence relating to land boundary or validity of state patent or grant

2031.5. In any action, regardless of who is the moving party, where (a) the boundary of land patented or otherwise granted by the state is in dispute, or (b) the validity of any state patent or grant dated prior to 1950 is in dispute, all parties shall have the duty to disclose to all opposing parties all nonprivileged relevant written evidence then known and available, including evidence against interest, relating to the above issues. This evidence shall be disclosed within 120 days after the filing with the court of proof of service upon all named defendants. Thereafter, the parties shall have the continuing duty to make all subsequently discovered relevant and nonprivileged written evidence available to the opposing parties.

Article 15. Physical or Mental Examination

Staff Note. The staff has not yet divided the substance of Section 2032 into a series of short sections. The provision is shown here in its present form. Cross-references to other discovery provisions are left intact, but brackets are used to indicate the corresponding sections in this draft.

§ 2032. Physical or mental examination

- 2032. (a) Any party may obtain discovery, subject to the restrictions set forth in Section 2019 [Article 5], by means of a physical or mental examination of (1) a party to the action, (2) an agent of any party, or (3) a natural person in the custody or under the legal control of a party, in any action in which the mental or physical condition (including the blood group) of that party or other person is in controversy in the action.
- (b) A physical examination conducted under this section shall be performed only by a licensed physician or other appropriate licensed health care practitioner. A mental examination conducted under this section shall be performed only by a licensed physician, or by a licensed clinical psychologist who holds a doctoral degree in psychology and has had at least five years of postgraduate experience in the diagnosis of emotional and mental disorders. Nothing in this section affects tests under the Uniform Act on Blood Tests to Determine Paternity (Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 7550) of Part 2 of Division 12 of the Family Code).
- (c)(1) As used in this subdivision, plaintiff includes a cross-complainant, and defendant includes a cross-defendant.
- (2) In any case in which a plaintiff is seeking recovery for personal injuries, any defendant may demand one physical examination of the plaintiff, provided the examination does not include any diagnostic test or procedure that is painful, protracted, or intrusive, and is conducted at a location within 75 miles of the residence of the examinee. A defendant may make this demand without leave of court after that defendant has been served or has appeared in the action, whichever

occurs first. This demand shall specify the time, place, manner, conditions, scope, and nature of the examination, as well as the identity and the specialty, if any, of the physician who will perform the examination.

- (3) A physical examination demanded under this subdivision shall be scheduled for a date that is at least 30 days after service of the demand for it unless on motion of the party demanding the examination the court has shortened this time.
- (4) The defendant shall serve a copy of the demand for this physical examination on the plaintiff and on all other parties who have appeared in the action.
- (5) The plaintiff to whom this demand for a physical examination has been directed shall respond to the demand by a written statement that the examinee will comply with the demand as stated, will comply with the demand as specifically modified by the plaintiff, or will refuse, for reasons specified in the response, to submit to the demanded physical examination. Within 20 days after service of the demand the plaintiff to whom the demand is directed shall serve the original of the response to it on the defendant making the demand, and a copy of the response on all other parties who have appeared in the action, unless on motion of the defendant making the demand the court has shortened the time for response, or unless on motion of the plaintiff to whom the demand has been directed, the court has extended the time for response.
- (6) If a plaintiff to whom this demand for a physical examination has been directed fails to serve a timely response to it, that plaintiff waives any objection to the demand. However, the court, on motion, may relieve that plaintiff from this waiver on its determination that (A) the plaintiff has subsequently served a response that is in substantial compliance with paragraph (5), and (B) the plaintiff's failure to serve a timely response was the result of mistake, inadvertence, or excusable neglect.

The defendant may move for an order compelling response and compliance with a demand for a physical examination. The court shall impose a monetary sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7] against any party, person, or attorney who unsuccessfully makes or opposes a motion to compel response and compliance with a demand for a physical examination, unless it finds that the one subject to the sanction acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust.

If a plaintiff then fails to obey the order compelling response and compliance, the court may make those orders that are just, including the imposition of an issue sanction, an evidence sanction, or a terminating sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7]. In lieu of or in addition to that sanction the court may impose a monetary sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7].

(7) If a defendant who has demanded a physical examination under this subdivision, on receipt of the plaintiff's response to that demand, deems that any modification of the demand, or any refusal to submit to the physical examination is unwarranted, that defendant may move for an order compelling compliance with the demand. This motion shall be accompanied by a declaration stating facts

showing a reasonable and good faith attempt at an informal resolution of each issue presented by the motion.

The court shall impose a monetary sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7] against any party, person, or attorney who unsuccessfully makes or opposes a motion to compel compliance with a demand for a physical examination, unless it finds that the one subject to the sanction acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust.

- (8) The demand for a physical examination and the response to it shall not be filed with the court. The defendant shall retain both the original of the demand, with the original proof of service affixed to it, and the original response until six months after final disposition of the action. At that time, the original may be destroyed, unless the court, on motion of any party and for good cause shown, orders that the originals be preserved for a longer period.
- (d) If any party desires to obtain discovery by a physical examination other than that described in subdivision (c), or by a mental examination, the party shall obtain leave of court. The motion for the examination shall specify the time, place, manner, conditions, scope, and nature of the examination, as well as the identity and the specialty, if any, of the person or persons who will perform the examination. The motion shall be accompanied by a declaration stating facts showing a reasonable and good faith attempt to arrange for the examination by an agreement under subdivision (e). Notice of the motion shall be served on the person to be examined and on all parties who have appeared in the action.

The court shall grant a motion for a physical or mental examination only for good cause shown. If a party stipulates that (1) no claim is being made for mental and emotional distress over and above that usually associated with the physical injuries claimed, and (2) no expert testimony regarding this usual mental and emotional distress will be presented at trial in support of the claim for damages, a mental examination of a person for whose personal injuries a recovery is being sought shall not be ordered except on a showing of exceptional circumstances. The order granting a physical or mental examination shall specify the person or persons who may perform the examination, and the time, place, manner, diagnostic tests and procedures, conditions, scope, and nature of the examination. If the place of the examination is more than 75 miles from the residence of the person to be examined, the order to submit to it shall be (1) made only on the court's determination that there is good cause for the travel involved, and (2) conditioned on the advancement by the moving party of the reasonable expenses and costs to the examinee for travel to the place of examination.

- (e) In lieu of the procedures and restrictions specified in subdivisions (c) and (d), any physical or mental examination may be arranged by, and carried out under, a written agreement of the parties.
- (f) If a party required by subdivision (c), (d), or (e) to submit to a physical or mental examination fails to do so, the court, on motion of the party entitled to the examination, may make those orders that are just, including the imposition of an

issue sanction, an evidence sanction, or a terminating sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7]. In lieu of or in addition to that sanction, the court may, on motion of the party, impose a monetary sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7].

If a party required by subdivision (c), (d), or (e) to produce another for a physical or mental examination fails to do so, the court, on motion of the party entitled to the examination, may make those orders that are just, including the imposition of an issue sanction, an evidence sanction, or a terminating sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7], unless the party failing to comply demonstrates an inability to produce that person for examination. In lieu of or in addition to that sanction, the court may impose a monetary sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7].

(g)(1) The attorney for the examinee or for a party producing the examinee, or that attorney's representative, shall be permitted to attend and observe any physical examination conducted for discovery purposes, and to record stenographically or by audiotape any words spoken to or by the examinee during any phase of the examination. This observer may monitor the examination, but shall not participate in or disrupt it. If an attorney's representative is to serve as the observer, the representative shall be authorized to so act by a writing subscribed by the attorney which identifies the representative.

If in the judgment of the observer the examiner becomes abusive to the examinee or undertakes to engage in unauthorized diagnostic tests and procedures, the observer may suspend it to enable the party being examined or producing the examinee to make a motion for a protective order. If the observer begins to participate in or disrupt the examination, the person conducting the physical examination may suspend the examination to enable the party at whose instance it is being conducted to move for a protective order.

The court shall impose a monetary sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7] against any party, person, or attorney who unsuccessfully makes or opposes a motion for a protective order, unless it finds that the one subject to the sanction acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust.

If the examinee submits or authorizes access to X-rays of any area of his or her body for inspection by the examining physician, no additional X-rays of that area may be taken by the examining physician except with consent of the examinee or on order of the court for good cause shown.

- (2) The examiner and examinee shall have the right to record a mental examination on audio tape [sic]. However, nothing in this article [chapter] shall be construed to alter, amend, or affect existing case law with respect to the presence of the attorney for the examinee or other persons during the examination by agreement or court order.
- (h) If a party submits to, or produces another for, a physical or mental examination in compliance with a demand under subdivision (c), an order of court under subdivision (d), or an agreement under subdivision (e), that party has the

option of making a written demand that the party at whose instance the examination was made deliver to the demanding party (1) a copy of a detailed written report setting out the history, examinations, findings, including the results of all tests made, diagnoses, prognoses, and conclusions of the examiner, and (2) a copy of reports of all earlier examinations of the same condition of the examinee made by that or any other examiner. If this option is exercised, a copy of these reports shall be delivered within 30 days after service of the demand, or within 15 days of trial, whichever is earlier. The protection for work product under Section 2018 [Article 4] is waived, both for the examiner's writings and reports and to the taking of the examiner's testimony.

If the party at whose instance the examination was made fails to make a timely delivery of the reports demanded, the demanding party may move for an order compelling their delivery. This motion shall be accompanied by a declaration stating facts showing a reasonable and good faith attempt at an informal resolution of any issue presented by the motion.

The court shall impose a monetary sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7] against any party, person, or attorney who unsuccessfully makes or opposes a motion to compel delivery of medical reports, unless it finds that the one subject to the sanction acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust.

If a party then fails to obey an order compelling delivery of demanded medical reports, the court may make those orders that are just, including the imposition of an issue sanction, an evidence sanction, or a terminating sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7]. In lieu of or in addition to those sanctions, the court may impose a monetary sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7]. The court shall exclude at trial the testimony of any examiner whose report has not been provided by a party.

- (i) By demanding and obtaining a report of a physical or mental examination under subdivision (h), or by taking the deposition of the examiner, other than under subdivision (i) of Section 2034, the party who submitted to, or produced another for, a physical or mental examination waives in the pending action, and in any other action involving the same controversy, any privilege, as well as any protection for work product under Section 2018 [Article 4], that the party or other examinee may have regarding reports and writings as well as the testimony of every other physician, psychologist, or licensed health care practitioner who has examined or may thereafter examine the party or other examinee in respect of the same physical or mental condition.
- (j) A party receiving a demand for a report under subdivision (h) is entitled at the time of compliance to receive in exchange a copy of any existing written report of any examination of the same condition by any other physician, psychologist, or licensed health care practitioner. In addition, that party is entitled to receive promptly any later report of any previous or subsequent examination of the same condition, by any physician, psychologist, or licensed health care practitioner.

If a party who has demanded and received delivery of medical reports under subdivision (h) fails to deliver existing or later reports of previous or subsequent examinations, a party who has complied with subdivision (h) may move for an order compelling delivery of medical reports. This motion shall be accompanied by a declaration stating facts showing a reasonable and good faith attempt at an informal resolution of each issue presented by the motion.

The court shall impose a monetary sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7] against any party, person, or attorney who unsuccessfully makes or opposes a motion to compel delivery of medical reports, unless it finds that the one subject to the sanction acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust.

If a party then fails to obey an order compelling delivery of medical reports, the court may make those orders that are just, including the imposition of an issue sanction, an evidence sanction, or a terminating sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7]. In lieu of or in addition to the sanction, the court may impose a monetary sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7]. The court shall exclude at trial the testimony of any health care practitioner whose report has not been provided by a party ordered to do so by the court.

(k) Nothing in this section shall require the disclosure of the identity of an expert consulted by an attorney in order to make the certification required in an action for professional negligence under Sections 411.30 and 411.35.

Article 16. Requests for Admission

Staff Note. The staff has not yet divided the substance of Section 2033 into a series of short sections. The provision is shown here in its present form. Cross-references to other discovery provisions are left intact, but brackets are used to indicate the corresponding sections in this draft.

§ 2033. Requests for admission

2033. (a) Any party may obtain discovery within the scope delimited by Section 2017, and subject to the restrictions set forth in Section 2019 [Article 5], by a written request that any other party to the action admit the genuineness of specified documents, or the truth of specified matters of fact, opinion relating to fact, or application of law to fact. A request for admission may relate to a matter that is in controversy between the parties.

- (b) A defendant may make requests for admission by a party without leave of court at any time. A plaintiff may make requests for admission by a party without leave of court at any time that is 10 days after the service of the summons on, or, in unlawful detainer actions, five days after the service of the summons on, or appearance by, that party, whichever occurs first. However, on motion with or without notice, the court, for good cause shown, may grant leave to a plaintiff to make requests for admission at an earlier time.
- (c) (1) No party shall request, as a matter of right, that any other party admit more than 35 matters that do not relate to the genuineness of documents. If the

initial set of admission requests does not exhaust this limit, the balance may be requested in subsequent sets. Unless a declaration as described in paragraph (3) has been made, a party need only respond to the first 35 admission requests served that do not relate to the genuineness of documents, if that party states an objection to the balance under paragraph (2) of subdivision (f) on the ground that the limit has been exceeded.

The number of requests for admission of the genuineness of documents is not limited except as justice requires to protect the responding party from unwarranted annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden and expense.

(2) Subject to the right of the responding party to seek a protective order under subdivision (e), any party who attaches a supporting declaration as described in paragraph (3) may request a greater number of admissions by another party if the greater number is warranted by the complexity or the quantity of the existing and potential issues in the particular case.

If the responding party seeks a protective order on the ground that the number of requests for admission is unwarranted, the propounding party shall have the burden of justifying the number of requests for admission.

(3) Any party who is requesting or who has already requested more than 35 admissions not relating to the genuineness of documents by any other party shall attach to each set of requests for admissions a declaration containing substantially the following words:

DECLARATION FOR ADDITIONAL DISCOVERY

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 I, _____, declare: 25 1. I am (a party to this action or proceeding appearing in propria persona) 26 (presently the attorney for ______, a party to this action or proceeding). 27 2. I am propounding to _____ the attached set of requests for admission. 28 3. This set of requests for admission will cause the total number of requests 29 propounded to the party to whom they are directed to exceed the number of 30 requests permitted by paragraph (1) of subdivision (c) of Section 2033 of the Code 31 of Civil Procedure. 32 4. I have previously propounded a total of _____ requests for admission to 33 this party. 34 5. This set of requests for admission contains a total of _____ requests. 35 6. I am familiar with the issues and the previous discovery conducted by all of 36 the parties in this case. 37 7. I have personally examined each of the requests in this set of requests for 38 admission. 39 8. This number of requests for admission is warranted under paragraph (2) of 40 subdivision (c) of Section 2033 of the Code of Civil Procedure because 41 . (Here state the reasons why the complexity or the quantity of issues 42 in the instant lawsuit warrant this number of requests for admission.) 43

9. None of the requests in this set of requests is being propounded for any
improper purpose, such as to harass the party, or the attorney for the party, to
whom it is directed, or to cause unnecessary delay or needless increase in the cost
of litigation.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California t	that the foregoing
is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on	.

(Signature)	
Attorney for	

forth and identified by letter or number.

- 12 (4) A party requesting admissions shall number each set of requests 13 consecutively. In the first paragraph immediately below the title of the case, there 14 shall appear the identity of the party requesting the admissions, the set number, 15 and the identity of the requesting party, the set number, and the identity of the 16 responding party. [sic] Each request for admission in a set shall be separately set
 - (5) Each request for admission shall be full and complete in and of itself. No preface or instruction shall be included with a set of admission requests unless it has been approved under Section 2033.5 [Article 17]. Any term specially defined in a request for admission shall be typed with all letters capitalized whenever the term appears. No request for admission shall contain subparts, or a compound, conjunctive, or disjunctive request unless it has been approved under Section 2033.5 [Article 17].
 - (6) A party requesting an admission of the genuineness of any documents shall attach copies of those documents to the requests, and shall make the original of those documents available for inspection on demand by the party to whom the requests for admission are directed.
 - (7) No party shall combine in a single document requests for admission with any other method of discovery.
 - (d) The party requesting admissions shall serve a copy of them on the party to whom they are directed and on all other parties who have appeared in the action.
 - (e) When requests for admission have been made, the responding party may promptly move for a protective order. This motion shall be accompanied by a declaration stating facts showing a reasonable and good faith attempt at an informal resolution of each issue presented by the motion.

The court, for good cause shown, may make any order that justice requires to protect any party from unwarranted annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden and expense. This protective order may include, but is not limited to, one or more of the following directions:

(1) That the set of admission requests, or particular requests in the set, need not be answered at all.

(2) That, contrary to the representations made in a declaration submitted under paragraph (3) of subdivision (c), the number of admission requests is unwarranted.

- (3) That the time specified in subdivision (h) to respond to the set of admission requests, or to particular requests in the set, be extended.
- (4) That a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information not be admitted or be admitted only in a certain way.
- (5) That some or all of the answers to requests for admission be sealed and thereafter opened only on order of the court.

If the motion for a protective order is denied in whole or in part, the court may order that the responding party provide or permit the discovery against which protection was sought on terms and conditions that are just.

The court shall impose a monetary sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7] against any party, person, or attorney who unsuccessfully makes or opposes a motion for a protective order, unless it finds that the one subject to the sanction acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust.

- (f) The party to whom requests for admission have been directed shall respond in writing under oath separately to each request. Each response shall answer the substance of the requested admission, or set forth an objection to the particular request. In the first paragraph of the response immediately below the title of the case, there shall appear the identity of the responding party, the set number, and the identity of the requesting party. Each answer or objection in the response shall bear the same identifying number or letter and be in the same sequence as the corresponding request, but the text of the particular request need not be repeated.
- (1) Each answer in the response shall be as complete and straightforward as the information reasonably available to the responding party permits. Each answer shall (A) admit so much of the matter involved in the request as is true, either as expressed in the request itself or as reasonably and clearly qualified by the responding party, (B) deny so much of the matter involved in the request as is untrue, and (C) specify so much of the matter involved in the request as to the truth of which the responding party lacks sufficient information or knowledge. If a responding party gives lack of information or knowledge as a reason for a failure to admit all or part of a request for admission, that party shall state in the answer that a reasonable inquiry concerning the matter in the particular request has been made, and that the information known or readily obtainable is insufficient to enable that party to admit the matter.
- (2) If only a part of a request for admission is objectionable, the remainder of the request shall be answered. If an objection is made to a request or to a part of a request, the specific ground for the objection shall be set forth clearly in the response. If an objection is based on a claim of privilege, the particular privilege invoked shall be clearly stated. If an objection is based on a claim that the matter as to which an admission is requested is protected work product under Section 2018 [Article 4], that claim shall be expressly asserted.

- (g) The party to whom the requests for admission are directed shall sign the response under oath, unless the response contains only objections. If that party is a public or private corporation, or a partnership or association or governmental agency, one of its officers or agents shall sign the response under oath on behalf of that party. If the officer or agent signing the response on behalf of that party is an attorney acting in that capacity for the party, that party waives any lawyer-client privilege and any protection for work product under Section 2018 [Article 4] during any subsequent discovery from that attorney concerning the identity of the sources of the information contained in the response. The attorney for the responding party shall sign any response that contains an objection.
- (h) Within 30 days after service of requests for admission, or in unlawful detainer actions within five days after service of requests for admission, the party to whom the requests are directed shall serve the original of the response to them on the requesting party, and a copy of the response on all other parties who have appeared, unless on motion of the requesting party the court has shortened the time for response, or unless on motion of the responding party the court has extended the time for response. In unlawful detainer actions, the party to whom the request is directed shall have at least five days from the date of service to respond unless on motion of the requesting party the court has shortened the time for response.
- (i) The party requesting admissions and the responding party may agree to extend the time for service of a response to a set of admission requests, or to particular requests in a set, to a date beyond that provided in subdivision (h). This agreement may be informal, but it shall be confirmed in a writing that specifies the extended date for service of a response. Unless this agreement expressly states otherwise, it is effective to preserve to the responding party the right to respond to any request for admission to which the agreement applies in any manner specified in subdivision (f). Notice of this agreement shall be given by the responding party to all other parties who were served with a copy of the request.
- (j) The requests for admission and the response to them shall not be filed with the court. The party requesting admissions shall retain both the original of the requests for admission, with the original proof of service affixed to them, and the original of the sworn response until six months after final disposition of the action. At that time, both originals may be destroyed, unless the court, on motion of any party and for good cause shown, orders that the originals be preserved for a longer period.
- (k) If a party to whom requests for admission have been directed fails to serve a timely response, that party thereby waives any objection to the requests, including one based on privilege or on the protection for work product under Section 2018 [Article 4]. However, the court, on motion, may relieve that party from this waiver on its determination that (1) the party has subsequently served a response that is in substantial compliance with subdivision (f), and (2) the party's failure to serve a timely response was the result of mistake, inadvertence, or excusable neglect.

The requesting party may move for an order that the genuineness of any documents and the truth of any matters specified in the requests be deemed admitted, as well as for a monetary sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7]. The court shall make this order, unless it finds that the party to whom the requests for admission have been directed has served, before the hearing on the motion, a proposed response to the requests for admission that is in substantial compliance with paragraph (1) of subdivision (f). It is mandatory that the court impose a monetary sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7] on the party or attorney, or both, whose failure to serve a timely response to requests for admission necessitated this motion.

(1) If the party requesting admissions, on receipt of a response to the requests, deems that (1) an answer to a particular request is evasive or incomplete, or (2) an objection to a particular request is without merit or too general, that party may move for an order compelling a further response. The motion shall be accompanied by a declaration stating facts showing a reasonable and good faith attempt at an informal resolution of each issue presented by the motion.

Unless notice of this motion is given within 45 days of the service of the response, or any supplemental response, or any specific later date to which the requesting party and the responding party have agreed in writing, the requesting party waives any right to compel further response to the requests for admission.

The court shall impose a monetary sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7] against any party, person, or attorney who unsuccessfully makes or opposes a motion to compel further response, unless it finds that the one subject to the sanction acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust.

If a party then fails to obey an order compelling further response to requests for admission, the court may order that the matters involved in the requests be deemed admitted. In lieu of or in addition to this order, the court may impose a monetary sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7].

- (m) A party may withdraw or amend an admission made in response to a request for admission only on leave of court granted after notice to all parties. The court may permit withdrawal or amendment of an admission only if it determines that the admission was the result of mistake, inadvertence, or excusable neglect, and that the party who obtained the admission will not be substantially prejudiced in maintaining that party's action or defense on the merits. The court may impose conditions on the granting of the motion that are just, including, but not limited to, an order that (1) the party who obtained the admission be permitted to pursue additional discovery related to the matter involved in the withdrawn or amended admission, and (2) the costs of any additional discovery be borne in whole or in part by the party withdrawing or amending the admission.
- (n) Any matter admitted in response to a request for admission is conclusively established against the party making the admission in the pending action, unless the court has permitted withdrawal or amendment of that admission under

subdivision (m). However, any admission made by a party under this section is (1) binding only on that party, and (2) made for the purpose of the pending action only. It is not an admission by that party for any other purpose, and it shall not be used in any manner against that party in any other proceeding.

(o) If a party fails to admit the genuineness of any document or the truth of any matter when requested to do so under this section, and if the party requesting that admission thereafter proves the genuineness of that document or the truth of that matter, the party requesting the admission may move the court for an order requiring the party to whom the request was directed to pay the reasonable expenses incurred in making that proof, including reasonable attorney's fees. The court shall make this order unless it finds that (1) an objection to the request was sustained or a response to it was waived under subdivision (1), (2) the admission sought was of no substantial importance, (3) the party failing to make the admission had reasonable ground to believe that that party would prevail on the matter, or (4) there was other good reason for the failure to admit.

Article 17. Form Interrogatories and Requests for Admission

§ 2033.510. Judicial Council to develop form interrogatories and requests for admission

2033.510. The Judicial Council shall develop and approve official form interrogatories and requests for admission of the genuineness of any relevant documents or of the truth of any relevant matters of fact for use in any civil action in a state court based on personal injury, property damage, wrongful death, unlawful detainer, breach of contract, family law, or fraud and for any other civil actions the Judicial Council deems appropriate.

Comment. Section 2033.510 continues the first sentence of former Section 2033.5(a) without change.

§ 2033.520. Form interrogatories for use by victim who has not received complete payment of restitution order

2033.520. (a) The Judicial Council shall develop and approve official form interrogatories for use by a victim who has not received complete payment of a restitution order made pursuant to Section 1202.4 of the Penal Code.

(b) Notwithstanding whether a victim initiates or maintains an action to satisfy the unpaid restitution order, a victim may propound the form interrogatories approved pursuant to this section once each calendar year. The defendant subject to the restitution order shall, in responding to the interrogatories propounded, provide current information regarding the nature, extent, and location of any assets, income, and liabilities in which the defendant claims a present or future interest.

Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 2033.520 continues former Section 2033.5(d) without change.

Subdivision (b) continues former Section 2033.5(e) without change.

§ 2033.530. Procedures for development of form interrogatories and requests for admission

- 2 (a) In developing the form interrogatories and requests for admission required by
- 3 Sections 2033.510 and 2033.520, the Judicial Council shall consult with a
- 4 representative advisory committee which shall include, but not be limited to,
- 5 representatives of all of the following:
 - (1) The plaintiff's bar.
 - (2) The defense bar.
- 8 (3) The public interest bar.
- 9 (4) Court administrators.
- 10 (5) The public.

1

6

7

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

- 11 (b) The form interrogatories and requests for admission shall be drafted in nontechnical language.
- Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 2033.530 continues the first sentence of former Section 2033.5(b) without substantive change.
 - Subdivision (b) continues the first clause of the second sentence of former Section 2033.5(b) without substantive change.

§ 2044.540. Procedures for use of form interrogatories and requests for admission

- (a) Use of the form interrogatories and requests for admission approved by the Judicial Council shall be optional.
- (b) The form interrogatories and requests for admission shall be made available through the office of the clerk of the appropriate trial court.
- (c) The Judicial Council shall promulgate any necessary rules to govern the use of the form interrogatories and requests for admission.
- Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 2033.540 continues the second sentence of former Section 2033.5(a) without substantive change.
- Subdivision (b) continues the second clause of the second sentence of former Section 2033.5(b) without substantive change.
- 28 Subdivision (c) continues former Section 2033.5(c) without substantive change.
- 29 **Staff Note.** Section 2033.5(f) states: "This section shall become operative on January 1, 2000." That language appears to be obsolete, so it would not be continued in this draft.

Article 18. Simultaneous Exchange of Expert Witness Information

Staff Note. The staff has not yet divided the substance of Section 2034 into a series of short sections. The provision is shown here in its present form. Cross-references to other discovery provisions are left intact, but brackets are used to indicate the corresponding sections in this draft.

§ 2034. Simultaneous exchange of information concerning expert trial witnesses

- 2034. (a) After the setting of the initial trial date for the action, any party may obtain discovery by demanding that all parties simultaneously exchange information concerning each other's expert trial witnesses to the following extent:
- (1) Any party may demand a mutual and simultaneous exchange by all parties of a list containing the name and address of any natural person, including one who is

a party, whose oral or deposition testimony in the form of an expert opinion any party expects to offer in evidence at the trial.

- (2) If any expert designated by a party under paragraph (1) is a party or an employee of a party, or has been retained by a party for the purpose of forming and expressing an opinion in anticipation of the litigation or in preparation for the trial of the action, the designation of that witness shall include or be accompanied by an expert witness declaration under paragraph (2) of subdivision (f).
- (3) Any party may also include a demand for the mutual and simultaneous production for inspection and copying of all discoverable reports and writings, if any, made by any expert described in paragraph (2) in the course of preparing that expert's opinion.

This section does not apply to exchanges of lists of experts and valuation data in eminent domain proceedings under Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 1258.010) of Title 7 of Part 3.

- (b) Any party may make a demand for an exchange of information concerning expert trial witnesses without leave of court. A party shall make this demand no later than the 10th day after the initial trial date has been set, or 70 days before that trial date, whichever is closer to the trial date.
- (c) A demand for an exchange of information concerning expert trial witnesses shall be in writing and shall identify, below the title of the case, the party making the demand. The demand shall state that it is being made under this section.

The demand shall specify the date for the exchange of lists of expert trial witnesses, expert witness declarations, and any demanded production of writings. The specified date of exchange shall be 50 days before the initial trial date, or 20 days after service of the demand, whichever is closer to the trial date, unless the court, on motion and a showing of good cause, orders an earlier or later date of exchange.

- (d) The party demanding an exchange of information concerning expert trial witnesses shall serve the demand on all parties who have appeared in the action.
- (e) A party who has been served with a demand to exchange information concerning expert trial witnesses may promptly move for a protective order. This motion shall be accompanied by a declaration stating facts showing a reasonable and good faith attempt at an informal resolution of each issue presented by the motion.

The court, for good cause shown, may make any order that justice requires to protect any party from unwarranted annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden and expense. The protective order may include, but is not limited to, one or more of the following directions:

- (1) That the demand be quashed because it was not timely served.
- (2) That the date of exchange be earlier or later than that specified in the demand.
 - (3) That the exchange be made only on specified terms and conditions.

(4) That the production and exchange of any reports and writings of experts be made at a different place or at a different time than specified in the demand.

- (5) That some or all of the parties be divided into sides on the basis of their identity of interest in the issues in the action, and that the designation of any experts as described in paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) be made by any side so created.
- (6) That a party or a side reduce the list of employed or retained experts designated by that party or side under paragraph (2) of subdivision (a).

If the motion for a protective order is denied in whole or in part, the court may order that the parties against whom the motion is brought, provide or permit the discovery against which the protection was sought on those terms and conditions that are just.

The court shall impose a monetary sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7] against any party, person, or attorney who unsuccessfully makes or opposes a motion for a protective order, unless it finds that the one subject to the sanction acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust.

- (f) All parties who have appeared in the action shall exchange information concerning expert witnesses in writing on or before the date of exchange specified in the demand. The exchange of information may occur at a meeting of the attorneys for the parties involved or by a mailing on or before the date of exchange.
- (1) The exchange of expert witness information shall include either of the following:
- (A) A list setting forth the name and address of any person whose expert opinion that party expects to offer in evidence at the trial.
- (B) A statement that the party does not presently intend to offer the testimony of any expert witness.
- (2) If any witness on the list is an expert as described in paragraph (2) of subdivision (a), the exchange shall also include or be accompanied by an expert witness declaration signed only by the attorney for the party designating the expert, or by that party if that party has no attorney. This declaration shall be under penalty of perjury and shall contain:
 - (A) A brief narrative statement of the qualifications of each expert.
- 35 (B) A brief narrative statement of the general substance of the testimony that the expert is expected to give.
 - (C) A representation that the expert has agreed to testify at the trial.
 - (D) A representation that the expert will be sufficiently familiar with the pending action to submit to a meaningful oral deposition concerning the specific testimony, including any opinion and its basis, that the expert is expected to give at trial.
 - (E) A statement of the expert's hourly and daily fee for providing deposition testimony and for consulting with the retaining attorney.

(g) If a demand for an exchange of information concerning expert trial witnesses includes a demand for production of reports and writings as described in paragraph (3) of subdivision (a), all parties shall produce and exchange, at the place and on the date specified in the demand, all discoverable reports and writings, if any, made by any designated expert described in paragraph (2) of subdivision (a).

- (h) Within 20 days after the exchange described in subdivision (f), any party who engaged in the exchange may submit a supplemental expert witness list containing the name and address of any experts who will express an opinion on a subject to be covered by an expert designated by an adverse party to the exchange, if the party supplementing an expert witness list has not previously retained an expert to testify on that subject. This supplemental list shall be accompanied by an expert witness declaration under paragraph (2) of subdivision (f) concerning those additional experts, and by all discoverable reports and writings, if any, made by those additional experts. The party shall also make those experts available immediately for a deposition under subdivision (i), which deposition may be taken even though the time limit for discovery under Section 2024 [Article 8] has expired.
- (i) On receipt of an expert witness list from a party, any other party may take the deposition of any person on the list. The procedures for taking oral and written depositions set forth in Sections 2025, 2026, 2027, and 2028 [Articles 9, 10, and 11] apply to a deposition of a listed trial expert witness except as follows:
- (1) The deposition of any expert described in paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) shall be taken at a place that is within 75 miles of the courthouse where the action is pending. However, on motion for a protective order by the party designating an expert witness, and on a showing of exceptional hardship, the court may order that the deposition be taken at a more distant place from the courthouse.
- (2) A party desiring to depose any expert witness, other than a party or employee of a party, who is either (A) an expert described in paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) except one who is a party or an employee of a party, (B) a treating physician and surgeon or other treating health care practitioner who is to be asked during the deposition to express opinion testimony, including opinion or factual testimony regarding the past or present diagnosis or prognosis made by the practitioner or the reasons for a particular treatment decision made by the practitioner, but not including testimony requiring only the reading of words and symbols contained in the relevant medical record or, if those words and symbols are not legible to the deponent, the approximation by the deponent of what those words or symbols are, or (C) an architect, professional engineer, or licensed land surveyor, who was involved with the original project design or survey for which he or she is asked to express an opinion within his or her expertise and relevant to the action or proceeding, shall pay the expert's reasonable and customary hourly or daily fee for any time spent at the deposition from the time noticed in the deposition subpoena or from the time of the arrival of the expert witness should that time be later than the time noticed in the deposition subpoena, until the time the expert witness is

dismissed from the deposition, whether or not the expert is actually deposed by any party attending the deposition. If any counsel representing the expert or a nonnoticing party is late to the deposition, the expert's reasonable and customary hourly or daily fee for the time period determined from the time noticed in the deposition subpoena until the counsel's late arrival, shall be paid by that tardy counsel. However, the hourly or daily fee shall not exceed the fee charged the party who retained the expert except where the expert donated his or her services to a charitable or other nonprofit organization. A daily fee shall only be charged for a full day of attendance at a deposition or where the expert was required by the deposing party to be available for a full day and the expert necessarily had to forego all business he or she would have otherwise conducted that day but for the request that he or she be available all day for the scheduled deposition. In a worker's compensation case arising under Division 4 (commencing with Section 3201) or Division 4.5 (commencing with Section 6100) of the Labor Code, a party desiring to depose any expert on another party's expert witness list shall pay this fee.

The party taking the deposition shall either accompany the service of the deposition notice with a tender of the expert's fee based on the anticipated length of the deposition or tender that fee at the commencement of the deposition. The expert's fee shall be delivered to the attorney for the party designating the expert. If the deposition of the expert takes longer than anticipated, the party giving notice of the deposition shall pay the balance of the expert's fee within five days of receipt of an itemized statement from the expert. The party designating the expert is responsible for any fee charged by the expert for preparing for the deposition and for traveling to the place of the deposition, as well as for any travel expenses of the expert.

- (3) The service of a proper deposition notice accompanied by the tender of the expert witness fee described in paragraph (2) is effective to require the party employing or retaining the expert to produce the expert for the deposition. If the party noticing the deposition fails to tender the expert's fee under paragraph (2), the expert shall not be deposed at that time unless the parties stipulate otherwise.
- (4) If a party desiring to take the deposition of an expert witness under this subdivision deems that the hourly or daily fee of that expert for providing deposition testimony is unreasonable, that party may move for an order setting the compensation of that expert. This motion shall be accompanied by a declaration stating facts showing a reasonable and good faith attempt at an informal resolution of each issue presented by the motion. Notice of this motion shall also be given to the expert. In any such attempt at an informal resolution, either the party or the expert shall provide the other with (A) proof of the ordinary and customary fee actually charged and received by that expert for similar services provided outside the subject litigation, (B) the total number of times the presently demanded fee has ever been charged and received by that expert, and (C) the frequency and

regularity with which the presently demanded fee has been charged and received by that expert within the two-year period preceding the hearing on the motion.

In addition to any other facts or evidence, the expert or the party designating the expert shall provide, and the court's determination as to the reasonableness of the fee shall be based upon (A) proof of the ordinary and customary fee actually charged and received by that expert for similar services provided outside the subject litigation, (B) the total number of times the presently demanded fee has ever been charged and received by that expert, and (C) the frequency and regularity with which the presently demanded fee has been charged and received by that expert within the two-year period preceding the hearing on the motion. Provisions (B) and (C) shall apply to actions filed after January 1, 1994. The court may also consider the ordinary and customary fees charged by similar experts for similar services within the relevant community and any other factors the court deems necessary or appropriate to make its determination.

Upon a determination that the fee demanded by that expert is unreasonable, and based upon the evidence and factors considered, the court shall set the fee of the expert providing testimony.

The court shall impose a monetary sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7] against any party, person, or attorney who unsuccessfully makes or opposes a motion to set the expert witness fee, unless it finds that the one subject to the sanction acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust.

- (j) Except as provided in subdivisions (k), (l), and (m), on objection of any party who has made a complete and timely compliance with subdivision (f), the trial court shall exclude from evidence the expert opinion of any witness that is offered by any party who has unreasonably failed to do any of the following:
 - (1) List that witness as an expert under subdivision (f).
 - (2) Submit an expert witness declaration.

- (3) Produce reports and writings of expert witnesses under subdivision (g).
- (4) Make that expert available for a deposition under subdivision (i).
- (k) On motion of any party who has engaged in a timely exchange of expert witness information, the court may grant leave to (1) augment that party's expert witness list and declaration by adding the name and address of any expert witness whom that party has subsequently retained, or (2) amend that party's expert witness declaration with respect to the general substance of the testimony that an expert previously designated is expected to give. This motion shall be made at a sufficient time in advance of the time limit for the completion of discovery under Section 2024 [Article 8] to permit the deposition of any expert to whom the motion relates to be taken within that time limit. However, under exceptional circumstances, the court may permit the motion to be made at a later time. This motion shall be accompanied by a declaration stating facts showing a reasonable and good faith attempt at an informal resolution of each issue presented by the motion. The demand, and all expert witness lists and declarations exchanged in

response to it, shall be lodged with the court when their contents become relevant to an issue in any pending matter in the action. The court shall grant leave to augment or amend an expert witness list or declaration only after taking into account the extent to which the opposing party has relied on the list of expert witnesses, and after determining that any party opposing the motion will not be prejudiced in maintaining that party's action or defense on the merits, and that the moving party either (1) would not in the exercise of reasonable diligence have determined to call that expert witness or have decided to offer the different or additional testimony of that expert witness, or (2) failed to determine to call that expert witness, or to offer the different or additional testimony of that expert witness as a result of mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect, provided that the moving party (1) has sought leave to augment or amend promptly after deciding to call the expert witness or to offer the different or additional testimony, and (2) has promptly thereafter served a copy of the proposed expert witness information concerning the expert or the testimony described in subdivision (f) on all other parties who have appeared in the action. Leave shall be conditioned on the moving party making the expert available immediately for a deposition under subdivision (i), and on such other terms as may be just, including, but not limited to, leave to any party opposing the motion to designate additional expert witnesses or to elicit additional opinions from those previously designated, a continuance of the trial for a reasonable period of time, and the awarding of costs and litigation expenses to any party opposing the motion.

The court shall impose a monetary sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7] against any party, person, or attorney who unsuccessfully makes or opposes a motion to augment or amend expert witness information, unless it finds that the one subject to the sanction acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances made the imposition of the sanction unjust.

(l) On motion of any party who has failed to submit expert witness information on the date specified in a demand for that exchange, the court may grant leave to submit that information on a later date. This motion shall be made a sufficient time in advance of the time limit for the completion of discovery under Section 2024 [Article 8] to permit the deposition of any expert to whom the motion relates to be taken within that time limit. However, under exceptional circumstances, the court may permit the motion to be made at a later time. This motion shall be accompanied by a declaration stating facts showing a reasonable and good faith attempt at an informal resolution of each issue presented by the motion.

The court shall grant leave to submit tardy expert witness information only after taking into account the extent to which the opposing party has relied on the absence of a list of expert witnesses, and determining that any party opposing the motion will not be prejudiced in maintaining that party's action or defense on the merits, and that the moving party (1) failed to submit that information as the result of mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect, (2) sought that leave

promptly after learning of the mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect, and (3) has promptly thereafter served a copy of the proposed expert witness information described in subdivision (f) on all other parties who have appeared in the action. This order shall be conditioned on the moving party making that expert available immediately for a deposition under subdivision (i), and on such other terms as may be just, including, but not limited to, leave to any party opposing the motion to designate additional expert witnesses or to elicit additional opinions from those previously designated, a continuance of the trial for a reasonable period of time, and the awarding of costs and litigation expenses to any party opposing the motion.

The court shall impose a monetary sanction under Section 2023 [Article 7] against any party, person, or attorney who unsuccessfully makes or opposes a motion to submit tardy expert witness information, unless it finds that the one subject to the sanction acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust.

- (m) A party may call as a witness at trial an expert not previously designated by that party if: (1) that expert has been designated by another party and has thereafter been deposed under subdivision (i), or (2) that expert is called as a witness to impeach the testimony of an expert witness offered by any other party at the trial. This impeachment may include testimony to the falsity or nonexistence of any fact used as the foundation for any opinion by any other party's expert witness, but may not include testimony that contradicts the opinion.
- (n) The demand for an exchange of information concerning expert trial witnesses, and any expert witness lists and declarations exchanged shall not be filed with the court. The party demanding the exchange shall retain both the original of the demand, with the original proof of service affixed, and the original of all expert witness lists and declarations exchanged in response to the demand until six months after final disposition of the action. At that time, all originals may be destroyed unless the court, on motion of any party and for good cause shown, orders that the originals be preserved for a longer period.

Article 19. Perpetuation of Testimony or Preservation of Evidence Before Filing Action

§ 2035.010. Perpetuation of testimony or preservation of evidence before filing action

2035.010. (a) One who expects to be a party to any action that may be cognizable in any court of the State of California, whether as a plaintiff, or as a defendant, or in any other capacity, may obtain discovery within the scope delimited by Articles 2 and 3, and subject to the restrictions set forth in Article 5, for the purpose of perpetuating that party's own testimony or that of another natural person or organization, or of preserving evidence for use in the event an action is subsequently filed.

- (b) One shall not employ the procedures of this article for the purpose either of ascertaining the possible existence of a cause of action or a defense to it, or of identifying those who might be made parties to an action not yet filed.
- **Comment.** Subdivision (a) of Section 2035.010 continues the first sentence of former Section 2035(a) without change, except to conform the cross-references.
- Subdivision (b) continues the second sentence of former Section 2035(a) without substantive change.

§ 2035.020. Methods of discovery

- 2035.020. The methods available for discovery conducted for the purposes set forth in Section 2035.010 are all of the following:
 - (a) Oral and written depositions.
- (b) Inspections of documents, things, and places.
- (c) Physical and mental examinations.
- 14 **Comment.** Section 2035.020 continues former Section 2035(b) without substantive change.

§ 2035.030. Petition

1

2

3

4 5

8

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

- 2035.030. (a) One who desires to perpetuate testimony or preserve evidence for the purposes set forth in Section 2035.010 shall file a verified petition in the superior court of the county of the residence of at least one expected adverse party, or, if no expected adverse party is a resident of the State of California, in the superior court of a county where the action or proceeding may be filed.
- (b) The petition shall be titled in the name of the one who desires the perpetuation of testimony or the preservation of evidence. The petition shall set forth all of the following:
- (1) The expectation that the petitioner will be a party to an action cognizable in a court of the State of California.
- (2) The present inability of the petitioner either to bring that action or to cause it to be brought.
 - (3) The subject matter of the expected action and the petitioner's involvement.
- (4) The particular discovery methods described in Section 2035.020 that the petitioner desires to employ.
 - (5) The facts that the petitioner desires to establish by the proposed discovery.
- (6) The reasons for desiring to perpetuate or preserve these facts before an action has been filed.
- (7) The name or a description of those whom the petitioner expects to be adverse parties so far as known.
 - (8) The name and address of those from whom the discovery is to be sought.
- (9) The substance of the information expected to be elicited from each of those from whom discovery is being sought.
- (c) The petition shall request the court to enter an order authorizing the petitioner to engage in discovery by the described methods for the purpose of perpetuating the described testimony or preserving the described evidence.

Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 2035.030 continues former Section 2035(c) without change, except to conform the cross-reference.

The introductory clause of subdivision (b) continues the introductory clause of former Section 2035(d) without change. Paragraphs (b)(1)-(b)(9) continue former Section 2035(d)(1)-(d)(9) without change, except to conform the cross-reference.

Subdivision (c) continues the last paragraph of former Section 2035(d) without change.

§ 2035.040. Service of notice of petition

- 2035.040. (a) The petitioner shall cause service of a notice of the petition under Section 2035.030 to be made on each natural person or organization named in the petition as an expected adverse party. This service shall be made in the same manner provided for the service of a summons.
- (b) The service of the notice shall be accompanied by a copy of the petition. The notice shall state that the petitioner will apply to the court at a time and place specified in the notice for the order requested in the petition.
- (c) This service shall be effected at least 20 days before the date specified in the notice for the hearing on the petition.
- (d) If after the exercise of due diligence, the petitioner is unable to cause service to be made on any expected adverse party named in the petition, the court in which the petition is filed shall make an order for service by publication.
- (e) If any expected adverse party served by publication does not appear at the hearing, the court shall appoint an attorney to represent that party for all purposes, including the cross-examination of any person whose testimony is taken by deposition. The court shall order that the petitioner pay the reasonable fees and expenses of any attorney so appointed.
- **Comment.** Subdivision (a) of Section 2035.040 continues the first and second sentences of former Section 2035(e) without substantive change.
- Subdivision (b) continues the third and fourth sentences of former Section 2035(e) without change.
- Subdivision (c) continues the fifth sentence of former Section 2035(e) without substantive change.

§ 2035.050. Court order

- 2035.050. (a) If the court determines that all or part of the discovery requested under this article may prevent a failure or delay of justice, it shall make an order authorizing that discovery.
- (b) The order shall identify any witness whose deposition may be taken, and any documents, things, or places that may be inspected, and any person whose physical or mental condition may be examined.
- (c) Any authorized depositions, inspections, and physical or mental examinations shall then be conducted in accordance with the provisions of this chapter relating to those methods of discovery in actions that have been filed.
- **Comment.** Subdivision (a) of Section 2035.050 continues the first sentence of former Section 2035(f) without substantive change.
- Subdivision (b) continues the second sentence of former Section 2035(f) without change.

Subdivision (c) continues the third sentence of former Section 2035(f) without substantive change.

§ 2035.060. Use of presuit deposition to perpetuate testimony

3

4

5

6

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

25

26

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

2035.060. If a deposition to perpetuate testimony has been taken either under the provisions of this article, or under comparable provisions of the laws of another state, or the federal courts, or a foreign nation, that deposition may be used, in any action involving the same subject matter that is brought in a court of the State of California, in accordance with Section 2025.300 against any party, or the successor in interest of any party, named in the petition as an expected adverse party.

Comment. Section 2035.060 continues former Section 2035(g) without substantive change.

Article 20. Perpetuation of Testimony or Preservation of Information Pending Appeal

§ 2036.010. Perpetuation of testimony or preservation of information pending appeal

2036.010. If an appeal has been taken from a judgment entered by any court of the State of California, or if the time for taking an appeal has not expired, a party may obtain discovery within the scope delimited by Articles 2 and 3, and subject to the restrictions set forth in Article 5, for the purpose of perpetuating testimony or preserving information for use in the event of further proceedings in that court.

Comment. Section 2036.010 continues former Section 2036(a) without change, except to conform the cross-references.

§ 2036.020. Methods of discovery

- 23 2036.020. The methods available for discovery for the purpose set forth in Section 2036.010 are all of the following:
 - (a) Oral and written depositions.
 - (b) Inspections of documents, things, and places.
- (c) Physical and mental examinations.
- 28 **Comment.** Section 2036.020 continues former Section 2036(b) without substantive change.

§ 2036.030. Motion for leave to conduct discovery pending appeal

2036.030. (a) A party who desires to obtain discovery pending appeal shall obtain leave of the court that entered the judgment. This motion shall be made on the same notice to and service of parties as is required for discovery sought in an action pending in that court.

- (b) The motion for leave to conduct discovery pending appeal shall set forth all of the following:
- 36 (1) The names and addresses of the natural persons or organizations from whom 37 the discovery is being sought.

- (2) The particular discovery methods described in Section 2036.020 for which 1 authorization is being sought. 2
 - (3) The reasons for perpetuating testimony or preserving evidence.
- Comment. Section 2036.030 continues former Section 2036(c) without change. 4
- Subdivision (b) continues former Section 2036(d) without substantive change. 5

§ 2036.040. Court order

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

24

25

- 2036.040. (a) If the court determines that all or part of the discovery requested under this article may prevent a failure or delay of justice in the event of further proceedings in the action in that court, it shall make an order authorizing that discovery.
- (b) The order shall identify any witness whose deposition may be taken, and any documents, things, or places that may be inspected, and any person whose physical or mental condition may be examined.
- (c) Any authorized depositions, inspections, and physical and mental examinations shall then be conducted in accordance with the provisions of this chapter relating to these methods of discovery in a pending action.
- Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 2036.040 continues the first sentence of former Section 2036(e) without substantive change.
- Subdivision (b) continues the second sentence of former Section 2036(e) without change. 19
- Subdivision (c) continues the third sentence of former Section 2036(e) without change, except 20 to conform the cross-reference. 21

§ 2036.050. Use of deposition to perpetuate testimony pending appeal

- 2036.050. If a deposition to perpetuate testimony has been taken under the 23 provisions of this article, it may be used in any later proceeding in accordance with Section 2025.300.
- Comment. Section 2036.050 continues former Section 2036(f) without substantive change. 26